

**立法會**  
**Legislative Council**

LC Paper No. CB(2)1326/15-16

Ref : CB2/H/5/15

**House Committee of the Legislative Council**

**Minutes of the 20th meeting  
held in Conference Room 1 of the Legislative Council Complex  
at 2:30 pm on Friday, 15 April 2016**

**Members present:**

Hon Andrew LEUNG Kwan-yuen, GBS, JP (Chairman)  
Hon MA Fung-kwok, SBS, JP (Deputy Chairman)  
Hon LEE Cheuk-yan  
Hon James TO Kun-sun  
Hon CHAN Kam-lam, SBS, JP  
Hon LEUNG Yiu-chung  
Hon Emily LAU Wai-hing, JP  
Hon TAM Yiu-chung, GBS, JP  
Hon Abraham SHEK Lai-him, GBS, JP  
Hon Tommy CHEUNG Yu-yan, GBS, JP  
Hon Frederick FUNG Kin-kee, SBS, JP  
Hon Vincent FANG Kang, SBS, JP  
Hon WONG Kwok-hing, BBS, MH  
Prof Hon Joseph LEE Kok-long, SBS, JP, PhD, RN  
Hon WONG Ting-kwong, SBS, JP  
Hon Cyd HO Sau-lan, JP  
Hon Starry LEE Wai-king, JP  
Dr Hon LAM Tai-fai, SBS, JP  
Hon CHAN Hak-kan, JP  
Hon CHAN Kin-por, BBS, JP  
Dr Hon Priscilla LEUNG Mei-fun, SBS, JP  
Hon CHEUNG Kwok-che  
Hon WONG Kwok-kin, SBS  
Hon IP Kwok-him, GBS, JP  
Hon Mrs Regina IP LAU Suk-yea, GBS, JP  
Hon Paul TSE Wai-chun, JP  
Hon Alan LEONG Kah-kit, SC  
Hon LEUNG Kwok-hung  
Hon Albert CHAN Wai-yip

Hon WONG Yuk-man  
Hon Claudia MO  
Hon James TIEN Pei-chun, GBS, JP  
Hon NG Leung-sing, SBS, JP  
Hon Steven HO Chun-yin, BBS  
Hon WU Chi-wai, MH  
Hon YIU Si-wing, BBS  
Hon Gary FAN Kwok-wai  
Hon Charles Peter MOK, JP  
Hon CHAN Chi-chuen  
Hon CHAN Han-pan, JP  
Dr Hon Kenneth CHAN Ka-lok  
Hon CHAN Yuen-han, SBS, JP  
Hon LEUNG Che-cheung, BBS, MH, JP  
Hon Kenneth LEUNG  
Hon Alice MAK Mei-kuen, BBS, JP  
Dr Hon KWOK Ka-ki  
Hon KWOK Wai-keung  
Hon Dennis KWOK  
Hon Christopher CHEUNG Wah-fung, SBS, JP  
Dr Hon Fernando CHEUNG Chiu-hung  
Hon SIN Chung-kai, SBS, JP  
Dr Hon Helena WONG Pik-wan  
Hon IP Kin-yuen  
Dr Hon Elizabeth QUAT, JP  
Hon Martin LIAO Cheung-kong, SBS, JP  
Hon POON Siu-ping, BBS, MH  
Hon TANG Ka-piu, JP  
Dr Hon CHIANG Lai-wan, JP  
Ir Dr Hon LO Wai-kwok, SBS, MH, JP  
Hon CHUNG Kwok-pan  
Hon Christopher CHUNG Shu-kun, BBS, MH, JP  
Hon Tony TSE Wai-chuen, BBS  
Hon Alvin YEUNG Ngok-kiu

**Members absent:**

Hon Albert HO Chun-yan  
Dr Hon LAU Wong-fat, GBM, GBS, JP  
Hon Jeffrey LAM Kin-fung, GBS, JP  
Dr Hon LEUNG Ka-lau  
Hon Michael TIEN Puk-sun, BBS, JP  
Hon Frankie YICK Chi-ming, JP

