

### Panel on Development

#### List of follow-up actions (Position as at 17 December 2014)

Subject (Responsible Bureau/Office)	Date of meeting	Follow-up actions required	Administration's response
1. Tung Chung New Town Extension Study -- Stage 3 Public Engagement (Development Bureau)	28 October 2014	<p>The Administration was requested to provide the following information in respect of the development of the Tung Chung New Town Extension:</p> <p><u>Environmental issues</u></p> <p>(a) regarding the concerns about noise pollution in Tung Chung caused by the movement of aircrafts in the Hong Kong International Airport, the details of the Noise Exposure Forecast ("NEF") 25 contour for the Airport in the coming 10 years, and whether residential units would be developed within the NEF 25 contour;</p> <p>(b) the measures to address public concerns about the poor quality of air in Tung Chung;</p>	Administration's response was issued to members on 24 November 2014 vide LC Paper No. CB(1)275/14-15(01).

<b>Subject (Responsible Bureau/Office)</b>	<b>Date of meeting</b>	<b>Follow-up actions required</b>	<b>Administration's response</b>
		<p><u>Local employment opportunities</u></p> <p>(c) a blueprint, if any, for developing shopping and dining centres in the border areas in Lantau;</p> <p>(d) how the patronage to the proposed hotel, retail and dining facilities in the extension area would be supported so as to provide sufficient local employment opportunities;</p> <p>(e) whether land would be reserved to facilitate the development of the manufacturing industry, such as manufacturing of electric cars, in Tung Chung;</p> <p><u>Transportation and connectivity</u></p> <p>(f) whether the Administration would address the problem that taxis were not allowed to pick up and drop off passengers at the Cathy Pacific City; and</p>	

Subject (Responsible Bureau/Office)	Date of meeting	Follow-up actions required	Administration's response
		(g) whether the capacity of the MTR Tung Chung Line would be enhanced to cater for the transportation needs of the new population.	
2. Capital Works Reserve Fund Block Allocations for 2015-2016 (Financial Services and the Treasury Bureau)	25 November 2014	The Administration was requested to provide a comparison of the approved funding and the actual expenditures for the block allocations under the Capital Works Reserve Fund of the past two years.	Administration's response was issued to members on 4 December 2014 vide LC Paper No. CB(1)327/14-15(01).
3. Revitalization of Bridges Street Market, Former Fanling Magistracy and Haw Par Mansion under the Revitalizing Historic Buildings Through Partnership Scheme (Development Bureau)	3 December 2014	<p>The Administration was requested to provide the following information --</p> <p><u>Selection of successful applicants for implementing the revitalization projects</u></p> <p>(a) details about the applicants for the three revitalization projects, namely the revitalization of the Bridges Street Market, the Former Fanling Magistracy and the Haw Par Mansion, including the names of the applicants and a brief description of their proposals;</p>	Administration's response awaited

Subject (Responsible Bureau/Office)	Date of meeting	Follow-up actions required	Administration's response
		<p>(b) the criteria adopted by the Administration for assessing the applicants in (a); justifications for selecting the three successful applicants;</p> <p><u>Cost, operation and financial performance</u></p> <p>(c) in respect of the concerns about whether the proposed funding of \$73.3 million, \$95.9 million and \$144.2 million would be adequate to cover the capital cost of the three revitalization projects respectively, the justifications for the proposed funding;</p> <p>(d) the estimated operating revenue and cost of the special purpose companies set up for implementing the three revitalization projects ("SPCs"); their plans to achieve financial self-sustainability; the measures available for adoption by the Administration where the SPCs run operating deficits in future;</p> <p>(e) operation and financial performance of the organizations/social enterprises</p>	

