

LC Paper No. CB(3) 669/15-16

Paper for the House Committee meeting of 10 June 2016

Questions scheduled for the Legislative Council meeting of 15 June 2016

Questions by:

(1)	Dr Hon CHIANG Lai-wan	(Oral reply)
(2)	Hon Tony TSE	(Oral reply)
(3)	Dr Hon Kenneth CHAN	(Oral reply)
(4)	Dr Hon KWOK Ka-ki	(Oral reply)
(5)	Hon WONG Yuk-man	(Oral reply)
(6)	Dr Hon LAM Tai-fai	(Oral reply)(New question)
	(Replacing his previous question)	
(7)	Hon Andrew LEUNG	(Written reply)
(8)	Hon Michael TIEN	(Written reply)
(9)	Hon Gary FAN	(Written reply)
(10)	Hon NG Leung-sing	(Written reply)
(11)	Hon CHAN Chi-chuen	(Written reply)
(12)	Hon CHAN Han-pan	(Written reply)
(13)	Dr Hon Fernando CHEUNG	(Written reply)
(14)	Hon Albert CHAN	(Written reply)
(15)	Hon Frankie YICK	(Written reply)
(16)	Hon Steven HO	(Written reply)
(17)	Hon Mrs Regina IP	(Written reply)
(18)	Hon CHAN Kin-por	(Written reply)
(19)	Hon LEUNG Che-cheung	(Written reply)
(20)	Dr Hon Priscilla LEUNG	(Written reply)
(21)	Prof Hon Joseph LEE	(Written reply)
(22)	Hon KWOK Wai-keung	(Written reply)

註:

<u>NOTE</u> :

- # 議員將採用這種語言提出質詢
- # Member will ask the question in this language

Public confidence in and support for the Chief Executive and the government officials

(6) <u>Dr Hon LAM Tai-fai</u> (Oral reply)

There have been comments that Hong Kong is facing intensified social dissension and an unprecedentedly poor relationship between the executive authorities and the legislature. The Chief Executive ("CE") stated earlier that the existing problems would remain unresolved even with the replacement of CE. When CE was asked by a reporter why he did not honour the promise he had made upon assumption of office (i.e. he will continue to go to the people with his governing team to listen to the people's views, bringing with him a stool, a notepad and a pen), CE replied that if there was any occasion which did not need a massive deployment of two to three hundred police officers outside the meeting venue to cordon off the area and stop people from running onto carriageways or hurling objects, and if at the meeting venue the attendees could have communications on supporting or opposing views, he would be very willing to visit districts to listen to public views. He also said that he had been creating opportunities for communications with the pan-democratic Members of this Council, but he was snubbed by some of them. Yet, when CE earlier issued invitations to a farewell banquet to be held for Members of this Council, some pan-democratic Members were not invited. On the other hand, according to the survey findings released earlier by the Public Opinion Programme of The University of Hong Kong, the popularity rating of CE is 36.2, which is an all-time low in his term of office and a net popularity of negative 46 percentage points, which is a new record low since he delivered the Policy Address in In this connection, will the Government inform this Council: January this year.

- (1) whether it has assessed why it is the case that whenever the incumbent CE visited districts, there were a large group of people trying to surround and charge at him, as well as hurling abuses at, insulting and making personal attacks on him using vulgar languages, necessitating the authorities' massive deployment of two to three hundred police officers for maintaining order; if it has assessed, of the details; if not, the reasons for that; whether it has sought to understand from CE whether he has no intention to visit districts again during his remaining term of office as long as the aforesaid situation has not improved;
- (2) whether it has assessed the reasons why pan-democratic Members have snubbed CE by declining his invitations to the banquet, and whether it has sought to understand from CE the reasons why individual pan-democratic Members were not invited to the farewell banquet and if he has given up communicating with the pan-democratic Members; if it has assessed and sought to understand, of the details; if not, the reasons for that; and
- (3) whether it has assessed if the long-standing low popularity ratings of CE and the Government of the Hong Kong Special Administrative Region

are attributable to the political accountability team being disunited, incapable, failing to do real work for Hong Kong, and unable to gain support and trust from the public; if it has assessed and obtained the findings, of the details, and how the authorities will make improvements; if not, the reasons for that?