**Clerk in attendance :**

Miss Flora TAI Clerk to the House Committee

**Staff in attendance :**

Mr Kenneth CHEN, SBS	Secretary General
Ms Connie FUNG	Legal Adviser
Miss Odelia LEUNG	Deputy Secretary General
Ms Anita SIT	Assistant Secretary General 1
Ms Dora WAI	Assistant Secretary General 3
Mr Matthew LOO	Assistant Secretary General 4
Mr Timothy TSO	Senior Assistant Legal Adviser 1
Mr Stephen LAM	Senior Assistant Legal Adviser 2
Mr Kelvin LEE	Senior Assistant Legal Adviser 3
Ms Amy YU	Principal Council Secretary 1
Ms Hallie CHAN	Head (Public Information)
Ms Alice LEUNG	Chief Council Secretary (2)6
Mr Alvin CHUI	Assistant Legal Adviser 3
Ms Clara TAM	Assistant Legal Adviser 9
Miss Karen LAI	Senior Council Secretary (2)6
Ms Jasmine TAM	Senior Council Secretary (2)8
Mr Richard WONG	Council Secretary (2)6
Ms Anna CHEUNG	Senior Legislative Assistant (2)3
Mr Arthur KAN	Legislative Assistant (2)7

---

Action

**I. Confirmation of the minutes of the 19th meeting held on 8 April 2016  
(LC Paper No. CB(2)1272/15-16)**

The minutes were confirmed.

**II. Matters arising**

**Report by the Chairman on his meeting with the Chief Secretary for Administration**

2. The Chairman said that there was nothing special to report.

### **III. Further business for the Council meeting of 20 April 2016**

#### Meeting arrangement for the Council meeting of 20 April 2016

3. The Chairman said that Members had been informed via a circular dated 12 April 2016 issued by the Secretariat that the President had acceded to the Administration's proposal about the order of consideration of Government bills by the Legislative Council ("LegCo"). Members might refer to the Agenda for the Council meeting of 20 April 2016 which had set out the order in which the Government bills were to be transacted at the meeting.

4. The Chairman further said that the Council would continue with the Second Reading debate on the Appropriation Bill 2016 at the Council meeting of 20 April 2016 during which public officers would respond. Subject to the Bill receiving its Second Reading, the Council would deal with its remaining proceedings. Members noted that the meeting would start at 11:00 am and be suspended at around 8:00 pm on Wednesday, 20 April 2016. The meeting would resume at 9:00 am and be suspended at around 8:00 pm on Thursday, 21 April 2016. The meeting would resume at 9:00 am and be adjourned at around 1:00 pm on Friday, 22 April 2016, as meetings of the House Committee ("HC") and the Finance Committee had been scheduled for that afternoon.

#### **Bills - resumption of debate on Second Reading, Committee Stage and Third Reading**

---

- (a) **Eastern Harbour Crossing Legislation (Amendment) Bill 2015**
- (b) **Inland Revenue (Amendment) (No. 4) Bill 2015**
- (c) **Promotion of Recycling and Proper Disposal (Product Container) (Amendment) Bill 2015**
- (d) **Companies (Winding Up and Miscellaneous Provisions) (Amendment) Bill 2015**
- (e) **Electoral Legislation (Miscellaneous Amendments) (No. 2) Bill 2015**

5. Members noted that at the last HC meeting, Members did not raise objection to the resumption of the Second Reading debates on the above five Bills. The Administration had given notices to resume the Second Reading debates on these Bills at the Council meeting of 20 April 2016.

#### IV. Business for the Council meeting of 27 April 2016

(a) **Questions**

*(LC Paper No. CB(3)508/15-16)*

Arrangement for questions to be asked at the Council meeting of 27 April 2016 and thereafter

---

6. The Chairman said that in accordance with rule 7(e) of the House Rules, there would be no arrangement for Members to put oral questions to the Government at the Council meetings for debate on the Appropriation Bill. The Chairman informed Members that as it was uncertain as to when all the proceedings on the Appropriation Bill 2016 would be completed, applications for oral questions to be asked at the Council meeting of 27 April 2016 and thereafter would be dealt with in accordance with the arrangement adopted last year.

