

Panel on Development

List of follow-up actions (Position as at 21 May 2015)

Subject (Responsible Bureau/Office)	Date of meeting	Follow-up actions required	Administration's response
1. Tung Chung New Town Extension Study -- Stage 3 Public Engagement (Development Bureau)	3 December 2014	<p>The Administration was requested to provide the following information in respect of the development of the Tung Chung New Town Extension ("TCNTE") --</p> <p><u>Environmental issues</u></p> <p>(a) regarding the concerns about noise pollution in Tung Chung caused by the movement of aircrafts in the Hong Kong International Airport ("HKIA"), information about <u>the present situation and projections at a 5-year interval until 2030, under the two different circumstances</u> that the third runway of HKIA <u>would be and would not be constructed:</u></p> <p>(i) details of the Noise Exposure Forecast ("NEF") 25 contour;</p>	Administration's response awaited

Subject (Responsible Bureau/Office)	Date of meeting	Follow-up actions required	Administration's response
		<p>(ii) details of the NEF 20 contour; and</p> <p>(iii) the numbers of aircraft movements at night that would generate noise exceeding 80 decibels ("dB");</p> <p>(b) daily figures for a number of pollutants (including respirable suspended particulars and sulphur dioxide) detected in the air in Tung Chung during the period from 12:00 noon to 2:00 pm;</p> <p><u>Capacity of the MTR Tung Chung Line</u></p> <p>(c) whether the MTR Tung Chung Line could meet the transportation needs of the new population given a member's view that the spare capacity of the MTR Tung Chung Line was around 4 000 yet around 15 000 more people would use the train service during peak hours upon the completion of TCNTE in 2031;</p> <p><u>Local employment problems</u></p> <p>(d) the measures to be taken by the Administration to tackle the existing manpower mismatch in Lantau, reflected</p>	

Subject (Responsible Bureau/Office)	Date of meeting	Follow-up actions required	Administration's response
		<p>by the existence of a large number of job vacancies in HKIA and the North Lantau Hospital on one hand and the great demand for jobs from local residents of Tung Chung on the other; and</p> <p>(e) whether the Administration would consider some members' suggestion of abolishing the tolls of Tsing Ma Bridge and the proposed Tuen Mun-Chek Lap Kok Link to vitalize economic development of Tung Chung.</p>	
<p>2. PWP Item No. 3450RO -- Converting Tsun Yip Street Playground as Kwun Tong Industrial Culture Park (Development Bureau)</p>	<p>24 February 2015</p>	<p>The Administration was requested to provide the following information --</p> <p>(a) with respect to the Administration's advice that an indoor exhibition venue was currently provided near the Tsun Yip Street sitting-out area as part of the transformation of Tsun Yip Street Playground Phase 1, details of the venue and the exhibits;</p> <p>(b) apart from the provision of art installations at the proposed site, how the Kwun Tong Industrial Culture Park ("the</p>	<p>The Administration's response was issued to members on 29 April 2015 vide LC Paper No. CB(1)803/14-15(01).</p>

Subject (Responsible Bureau/Office)	Date of meeting	Follow-up actions required	Administration's response
		<p>Park") itself comprising mainly the central lawn would be designed to realize the "industrial culture" theme;</p> <p>(c) measures/plans adopted by the Administration to enhance the pedestrian accessibility to the proposed site and the connectivity between the proposed site and the major transport nodes in Kwun Tong;</p> <p>(d) in respect of the view about the importance of ensuring an effective use of the Park in future, details about the management of the Park, including the Government department(s) that would take up management and programme planning; and if more than one department would be involved, the delineation of the responsibilities among these departments;</p> <p>(e) the design and space requirements of the proposed toilet block and baby care room; justifications for providing these facilities at the proposed locations given that they were close to some private/commercial buildings;</p>	

Subject (Responsible Bureau/Office)	Date of meeting	Follow-up actions required	Administration's response
		<p>(f) whether the Administration would reserve spaces (such as by constructing multi-storey structures) at the proposed site to accommodate young people engaging in creative and cultural work but were forced to move out from the industrial buildings in Kowloon East because of the rising rents; if yes, the details; if no, the reasons; and</p> <p>(g) in respect of the view that the site for reprovisioning the existing ball courts was far away from the Tsun Yip Street Playground, whether the Administration would appropriately provide sport facilities at the proposed site, including venues for jogging and soccer activities, given that the demand for such facilities in the area might still exist in future; if yes, the details; if no, the reasons.</p>	
<p>3. PWP Item No. 181WF -- In-situ reprovisioning of Sha Tin Water Treatment Works (South Works)</p>	<p>24 February 2015</p>	<p>The Administration was requested to provide the following information --</p> <p>(a) details about water demand forecast for the next decade, including the</p>	<p>The Administration's response was issued to members on 4 May 2015 vide LC Paper No. CB(1)811/14-15(01).</p>

