

**Panel on Economic Development**  
**List of follow-up actions**  
(position as at 7 October 2013)

<b>Subject (Date of meeting)</b>	<b>Follow-up action required</b>	<b>Administration's response</b>
1. Update on the development of the Kai Tak Cruise Terminal (24 October 2008)	The Panel was consulted on the proposal for retention of a supernumerary post of an Administrative Officer Staff Grade C in the Tourism Commission until 31 December 2014 to oversee the implementation of the cruise terminal project at Kai Tak. When the Establishment Subcommittee (ESC) considered this staffing proposal at its meeting on 26 November 2008, ESC members asked the Administration to review the need for retaining the post through regular reports to the Panel on the progress of the cruise terminal project. The Administration has been requested to provide regular reports on a half-yearly basis in every June and December, and to brief the Panel when necessary.	<p>The Administration updated the Panel on the development of the cruise terminal project –</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• at the meetings on 25 May 2009, 16 October 2009, 29 March 2010, 24 January 2011, 23 April 2012, 22 April 2013 and 22 July 2013 (LC Paper Nos. CB(1)1624/08-09(03), CB(1)18/09-10(01), CB(1)1439/09-10(09), CB(1)1090/10-11(05), CB(1)1600/11-12(05), CB(1)859/12-12(03) and CB(1)1522/12-13(05) respectively); and</li> <li>• by information notes circulated to members on 23 December 2009, 13 July 2010, 7 July 2011,</li> </ul>

<b>Subject (Date of meeting)</b>	<b>Follow-up action required</b>	<b>Administration's response</b>
		7 December 2011 and 30 October 2012 vide LC Papers Nos. CB(1)749/09-10(01), CB(1)2545/09-10(01), CB(1)2674/10-11(01), CB(1)569/11-12(01) and CB(1)102/12-13(01) respectively).
2. Leasing arrangements for the Kai Tak Cruise Terminal (24 January 2011)	The Administration was requested to provide information on the income and expenditure associated with the operation of the Kai Tak Cruise Terminal.	The Administration to provide the written response when ready.
3. Safety Oversight Audit of the Hong Kong Civil Aviation System (22 February 2010)	At the request of members, the Administration agreed to provide further information on the final ranking of Hong Kong when the audit programme for all the International Civil Aviation Organization Contracting States had completed by the end of 2010, the results of which will be available until about 10 months later.	The International Civil Aviation Organization is still conducting the audit programme for a number of remaining member states. The Administration will provide the written response when the results are ready.

<b>Subject (Date of meeting)</b>	<b>Follow-up action required</b>	<b>Administration's response</b>
4. Mega Events Fund (MEF) (22 November 2010) (26 March 2012)	At the meeting on 22 November 2010, the Administration was requested to provide information on the scope of funding for MEF-sponsored events, including the breakdown of sponsorship on event publicity, administrative fees and cost of activities.	The information provided by the Administration was issued to members vide LC Paper No. CB(1)1772/12-13(01) on 10 September 2013.
5. Review of the operation of the Travel Industry Council of Hong Kong (TIC) (22 November 2010)	TIC was requested to –  (a) provide information on the details of outbound and inbound tours collected from the travel agents for the past year, including whether tourist guides or tour escorts were required to pre-pay the travel agents in advance; and  (b) report to the Panel the outcome of the value-for-money audit.	For (a), TIC's response was issued vide LC Paper No. CB(1)2232/11-12(01) on 25 June 2012.  For (b), TIC's response was issued vide LC Paper No. CB(1)1624/12-13(01) on 25 July 2013.
6. Aberdeen Tourism Project (14 December 2010)	The Administration was requested to report to the Panel on the progress of developing the Aberdeen Wholesale Fish Market into a tourist attraction.	The information provided by the Administration was issued to members vide LC Paper No. CB(1)1822/12-13(01) on 23 September 2013.

<b>Subject (Date of meeting)</b>	<b>Follow-up action required</b>	<b>Administration's response</b>
<p>7. Briefing by the Secretary for Commerce and Economic Development on relevant policy initiatives in the Chief Executive's 2011-2012 Policy Address (14 October 2011)</p>	<p>The Administration was requested to provide a paper on how it planned to make use of the following developments to create more business opportunities for Hong Kong, particularly in promoting tourism, and industry and commerce in Hong Kong, so as to enable the relevant trades to make preparations and provide support for the developments –</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>(a) expansion of the Hong Kong Disneyland and of the Ocean Park;</li> <li>(b) the Hong Kong National GeoPark's joining of the Global Geoparks Network;</li> <li>(c) commissioning of the cruise terminal;</li> <li>(d) construction of the Hong Kong-Zhuhai-Macao Bridge;</li> <li>(e) consultation on the third runway of the Hong Kong International Airport; and</li> <li>(f) construction of the Hong Kong section of the Guangzhou-Shenzhen-Hong Kong Express Rail Link.</li> </ul>	<p>The Administration to provide the written response when ready.</p>
<p>8. Information papers issued since last meeting (26 March 2012)</p>	<p>The Administration agreed to provide more information on the pilot scheme on cross-boundary sailing for pleasure vessels recently reported to the Panel vide LC Paper No. CB(1)1376/11-12(01) issued on 22 March 2012.</p>	<p>The Administration to provide the written response when ready.</p>
<p>9. Hong Kong International</p>	<p>The Administration agreed to take the following actions –</p>	<p>The Administration to provide</p>