7. The Chairman further said that when giving notice to ask oral questions at the Council meeting of 27 April 2016 and subsequent Council meetings, Members would be invited to indicate how they would like their questions to be dealt with in the event that there was no oral question session at those Council meetings. Members might choose to change their oral questions to written questions, or have their oral questions deferred to the Council meeting immediately following the one at which the proceedings on the Appropriation Bill 2016 were completed. Members noted the arrangement. In response to Ms Emily LAU, the Chairman confirmed that such an arrangement was the same as that adopted in past years.

8. Mr James TO sought clarification as to whether it was a matter of practice or a procedural stipulation that there would be no arrangement for Members to ask oral questions at the Council meetings for debate on the Appropriation Bill 2016.

9. At the invitation of the Chairman, the Secretary General advised that rule 7(e) of the House Rules provided that there would be no arrangement for Members to put oral questions to the Government at the Council meetings for debate on the Appropriation Bill and for the policy debate.

(b) **Bill - First Reading and moving of Second Reading**

10. The Chairman said that no notice had been received yet.

(c) **Government motions**

**Two proposed resolutions to be moved by the Secretary for Security under section 4 of the Mutual Legal Assistance in Criminal Matters Ordinance (Cap. 525) in relation to the following two orders:**

(i) **Mutual Legal Assistance in Criminal Matters (Sweden) Order; and**

(ii) **Mutual Legal Assistance in Criminal Matters (Argentina) Order**

*(LC Paper No. CB(3)518/15-16)*

11. The Chairman said that the two proposed resolutions would be dealt with at the meeting.

(d) **Members' motions**

12. The Chairman said that the Members' motions which had been scheduled for debate at previous Council meetings would stand over to the following Council meetings, with each Council meeting dealing with two such motions without legislative effect.

**V. Reports of Bills Committees and subcommittees**

(a) **Report of the Bills Committee on Kai Tak Cruise Terminal Bill**  
*(LC Paper No. CB(4)849/15-16)*

13. Mr CHAN Kam-lam, Chairman of the Bills Committee, briefed Members on the deliberations of the Bills Committee as detailed in its report. Mr CHAN informed Members that to address Bills Committee's concerns, the Administration would propose to move Committee stage amendments ("CSAs") to the Bill for the purpose of qualifying that the power conferred on the Commissioner for Tourism might only be exercised when it was necessary for the operation, safety or security of the Kai Tak Cruise Terminal. The Administration would also propose to move CSAs to the Bill to better reflect the policy intent and to refine the drafting of the Bill. The Bills Committee supported these CSAs and would not propose any other CSAs to the Bill. Members noted that subject to the moving of CSAs by the Administration, the Bills Committee supported the resumption of the Second Reading debate on the Bill at the Council meeting of 27 April 2016.

Action

14. The Chairman reminded Members that the deadline for giving notice of CSAs, if any, proposed to be moved to the above Bill would be Monday, 18 April 2016.

**(b) Report of the Subcommittee on Smoking (Public Health) Ordinance (Amendment of Schedule 2) Order 2015**

*(LC Paper No. CB(2)1275/15-16)*

15. Mr SIN Chung-kai, Chairman of the Subcommittee, briefed Members on the deliberations of the Subcommittee as detailed in its report. Members noted that the Administration would review the implementation of the smoking ban at the eight bus interchanges located at the tunnel portal areas 12 months after its implementation, and the views and suggestions of members of the Subcommittee would be taken into account in the review. The Subcommittee had agreed to refer the outcome of the said review to the Panel on Health Services for follow up.