<b>Subject (Responsible Bureau/Office)</b>	<b>Date of meeting</b>	<b>Follow-up actions required</b>	<b>Administration's response</b>
		<p>which were currently responsible for implementing the projects under Batch I and Batch II of the Revitalizing Historic Buildings Through Partnership Scheme;</p> <p><u>Repair and maintenance</u></p> <p>(f) the repair and maintenance works planned to be conducted for the historic buildings under the three revitalization projects; the annual estimated cost to be incurred in the repair and maintenance works in the future five years;</p> <p>(g) as a cross-reference to the information in (f), for those old/historic buildings with their scale/complexity comparable to the three historic buildings i.e., the Bridges Street Market, the Former Fanling Magistracy and the Haw Par Mansion, and under the maintenance of the Government, the annual expenditures incurred in repairing and maintaining them;</p> <p>(h) the respective responsibilities to be borne by the Administration and SPCs for the</p>	

<b>Subject (Responsible Bureau/Office)</b>	<b>Date of meeting</b>	<b>Follow-up actions required</b>	<b>Administration's response</b>
		<p>repair and maintenance of the equipment/installations in the three historic buildings;</p> <p><u>Project scope</u></p> <p>(i) regarding the revitalization project for the Bridges Street Market, the restoration/conversion plan for the existing staircases/link bridges connecting to Wing Lee Street; the respective responsibilities to be borne by the Administration and the relevant SPC for the maintenance of these staircases/link bridges in future;</p> <p>(j) regarding the revitalization project for the Former Fanling Magistracy, whether any buildings/structures at the site would be demolished; if yes, the details; and</p> <p><u>Car parking spaces for visitors to the Haw Par Music Farm (Haw Par Mansion)</u></p> <p>(k) the measures to deal with the demand for car parking spaces from individual</p>	

Subject (Responsible Bureau/Office)	Date of meeting	Follow-up actions required	Administration's response
		visitors (in case they come in a large number without prior notice) to the Haw Par Music Farm (Haw Par Mansion).	
4. Tung Chung New Town Extension Study -- Stage 3 Public Engagement (Development Bureau)	3 December 2014	<p>The Administration was requested to provide the following information in respect of the development of the Tung Chung New Town Extension ("TCNTE"):</p> <p><u>Environmental issues</u></p> <p>(a) regarding the concerns about noise pollution in Tung Chung caused by the movement of aircrafts in the Hong Kong International Airport ("HKIA"), information about <u>the present situation and projections at a 5-year interval until 2030, under the two different circumstances that the third runway of HKIA would be and would not be constructed:</u></p> <p>(i) details of the Noise Exposure Forecast ("NEF") 25 contour;</p>	Administration's response awaited

Subject (Responsible Bureau/Office)	Date of meeting	Follow-up actions required	Administration's response
		<p>(ii) details of the NEF 20 contour; and</p> <p>(iii) the numbers of aircraft movements at night that would generate noise exceeding 80 decibels ("dB");</p> <p>(b) daily figures for a number of pollutants (including respirable suspended particulars and sulphur dioxide) detected in the air in Tung Chung during the period from 12:00 noon to 2:00 pm;</p> <p><u>Capacity of the MTR Tung Chung Line</u></p> <p>(c) whether the MTR Tung Chung Line could meet the transportation needs of the new population given a member's view that the spare capacity of the MTR Tung Chung Line was around 4 000 yet around 15 000 more people would use the train service during peak hours upon the completion of TCNTE in 2031;</p> <p><u>Local employment problems</u></p> <p>(d) the measures to be taken by the Administration to tackle the existing</p>	

<b>Subject (Responsible Bureau/Office)</b>	<b>Date of meeting</b>	<b>Follow-up actions required</b>	<b>Administration's response</b>
		<p>manpower mismatch in Lantau, reflected by the existence of a large number of job vacancies in HKIA and the North Lantau Hospital on one hand and the great demand for jobs from local residents of Tung Chung on the other; and</p> <p>(e) whether the Administration would consider some members' suggestion of abolishing the tolls of Tsing Ma Bridge and the proposed Tuen Mun-Chek Lap Kok Link to vitalize economic development of Tung Chung.</p>	