<b>Subject (Date of meeting)</b>	<b>Follow-up action required</b>	<b>Administration's response</b>
Airport Master Plan 2030 (26 March 2012)	(a) explore new methods and technologies that would help reduce land development cost associated with the construction of the third runway, and report back on the outcomes; and  (b) list out in the relevant funding proposal to be submitted to the Finance Committee all major views and concerns expressed by members at the meeting as well as the Administration's responses thereto. To assure members that the relevant environmental impact assessments (EIAs) would be properly conducted, the Administration should in particular provide details on the relevant training and experience of the three officers to be seconded to fill the three supernumerary directorate posts concerned that qualified them for the task, and on the measures taken to ensure that the Airport Authority would engage world-recognized experts to conduct the EIAs.	the written response when ready.
10. Update on Hong Kong Disneyland (HKD) (25 February 2013)	The Administration and HKD were requested to provide the following information –  (a) whether HKD would consider arranging and/or providing shuttle bus service between the Park and Tung Chung in order to tap the manpower resources in Tung Chung; and  (b) the formula for calculation and the amount of management fee payable to The Walt Disney Company since the opening of the HKD.	The information provided by the Administration and HKD was issued to members vide LC Paper No. CB(1)1743/12-13(01) on 28 August 2013.
11. Follow-up actions arising	The Administration was requested to provide a written response to	The Administration provided

<b>Subject (Date of meeting)</b>	<b>Follow-up action required</b>	<b>Administration's response</b>
<p>from the Report of the Commission of Inquiry into the Collision of Vessels near Lamma Island on 1 October 2012 (27 May 2013)</p>	<p>the following motion passed by the Panel:</p> <p>"就南丫島海難事件，調查委員會指出當局執法錯漏百出。本事務委員會對於運輸及房屋局局長及海事處處長遲來的道歉表示極度失望和遺憾。並促請當局必須以獨立及專業的原則進行調查及制度改革，違規官員應承擔責任。此外，政府應向海難死傷者家屬作出賠償。"</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><u>Translation</u></p> <p>"That, with regard to the marine disaster that happened near Lamma Island, the Commission of Inquiry pointed out that there were a lot of errors and omissions on the part of the authorities in taking enforcement actions. This Panel expresses its extreme disappointment with and regret for the belated apologies offered by the Secretary for Transport and Housing and the Director of Marine, and it urges the authorities to uphold the principles of independence and professionalism in conducting investigations and making reforms to the systems and that officials not performing their duties properly should be held responsible. In addition, the Government should provide compensation to families of those who died or were injured in this marine disaster."</p>	<p>update on follow-up actions to members vide LC paper No. CB(1) 1522/12-13(01) in July 2013 and will continue to provide further updates by end 2013.</p>
<p>12. Incorporating in local legislation the latest standards of the International Maritime Organization on vessel</p>	<p>The Administration was requested to provide information of the assessment on the level of pollution caused by locally licensed vessels and river trade vessels which were controlled under the Tier I level for emission of nitrogen oxides; and the time-table for introduction of legislation to upgrade the control level to Tier II for</p>	<p>The information provided by the Administration was issued to members vide LC Paper No. CB(1)1539/12-13(01) on 16 July 2013.</p>

<b>Subject (Date of meeting)</b>	<b>Follow-up action required</b>	<b>Administration's response</b>
emissions (24 June 2013)	new vessels.	
13. Latest progress in taking forward the reform of the new regulatory regime for the tourism sector in Hong Kong (22 July 2013)	At the request of Mr Paul TSE, the Administration undertook to provide a supplementary paper on the Travel Industry Compensation Fund (TICF) setting out details in respect of its nature, ambit, operation etc. in order to address members' queries about TICF and the proposal to redeploy part of its balance to the new Travel Industry Development Fund.	The information provided by the Administration was issued to members vide LC Paper No. CB(1)1656/12-13(01) on 2 August 2013.
14. Revision of postage rates and miscellaneous postage fees and charges (22 July 2013)	<p>The Administration was requested to provide a written response to the motion passed by the Panel :</p> <p>"本會對郵政署在作出收費調整決定時，無須知會立法會的收費調整制度表示強烈不滿。有關制度容許郵政署在服務加費事宜上直接繞過立法會，以致在收費檢討過程中缺乏聆聽社會公眾意見的機會，忽略公眾對收費調整的承受能力。就此，本會促請政府增加郵政收費檢討制度的透明度，並盡一切能力紓減郵費調整對市民的影響。"</p> <p>(Translation)</p> <p>"That, this Panel expresses its strong dissatisfaction with the fees revision system whereby the Post Office does not have to notify the Legislative Council when it decides to introduce postage revisions. The system allows the Post Office to simply bypass the Legislative Council when</p>	The response provided by the Administration was issued to members vide LC Paper No. CB(1)1634/12-13(01) on 30 July 2013.

<b>Subject (Date of meeting)</b>	<b>Follow-up action required</b>	<b>Administration's response</b>
	<p>introducing service fee increases, thereby making it impossible for the voices of the people to be heard in the fee review process and eventually the affordability of the people in the face of such increases is ignored. In this connection, this Panel urges the Government to enhance the transparency of the postage revision system and to make every possible effort to alleviate the impact brought about by postage revisions on the people."</p>	

Council Business Division 1  
Legislative Council Secretariat  
7 October 2013