**(c) Report of the Subcommittee on Rating (Exemption) Order 2016**

*(LC Paper No. CB(1)787/15-16)*

16. Mr WONG Ting-kwong, Chairman of the Subcommittee, briefed Members on the deliberations of the Subcommittee as detailed in its report. Members noted that the Subcommittee and the Administration would not propose any amendments to the Order.

**VI. Position on Bills Committees and subcommittees**

*(LC Paper No. CB(2)1273/15-16)*

17. The Chairman said that as at 14 April 2016, there were 10 Bills Committees, 10 subcommittees under HC and seven subcommittees on policy issues under Panels in action. Two subcommittees on policy issues under Panels were on the waiting list.

**VII. Proposal of Hon WONG Yuk-man to seek the Council's authorization for the appointment of a select committee to inquire into the incident of alleged contravention of airport security checks requirements by the Chief Executive Mr LEUNG Chun-ying and his family members**

*(LC Paper No. CB(2)1285/15-16(01))*

18. At the invitation of the Chairman, Mr WONG Yuk-man said that it was reported that at the Hong Kong International Airport ("the HK Airport") in the late night of 27 March 2016, the Chief Executive ("CE") Mr LEUNG Chun-ying's wife requested airline staff to bring a piece of

Action

hand luggage which her younger daughter had left behind in the departure hall to her younger daughter, who was then in the restricted area of the airport, and Mr LEUNG Chun-ying had a phone conversation with the airline staff on the matter ("the incident"). The hand luggage was brought back to Mr LEUNG's younger daughter eventually. Mr WONG criticized Mr LEUNG for shifting the responsibility onto the airline staff and airport security staff by claiming that how his daughter's hand luggage should be handled was a matter for them to decide. Given that the incident involved not only possible abuse by CE and his family members of their positions to seek privileged treatment from the airline staff but also other issues of great public concern such as airport security and relevant security checks requirements, he considered it incumbent upon LegCo to invoke the powers under the Legislative Council (Powers and Privileges) Ordinance (Cap. 382) ("the P&P Ordinance") to inquire into the incident in order to find out the truth. He had therefore put forward a proposal for the Chairman of HC to move a motion at the Council meeting of 20 April 2016 to seek the Council's authorization for the appointment of a select committee to conduct the proposed inquiry.

19. The Chairman invited Members' views on Mr WONG Yuk-man's proposal.

20. Ms Claudia MO considered it grossly unacceptable that Mr LEUNG Chun-ying had tried to shift the responsibility onto others by stating that the way by which his younger daughter's hand luggage had been handled was decided by the security and airline staff and not by him. Ms MO added that the alleged abuse of Mr LEUNG's position as CE in the incident might constitute a breach of Article 47 of the Basic Law which provided that CE had to be a person of integrity and dedicated to his or her duties. Having regard to the above considerations, she supported invoking the powers under the P&P Ordinance to initiate an inquiry into the incident.

21. Mr WU Chi-wai said that it had been clearly revealed in the recent media reports that Mr LEUNG Chun-ying had abused his position and exerted his influence as CE over the handling of his younger daughter's hand luggage by the airline staff concerned. The public were strongly dissatisfied that the Airport Authority Hong Kong ("AAHK"), the Civil Aviation Department ("CAD") and the Security Bureau ("SB") seemed to have suggested in their statements/responses that the "special arrangement for special case" made for Mr LEUNG's younger daughter was in fact a normal practice adopted by the airlines in Hong Kong. As there was grave public concern about possible loopholes in the implementation of security measures at the HK Airport and this would affect the image and reputation of the HK Airport in the international community, it was necessary for LegCo to conduct an inquiry to clear the doubts surrounding

Action

the incident. Mr WU added that Members belonging to the Democratic Party supported the proposed appointment of a select committee to inquire into the incident.

22. Mr IP Kin-yuen expressed support for Mr WONG Yuk-man's proposal. In his view, the proposed inquiry should seek to find out whether CE and his family members had abused their positions in the incident. Furthermore, to ascertain whether Mr LEUNG and his family members had contravened the airport security checks requirements, the proposed inquiry should also seek to clarify whether a passenger's hand luggage was required currently to undergo security checks together with the passenger, as well as whether any guidelines on such requirements had been provided to the airport security/airline staff and whether they had worked in accordance with such guidelines. He stressed that LegCo Members were duty bound to find out the truth about the incident.

