立法會 Legislative Council

LC Paper No. CB(2)997/15-16(06)

Ref: CB2/PL/FE

Panel on Food Safety and Environmental Hygiene

Updated background brief prepared by the Legislative Council Secretariat for the meeting on 8 March 2016

Food surveillance

Purpose

This paper summarizes major views and concerns of members of the Panel on Food Safety and Environmental Hygiene ("the Panel") regarding the Food Surveillance Programme ("the Programme") of the Centre for Food Safety ("CFS").

Background

Food Surveillance Programme

- 2. According to the Administration, CFS adopts the World Health Organization's "from farm to table" strategy when working to ensure food safety in Hong Kong. Control at source includes allowing only food from registered farms/processing plants with audit inspections to enter Hong Kong, and requiring health certificates for certain food animals and food products, etc. At the downstream of the food supply chain, the Programme is a key component of CFS's measures to identify potential food risk and monitor food safety level.
- 3. Under the Programme, CFS takes food samples at the import, wholesale and retail levels for microbiological, chemical and radiation testing to ensure that food offered for sale complies with all legal requirements and is fit for human consumption. To keep in line with the international trend of putting more focus on project-based surveillance, CFS has since 2007 adopted a three-pronged surveillance strategy which consists of routine food surveillance, targeted food surveillance and seasonal food surveillance. CFS also conducts

surveys on popular food items to assess the safety of food that is commonly consumed in Hong Kong. In 2014, apart from the routine food surveillance (covering major food groups such as fruits and vegetables, meat, poultry, aquatic products, milk and cereals), CFS completed the following projects and surveys -

- (a) eight targeted food surveillance projects, such as sulphur dioxide in meat and metallic contaminants in food;
- (b) six seasonal food surveillance projects, including Lunar New Year food and Poon Choi; and
- (c) one survey on popular food items, i.e. hot pot food and soup base.

According to CFS, all food surveillance results are released in a timely manner through press releases and announcements on CFS' website and Facebook page.

Overall results

4. In 2014, CFS conducted tests on a total of about 64 100 food samples, i.e. about nine samples per 1 000 persons of the population of Hong Kong. This was a relatively high testing rate when compared with other overseas economies. There were 139 unsatisfactory samples among the test results. The overall satisfaction rate was 99.8%. For food products/items with problems identified, CFS had taken prompt risk management actions to safeguard public health.

Deliberations of the Panel

5. The Panel discussed issues relating to the Programme at a number of meetings between 2009 and 2015. Members' major views and concerns on the subject are summarized below.

Scope of the Food Surveillance Programme

6. Noting that the testing results of the food samples under the Programme were always found to be satisfactory, members sought information on the considerations that CFS had taken into account when determining the types of food items to be included in the Programme. Some members questioned the comprehensiveness of the Programme as many food incidents occurred in Hong Kong and the neighbouring regions were first reported by the media. In these members' view, the scope of the existing sampling programme of CFS was too narrow. There was a suggestion that CFS should include those types of food that were of public concern in its sampling programme to enhance the

representativeness of the food surveillance projects. CFS was urged to play a more proactive role in identifying potential food risk and take prompt actions to follow up on food incidents to safeguard public health.

7. According to the Administration, CFS adopted a risk-based principle in determining the types of food samples to be collected, the frequency and number of samples taken for testing, and the types of laboratory analyses to be conducted. The sampling programme was under regular review by CFS and would be adjusted taking into account factors such as past surveillance results, local and overseas food incidents, relevant risk analyses as well as new requirements under legislation. CFS would consult the Expert Committee on Food Safety and obtain its endorsement before food surveillance projects under the Programme were implemented. CFS also monitored daily the information available from media and overseas food safety institutions on food safety issues and food incidents. It would verify the information with the relevant authorities of the food exporting country concerned and take follow-up actions where appropriate.

Food sampling and testing

- 8. Noting that about 64 100 food samples were taken by CFS for testing in 2014, some members cast doubt as to whether the number of food samples taken for testing was adequate. In their view, the Administration should increase the number of food samples taken for testing and allocate more resources to the work of CFS in respect of food surveillance. To ensure safety of imported food, samples for testing should be drawn from each consignment, rather than the total import volume, of a food item. A suggestion was also made that CFS should conduct tests on food specially supplied for traditional festivals, such as Christmas, Easter and Valentine's Day, to ensure food safety.
- 9. According to the Administration, the testing rate under the Programme was relatively high when compared with other overseas economies. CFS took food samples for testing from imported food based on its risk assessment, as it was neither possible nor practical to inspect all imported food. In the Administration's view, the existing sampling method was effective to ensure food safety.
- 10. In response to members' concern about the different testing methods adopted by the trade, non-government organizations and CFS, the Administration explained that CFS adopted the split-sample method to ensure comparability in case of prosecution. Under this method, the sample collected would be split in three. While one split sample would be delivered to the Government Laboratory for analysis, the second one would be given to the vendor selling the food and the third one would be kept by CFS. The vendor

concerned might conduct his own testing and verify the testing result with that of the Government Laboratory.

