

Bills Committee on Kai Tak Cruise Terminal Bill

**List of follow-up actions arising from the discussion
at the meeting on 24 November 2015**

In addition to the issues raised in the letter from the Assistant Legal Adviser dated 20 November 2015 (LC Paper No. CB(4)244/15-16(03)) , the Administration is requested to consider the following issues and provide their response –

- (a) in respect of the term "on a commercial basis" under Clause 5(1) of the Bill, whether the term "according to prudent commercial principles" used in section 6 of the Airport Authority Ordinance (Cap. 483) would be adopted instead, and if not, the reason(s);
- (b) the relationship among the Government, the terminal operator and the Commissioner for Tourism ("the Commissioner"), in particular the role of and statutory powers conferred to the Commissioner, as far as the tenancy agreement signed between the Government and the terminal operator for the operation and management of the Terminal is concerned; and
- (c) regarding the definition of "cruise ship" as set out in Clause 2 of the Bill, whether "any other vessel approved by the Commissioner for the purpose of this Ordinance" could be deleted or amended so as not to include non-cruise vessel as "cruise ship".