23. Mr WONG Kwok-kin said that it was clear that the incident had been played up with political motives behind. In his view, there was no question of airport security having been compromised in the incident so long as the luggage concerned had gone through stringent security checks before it was brought into the restricted area of the airport. Mr WONG further said that he had learnt from the relevant airport/aviation workers unions under the Hong Kong Federation of Trade Unions that airport/airline staff had helped passengers carry their hand luggage through the security checkpoints to the restricted area of the airport from time to time and such an arrangement was not special or uncommon. Given the above considerations and having regard to the fact that the Fifth LegCo was to stand prorogued soon, it was neither necessary nor practical for LegCo to invoke the powers under the P&P Ordinance to inquire into the incident.

24. Mr YIU Si-wing said that he was advised by some seasoned airport staff that while airline staff generally would not help passengers carry their hand luggage to the restricted area of the airport, if a passenger's request for assistance was considered reasonable, the airline staff might exercise discretion in handling such request having regard to the actual situation. In any case, the primary considerations were that the identity of the luggage owner had been confirmed and the luggage would have to go through security checks prior to being brought into the restricted area. Given that there was no question of compromising airport security or providing privileged treatment in the incident, he did not support Mr WONG Yuk-man's proposal.

Action

25. Ms Emily LAU said that she could not agree that airport/airline staff had helped passengers carry their hand luggage through the security checkpoints to the restricted area of the airport from time to time and that such an arrangement was not special treatment. In her view, the responses given by AAHK, CAD and SB were unclear and had aroused grave concern about airport security. Ms LAU stressed that the arrangement made for Mr LEUNG Chun-ying's younger daughter was unusual and LegCo should inquire into it and find out the truth.

26. Dr KWOK Ka-ki said that the arrangement made for Mr LEUNG Chun-ying's younger daughter to retrieve the hand luggage she had left behind in the departure hall of the HK Airport was indeed a "special arrangement for special case" which was uncommon as many media reports had revealed that ordinary passengers were not offered such arrangement when requested for. Dr KWOK further said that he was supportive of the proposal to invoke the powers under the P&P Ordinance to inquire into the incident.

27. Mr LEUNG Kwok-hung disagreed with the views given by some Members that the arrangement made for Mr LEUNG Chun-ying's younger daughter was not unusual. He considered that Mr LEUNG Chun-ying should frankly tell the public as to whether he had made use of his position as CE to exert pressure on the airport/airline staff to bring his daughter's hand luggage into the restricted area of the airport. Mr LEUNG further said that CE's continual denial of any impropriety on his part in the incident might turn into a political crisis for the whole administration.

28. Ms Cyd HO said that the incident had caused wide public concern about whether CE and his family members had abused their positions to seek privileged treatment from the airline staff. Given that Mr LEUNG's younger daughter was not required to take her own hand luggage through security checks, she considered that such "special arrangement for special case" was indeed against the normal security checks requirements. Ms HO added that the Hong Kong Cabin Crew Federation would stage a sit-in protest at the HK Airport on Sunday, 17 April 2016 against the remark made by the Director-General of Civil Aviation ("DGCA") in his response to the incident that it was not a must for air passengers to carry their hand luggage through security checks before entering the restricted area of the airport. She called on Members to support the protest.

29. Mr Kenneth LEUNG said that if air passengers were not required to carry their own hand luggage through security checks before entering the restricted area of the airport as stated by DGCA and some Members, the safety of the HK Airport might be in jeopardy. Mr LEUNG therefore

Action

considered it necessary to appoint the proposed select committee to inquire into the incident to find out, among others, whether the incident involved a "special arrangement for special case", and if so, whether there were established procedures for making such arrangement.

