

**Panel on Development**

**List of follow-up actions**  
(Position as at 19 March 2015)

<b>Subject (Responsible Bureau/Office)</b>	<b>Date of meeting</b>	<b>Follow-up actions required</b>	<b>Administration's response</b>
1. Revitalization of Bridges Street Market, Former Fanling Magistracy and Haw Par Mansion under the Revitalizing Historic Buildings Through Partnership Scheme (Development Bureau)	3 December 2014	<p>The Administration was requested to provide the following information --</p> <p><u>Selection of successful applicants for implementing the revitalization projects</u></p> <p>(a) details about the applicants for the three revitalization projects, namely the revitalization of the Bridges Street Market, the Former Fanling Magistracy and the Haw Par Mansion, including the names of the applicants and a brief description of their proposals;</p> <p>(b) the criteria adopted by the Administration for assessing the applicants in (a); justifications for selecting the three successful applicants;</p>	Administration's response awaited

<b>Subject (Responsible Bureau/Office)</b>	<b>Date of meeting</b>	<b>Follow-up actions required</b>	<b>Administration's response</b>
		<p><u>Cost, operation and financial performance</u></p> <p>(c) in respect of the concerns about whether the proposed funding of \$73.3 million, \$95.9 million and \$144.2 million would be adequate to cover the capital cost of the three revitalization projects respectively, the justifications for the proposed funding;</p> <p>(d) the estimated operating revenue and cost of the special purpose companies set up for implementing the three revitalization projects ("SPCs"); their plans to achieve financial self-sustainability; the measures available for adoption by the Administration where the SPCs run operating deficits in future;</p> <p>(e) operation and financial performance of the organizations/social enterprises which were currently responsible for implementing the projects under Batch I and Batch II of the Revitalizing Historic Buildings Through Partnership Scheme;</p>	

<b>Subject (Responsible Bureau/Office)</b>	<b>Date of meeting</b>	<b>Follow-up actions required</b>	<b>Administration's response</b>
		<p><u>Repair and maintenance</u></p> <p>(f) the repair and maintenance works planned to be conducted for the historic buildings under the three revitalization projects; the annual estimated cost to be incurred in the repair and maintenance works in the future five years;</p> <p>(g) as a cross-reference to the information in (f), for those old/historic buildings with their scale/complexity comparable to the three historic buildings i.e., the Bridges Street Market, the Former Fanling Magistracy and the Haw Par Mansion, and under the maintenance of the Government, the annual expenditures incurred in repairing and maintaining them;</p> <p>(h) the respective responsibilities to be borne by the Administration and SPCs for the repair and maintenance of the equipment/installations in the three historic buildings;</p>	

<b>Subject (Responsible Bureau/Office)</b>	<b>Date of meeting</b>	<b>Follow-up actions required</b>	<b>Administration's response</b>
		<p><u>Project scope</u></p> <p>(i) regarding the revitalization project for the Bridges Street Market, the restoration/conversion plan for the existing staircases/link bridges connecting to Wing Lee Street; the respective responsibilities to be borne by the Administration and the relevant SPC for the maintenance of these staircases/link bridges in future;</p> <p>(j) regarding the revitalization project for the Former Fanling Magistracy, whether any buildings/structures at the site would be demolished; if yes, the details; and</p> <p><u>Car parking spaces for visitors to the Haw Par Music Farm (Haw Par Mansion)</u></p> <p>(k) the measures to deal with the demand for car parking spaces from individual visitors (in case they come in a large number without prior notice) to the Haw Par Music Farm (Haw Par Mansion).</p>	

Subject (Responsible Bureau/Office)	Date of meeting	Follow-up actions required	Administration's response
<p>2. Tung Chung New Town Extension Study -- Stage 3 Public Engagement (Development Bureau)</p>	<p>3 December 2014</p>	<p>The Administration was requested to provide the following information in respect of the development of the Tung Chung New Town Extension ("TCNTE") --</p> <p><u>Environmental issues</u></p> <p>(a) regarding the concerns about noise pollution in Tung Chung caused by the movement of aircrafts in the Hong Kong International Airport ("HKIA"), information about <u>the present situation and projections at a 5-year interval until 2030, under the two different circumstances that the third runway of HKIA would be and would not be constructed:</u></p> <p>(i) details of the Noise Exposure Forecast ("NEF") 25 contour;</p> <p>(ii) details of the NEF 20 contour; and</p> <p>(iii) the numbers of aircraft movements at night that would generate noise exceeding 80 decibels ("dB");</p>	<p>Administration's response awaited</p>

<b>Subject (Responsible Bureau/Office)</b>	<b>Date of meeting</b>	<b>Follow-up actions required</b>	<b>Administration's response</b>
		<p>(b) daily figures for a number of pollutants (including respirable suspended particulars and sulphur dioxide) detected in the air in Tung Chung during the period from 12:00 noon to 2:00 pm;</p> <p><u>Capacity of the MTR Tung Chung Line</u></p> <p>(c) whether the MTR Tung Chung Line could meet the transportation needs of the new population given a member's view that the spare capacity of the MTR Tung Chung Line was around 4 000 yet around 15 000 more people would use the train service during peak hours upon the completion of TCNTE in 2031;</p> <p><u>Local employment problems</u></p> <p>(d) the measures to be taken by the Administration to tackle the existing manpower mismatch in Lantau, reflected by the existence of a large number of job vacancies in HKIA and the North Lantau Hospital on one hand and the great</p>	

