## **Legislative Council Panel on Security**

## Responses to questions from the Hon Frankie YICK Chi-ming

(A) At the special meeting of the Panel on Security on 28 January 2013, the Hon Frankie YICK requested the ICAC to provide further information on the illicit activities referred to in paragraph 6 of the paper provided by the ICAC (i.e. LC Paper No. CB(2)492/12-13(01)). Mr YICK specifically asked whether the comment (paragraph 6 of the paper refers) was made in relation to any specific law enforcement agencies. As requested, in 2012, cases where the disciplined services officers were found to have failed to take enforcement actions against some illicit activities were as follows:

| Disciplined Services             | Case Nature   |
|----------------------------------|---|
| Correctional Services Department | Failed to take enforcement actions against<br>the abuse of dangerous drugs by discharged<br>inmates during the post release statutory<br>supervision period |
| Hong Kong Police Force           | Failed to take enforcement actions against trafficking in dangerous drugs, vice establishments and wanted persons   |

(B) The Hon Frankie YICK further asked why law enforcement actions had not been taken in the cases concerned.

## **ICAC's Response:**

The disciplined services officers concerned were alleged to have accepted advantages for conniving at illicit activities. Investigation revealed insufficient evidence to substantiate the corruption allegations although it was established that the officers had failed to take enforcement actions against the offenders. As a result of the investigation, the officers were either prosecuted or referred to the relevant government departments for consideration of disciplinary and/or administrative actions.

Independent Commission Against Corruption 27 February 2013