30. Mr LEUNG Yiu-chung said that air passengers had all along been advised not to bring luggage to the restricted area of the airport on behalf of others. As many media reports had revealed that ordinary air passengers were not offered the same arrangement made for Mr LEUNG Chun-ying's younger daughter, he considered that she had enjoyed a privilege and queried why Government officials including the Secretary for Security and DGCA had not admitted so. He therefore supported the proposal of appointing a select committee to inquire into the incident to find out, among others, whether Mr LEUNG Chun-ying had abused his position as CE and acted improperly.

31. Mr CHAN Chi-chuen said that he was convinced that the incident involved Mr LEUNG Chun-ying abusing his position as CE and exerting pressure on the airport staff, and considered that members of the public would support the proposed appointment of a select committee by LegCo to inquire into it. Furthermore, he considered that Mr LEUNG's action might constitute an offence of misconduct in public office. Mr CHAN considered it necessary to appoint a select committee to inquire into the incident and that all relevant parties including SB, AAHK, CAD, Aviation Security Company Limited and all airlines should be summoned to give evidence on how "special arrangement for special case" would be made.

32. Mr Alan LEONG said that the controversy over the incident would have come to a close if Mr LEUNG Chun-ying had apologized to the public for what had happened. Unfortunately, Mr LEUNG did not do so, and the Secretary for Security and DGCA had tried to defend him by publicly stating that the special arrangement made was not uncommon. Mr LEONG therefore supported the appointment of the proposed select committee to inquire into the incident to find out not only what had happened during the incident, but also whether Mr LEUNG Chun-ying had exerted pressure on any Government officials to come to his defence following the incident.

33. Dr Fernando CHEUNG said that Mr LEUNG Chun-ying should have apologized to the public for abusing his position as CE to seek privileged treatment from the airline staff. In his view, it was common sense that no one should bring luggage to a restricted area of the airport on behalf of others. He queried why Government officials including the Secretary for Security and DGCA had not admitted that a "special arrangement for special case" had been made for Mr LEUNG Chun-ying's younger daughter. He urged CE and the Government officials to tell the truth to the public.

Action

34. Dr Helena WONG said that she supported the proposed appointment of a select committee to inquire into the incident. Following the incident, she had met with the staff of AAHK and was given to understand that the airport security protocol had all along required hand luggage to be matched with the owner when going through security checks. Dr WONG considered that Mr LEUNG Chun-ying had indeed exerted pressure on the airport staff and the incident had set a bad precedent of bypassing the airport security protocol.

35. Dr LAM Tai-fai said that while the incident had aroused public concern, he considered that the proposed select committee, if appointed, should not inquire into whether Mr LEUNG Chun-ying had exerted pressure on the airport staff, as it would very much depend on the subjective feeling of the individuals involved. Dr LAM considered that the main issue to be clarified was whether the relevant parties (such as CAD and the airlines in Hong Kong) had adhered to the airport security protocol.

36. Mr CHAN Kam-lam said that he was a former member of the Board of AAHK and was concerned about the operations of the HK Airport. According to his understanding from AAHK, the arrangement made for Mr LEUNG Chun-ying's younger daughter had also been offered to some other air passengers in the past. Mr CHAN further said that such an arrangement was meant to be a service to provide convenience to those air passengers who were really in need of assistance, and considered that the matter should not be blown out of proportion.

37. Mr Alvin YEUNG said that it was evident that a "special arrangement for special case" had been made for Mr LEUNG Chun-ying's younger daughter to retrieve the hand luggage she had left behind in the departure hall of the HK Airport. He appealed to all Members, in particular Dr LAM Tai-fai and Members belonging to the Liberal Party, to support the proposal for LegCo to inquire into whether Mr LEUNG and his family members had, as alleged, contravened the airport security checks requirements.