Subject (Responsible Bureau/Office)	Date of meeting	Follow-up actions required	Administration's response
		<p>demand for jobs from local residents of Tung Chung on the other; and</p> <p>(e) whether the Administration would consider some members' suggestion of abolishing the tolls of Tsing Ma Bridge and the proposed Tuen Mun-Chek Lap Kok Link to vitalize economic development of Tung Chung.</p>	
<p>3. PWP Item No. 3450RO -- Converting Tsun Yip Street Playground as Kwun Tong Industrial Culture Park (Development Bureau)</p>	<p>24 February 2015</p>	<p>The Administration was requested to provide the following information --</p> <p>(a) with respect to the Administration's advice that an indoor exhibition venue was currently provided near the Tsun Yip Street sitting-out area as part of the transformation of Tsun Yip Street Playground Phase 1, details of the venue and the exhibits;</p> <p>(b) apart from the provision of art installations at the proposed site, how the Kwun Tong Industrial Culture Park ("the Park") itself comprising mainly the central lawn would be designed to realize the "industrial culture" theme;</p>	<p>Administration's response awaited</p>

<b>Subject (Responsible Bureau/Office)</b>	<b>Date of meeting</b>	<b>Follow-up actions required</b>	<b>Administration's response</b>
		<p>(c) measures/plans adopted by the Administration to enhance the pedestrian accessibility to the proposed site and the connectivity between the proposed site and the major transport nodes in Kwun Tong;</p> <p>(d) in respect of the view about the importance of ensuring an effective use of the Park in future, details about the management of the Park, including the Government department(s) that would take up management and programme planning; and if more than one department would be involved, the delineation of the responsibilities among these departments;</p> <p>(e) the design and space requirements of the proposed toilet block and baby care room; justifications for providing these facilities at the proposed locations given that they were close to some private/commercial buildings;</p>	

Subject (Responsible Bureau/Office)	Date of meeting	Follow-up actions required	Administration's response
		<p>(f) whether the Administration would reserve spaces (such as by constructing multi-storey structures) at the proposed site to accommodate young people engaging in creative and cultural work but were forced to move out from the industrial buildings in Kowloon East because of the rising rents; if yes, the details; if no, the reasons; and</p> <p>(g) in respect of the view that the site for reprovisioning the existing ball courts was far away from the Tsun Yip Street Playground, whether the Administration would appropriately provide sport facilities at the proposed site, including venues for jogging and soccer activities, given that the demand for such facilities in the area might still exist in future; if yes, the details; if no, the reasons.</p>	
<p>4. Three PWP Items related to proposed developments in Area 54, Tuen Mun (666CL, 681CL and 99WC)</p>	<p>24 February 2015</p>	<p>The Administration was requested to provide the following information --</p> <p>(a) with respect to the Administration's advice that the New Engineering</p>	<p>Administration's response awaited</p>

<b>Subject (Responsible Bureau/Office)</b>	<b>Date of meeting</b>	<b>Follow-up actions required</b>	<b>Administration's response</b>
(Development Bureau)		<p>Contract ("NEC") form would be adopted for the proposed projects (PWP Item No. 666CL and PWP Item No. 681CL) which covered mainly site formation works,</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li data-bbox="1003 523 1628 778">(i) the difference between NEC form and conventional contracts; the factors of consideration and merits for adopting NEC form instead of implementing the proposed projects under conventional contracts;</li><li data-bbox="1003 831 1628 1257">(ii) in implementing the proposed projects under NEC form, how the Administration would manage/mitigate project risks, control/monitor project costs, handle and assess the relevant contractors' claims for extra payments/monetary compensation, prevent and deal with project cost overruns;</li><li data-bbox="1003 1310 1628 1383">(iii) the possible limitations of NEC form in addressing the issues in</li></ul>	

Subject (Responsible Bureau/Office)	Date of meeting	Follow-up actions required	Administration's response
		<p>(a)(ii) in taking forward the proposed projects; and</p> <p>(b) given that the proposed projects would include the construction of cycle tracks, whether the Administration would provide bicycle parking facilities at suitable locations, e.g. at the proposed public transport interchange; if yes, the details.</p>	
<p>5. PWP Item No. 181WF -- In-situ reprovisioning of Sha Tin Water Treatment Works (South Works) -- advance works (Development Bureau)</p>	<p>24 February 2015</p>	<p>The Administration was requested to provide the following information --</p> <p>(a) details about water demand forecast for the next decade, including the methodology adopted by the Census and Statistics Department for population projections and the water consumption amount per capita estimated by the Water Supplies Department taking into account the impact of tourism and the water conservation campaign;</p> <p>(b) the number of population that Sha Tin Water Treatment Works could serve after</p>	<p>Administration's response awaited</p>

<b>Subject (Responsible Bureau/Office)</b>	<b>Date of meeting</b>	<b>Follow-up actions required</b>	<b>Administration's response</b>
		<p>the completion of the reprovisioning works for both the South Works and the North Works;</p> <p>(c) the Government department(s) responsible for managing the existing trees within the boundary of the project; and</p> <p>(d) the details and number of prosecutions taken against the illegal felling of/damages to the trees on government land and the follow-up actions adopted to protect them.</p>	