Subject (Responsible Bureau/Office)	Date of meeting	Follow-up actions required	Administration's response
<p>-- advance works (Development Bureau)</p>		<p>methodology adopted by the Census and Statistics Department for population projections and the water consumption amount per capita estimated by the Water Supplies Department taking into account the impact of tourism and the water conservation campaign;</p> <p>(b) the number of population that Sha Tin Water Treatment Works could serve after the completion of the reprovisioning works for both the South Works and the North Works;</p> <p>(c) the Government department(s) responsible for managing the existing trees within the boundary of the project; and</p> <p>(d) the details and number of prosecutions taken against the illegal felling of/damages to the trees on government land and the follow-up actions adopted to protect them.</p>	
<p>4. PWP Item No. 711CL -- Kai Tak</p>	<p>28 April 2015</p>	<p>The Administration was requested to provide the following information --</p>	<p>Administration's response awaited</p>

Subject (Responsible Bureau/Office)	Date of meeting	Follow-up actions required	Administration's response
<p>Development -- Infrastructure works for developments at the southern part of the former runway and Progress report on Kai Tak Development (Development Bureau)</p>		<p>(a) with respect to the Administration's advice in paragraph 27 of Enclosure 2 to the Administration's paper (LC Paper No. CB(1)759/14-15(03)) that a study confirming the technical feasibility and environmental viability of increasing office and housing supply in the Kai Tak Development ("KTD") had been completed, a copy of the study report;</p> <p>(b) given that the Administration planned to provide a park at a site located between Kai Ching Estate and Tak Long Estate, the timetable for the construction and commissioning of the park; the site plan of the park;</p> <p>(c) with respect to the Administration's advice at the meeting that there were technical constraints that made it difficult to take forward a proposal to provide Shing Fung Road underground to enhance pedestrian accessibility in the concerned area, details of the technical constraints, with plans/drawings (including cross-section plans) showing how the installation of the relevant</p>	

Subject (Responsible Bureau/Office)	Date of meeting	Follow-up actions required	Administration's response
		<p>underground chilled water distribution pipes as part of the District Cooling System provided/to be provided at the KTD area and/or other utility pipes would make the proposal not practicable;</p> <p>(d) with respect to the concern on whether it was necessary to provide the proposed elevated landscaped deck cum noise barriers given the substantial construction costs involved, the justification for providing the elevated landscaped deck and its benefits to the local communities and pedestrians;</p> <p>(e) with plans/drawings including layout and cross-section plans, details on how the elevated landscaped deck would interface with the Environmental Friendly Linkage System;</p> <p>(f) details on how the elevated landscaped deck would be connected to the pedestrian facilities, the commercial and other major developments in the KTD area;</p> <p>(g) given that under the proposed design,</p>	

Subject (Responsible Bureau/Office)	Date of meeting	Follow-up actions required	Administration's response
		<p>noise barriers on the elevated landscaped deck would be installed at the side near the residential developments but not the side near the commercial developments, reasons for the Administration to adopt such a design; whether and how the design would mitigate the noise impact on the pedestrians using the elevated landscaped deck in future; estimated additional expenditure required for the installation of noise barriers at the side near the commercial developments;</p> <p>(h) whether the Administration would revise the relevant proposal to enable pedestrians/residents to travel between the elevated landscaped deck and the nearby residential developments; if yes, the details; if no, the reasons;</p> <p>(i) a detailed breakdown of the cost of the proposed project, including the provision for price adjustment and contingencies; and</p> <p>(j) given that the provision for price adjustment and contingencies would represent a significant proportion of the</p>	

Subject (Responsible Bureau/Office)	Date of meeting	Follow-up actions required	Administration's response
		<p>estimated cost of the proposed project, justifications for the two expenditure items; how the amount of "provision for price adjustment" was worked out; with examples of the best and worst scenarios, whether and how the provision for price adjustment would be affected by the time taken to complete the proposed project.</p>	
<p>5. PWP Item No. 45CG -- District Cooling System at Kai Tak Development (Development Bureau)</p>	<p>28 April 2015</p>	<p>The Administration was requested to provide information about the maintenance cost for the District Cooling System at Kai Tak Development in the next 30 years (i.e. the expected payback period), including the amount of the cost per year, how the cost would be funded, etc.; and the operation cost for the System per year, etc.</p>	<p>Administration's response awaited</p>

Subject (Responsible Bureau/Office)	Date of meeting	Follow-up actions required	Administration's response
6. PWP Item No. 570CL -- Ground decontamination works at the site of ex-Kennedy Town Incineration Plant/Abattoir and adjoining area (Development Bureau)	28 April 2015	The Administration was requested to provide information about the consultancy study commissioned by the Administration on the possible impact of the implementation of the proposed project on trees, including the scope of the study, the study period and a summary of the findings/recommendations of the study, etc.	The Administration's response was issued to members on 15 May 2015 vide LC Paper No. CB(1)854/14-15(01).

Council Business Division 1
Legislative Council Secretariat
21 May 2015