38. Mr SIN Chung-kai said that it would have been a very minor incident had Mr LEUNG Chun-ying and the relevant authorities admitted in the first place that a "special arrangement for special case" had been made for Mr LEUNG's younger daughter to get back her hand luggage. However, the response given by DGCA seemed to suggest that any air passenger might request the airline staff to carry the passenger's hand luggage through the security checkpoints to the restricted area of the airport. This was clearly contrary to the general understanding on the security checks requirements in respect of hand luggage and had aroused concern about the safety of the HK Airport. He considered it reasonable for LegCo to appoint a select committee to inquire into the relevant issues.

Action

39. Mr TAM Yiu-chung said that the impact of the incident on the safety of the HK Airport had been grossly exaggerated. As the Panel on Security had received some Panel members' request for discussing issues relating to airport security checks and might follow up the subject in future, he did not see the need for LegCo to invoke the powers under the P&P Ordinance to inquire into the incident. Mr TAM further said that LegCo would not have enough time to conduct the proposed inquiry anyway as there were already a large number of items of business to be dealt with by LegCo before its prorogation in mid-July 2016.

40. Mr WONG Yuk-man said that if his proposal was not supported by HC at this meeting, he would give notice to move a motion under the P&P Ordinance to seek the Council's authorization for the appointment of a select committee to conduct the proposed inquiry. Given the huge backlog of business on the Agenda of the Council, he would also give notice to move a motion under Rule 91 of the Rules of Procedure ("RoP") to suspend RoP 18(1), so that his proposed motion could be dealt with as early as practicable.

41. The Chairman put to vote the proposal for the Chairman of HC to move the motion proposed by Mr WONG Yuk-man at the Council meeting of 20 April 2016 to seek the Council's authorization for the appointment of a select committee to inquire into the incident of alleged contravention of airport security checks requirements by CE Mr LEUNG Chun-ying and his family members. Mr WONG Yuk-man requested a division.

The following Members voted in favour of the proposal:

Mr LEE Cheuk-yan, Mr James TO, Mr LEUNG Yiu-chung, Ms Emily LAU, Mr Frederick FUNG, Prof Joseph LEE, Ms Cyd HO, Mr CHEUNG Kwok-che, Mr Alan LEONG, Mr LEUNG Kwok-hung, Mr Albert CHAN, Mr WONG Yuk-man, Ms Claudia MO, Mr WU Chi-wai, Mr Gary FAN, Mr Charles MOK, Mr CHAN Chi-chuen, Dr Kenneth CHAN, Mr Kenneth LEUNG, Dr KWOK Ka-ki, Dr Fernando CHEUNG, Mr SIN Chung-kai, Dr Helena WONG, Mr IP Kin-yuen and Mr Alvin YEUNG.  
(25 Members)

The following Members voted against the proposal:

Mr CHAN Kam-lam, Mr TAM Yiu-chung, Mr Abraham SHEK, Mr Tommy CHEUNG, Mr Vincent FANG, Mr WONG Kwok-hing, Mr WONG Ting-kwong, Ms Starry LEE, Mr CHAN Hak-kan, Mr CHAN Kin-por, Dr Priscilla LEUNG, Mr WONG Kwok-kin, Mr IP Kwok-him, Mrs Regina IP, Mr NG Leung-sing, Mr YIU Si-wing, Mr MA Fung-kwok,

Action

Mr CHAN Han-pan, Miss CHAN Yuen-han, Mr LEUNG Che-cheung, Miss Alice MAK, Mr KWOK Wai-keung, Mr Christopher CHEUNG, Dr Elizabeth QUAT, Mr Martin LIAO, Mr POON Siu-ping, Mr TANG Ka-piu, Dr CHIANG Lai-wan, Ir Dr LO Wai-kwok, Mr Christopher CHUNG and Mr Tony TSE.

(31 Members)

The following Member abstained from voting:

Dr LAM Tai-fai

(1 Member)

42. The Chairman declared that 25 Members voted for and 31 Members voted against the proposal, and one Member abstained from voting. The Chairman declared that the proposal was not supported.

**VIII. Any other business**

43. There being no other business, the meeting ended at 3:35 pm.

Council Business Division 2  
Legislative Council Secretariat  
21 April 2016