11. Regarding the different testing results generated from the samples of CFS and those of non-government organizations, the Administration explained that the different testing methods adopted, the conditions of the samples and the part of the samples being tested would all have a bearing on the testing results. As the samples collected by CFS were all tested by accredited laboratories, the Administration considered the testing results of CFS reliable.

Actions against problem food

12. In response to members' enquiries about follow-up actions taken by CFS and prosecution instituted against non-compliance with legal requirements, the Administration advised that a series of follow-up actions would be taken against problem food, including (a) issuing warning letters to the suppliers concerned, (b) tracing the source and distribution, (c) requiring the concerned traders to stop sale, and (d) recalling and disposing of the affected food. While prosecution would be taken if there was sufficient evidence, there were not many prosecution cases as collection of evidence was difficult in most cases, particularly when the products concerned had already been sold out by the vendors. That said, the Administration emphasized that the main objective of food surveillance was to ensure food safety for public consumption rather than penalizing offenders.

Safety of imported food

- 13. Concerns were raised about the role of CFS in the regulatory control over the registered vegetable farms in the Mainland and the effectiveness as well as reliability of the relevant Mainland authorities' monitoring of food safety. According to the Administration, the Mainland authorities had a major role in ensuring the safety of vegetables exported to Hong Kong. Under the current administrative arrangements between Hong Kong and the Mainland as well as the Administrative Measures on the Quarantine of the Vegetables Supplied to Hong Kong and Macao, the Mainland authorities had imposed stringent requirements for the management of registered farms. CFS visited about 20 registered farms annually to understand their operations and exchange views with the Mainland authorities on the regulation of farms.
- 14. Some members, however, considered the existing control measures in detecting and stopping problematic vegetables smuggled from the Mainland ineffective. The Administration stressed that vegetables imported from the Mainland must come from the vegetable farms and production and processing establishments registered with the Mainland inspection and quarantine

authorities. The Mainland authorities would regulate and monitor the farm size, environment, irrigation, soil, application of fertilizers and pesticides, as well as conduct food tests on the vegetables before exporting them to Hong Kong. These apart, CFS officers would inspect each vegetable vehicle when it arrived at the Man Kam To Food Control Office at which checking would be conducted on whether the seal on the vehicle remained intact and whether the consignment tallied with the accompanying documents. CFS officers would also inspect the vegetables and take samples for quick tests for pesticide residues and comprehensive chemical analysis. On average, about seven to nine vegetable vehicles would be identified daily for full inspection.

- 15. There was concern about the regulation and inspection on processed food products directly sourced from food processors in the Mainland by local restaurants and supermarkets. Pointing out that Hong Kong relied heavily on imported food, members considered import control highly important in ensuring that food imported to Hong Kong was fit for human consumption. There was a call for the Administration to carry out stringent food safety checks on imported food. In some members' view, the Administration should also put in place an effective mechanism to trace the source of food in order to tackle the problems of contaminated food.
- 16. According to the Administration, the Food Safety Ordinance (Cap. 612) ("FSO") had come into full operation since 1 February 2012. Under FSO, all food importers and food distributors were required to register with the Director of Food and Environmental Hygiene ("DFEH") and food traders were required to maintain records of movements of food. FSO enabled CFS to identify the source of food more effectively and to take prompt action when dealing with food incidents.
- 17. Members continued to be concerned about the monitoring of radiation contamination of food products imported from Japan and the safety standards for radiation level adopted in Hong Kong. The Administration advised that in response to the Fukushima nuclear power plant incident in Japan in 2011, DFEH had issued an order under Section 78B of the Public Health and Municipal Services Ordinance (Cap. 132) to prohibit import of vegetables and fruits, milk, milk beverages and milk powder from the five most affected prefectures of Japan, namely Fukushima, Ibaraki, Tochigi, Chiba and Gunma. prohibited the import of all chilled or frozen game, meat and poultry, all poultry eggs and all live, chilled or frozen aquatic products from these five prefectures, unless they were accompanied by a certificate issued by the competent authority of Japan certifying that the radiation levels did not exceed the guideline levels of All food consignments imported from Japan, including the the Codex. products from the five concerned prefectures with official health certificates and those from other areas of Japan without the certificates, had to be tested under

the Contamination Monitoring System. In addition to the 64 100 food samples inspected under the annual Programme, more than 61 500 samples of food imported from Japan were tested in 2014. The test results of all samples were satisfactory. CFS would review the testing standards from time to time and maintain close communication with the Japanese authorities to safeguard food safety at food sources.

Inter-departmental cooperation

18. Concern was raised about the cooperation between CFS and the Customs and Exercise Department ("C&ED") in handling food incidents. In response to members' enquiry on whether CFS and C&ED solely relied on the intelligence/complaints received in tracing food products in cases of food safety incidents, the Administration advised that as a lot of food products were imported into Hong Kong from places outside Hong Kong, CFS would need to work with C&ED to conduct food inspections. While CFS had its own established mechanism to ensure food safety (e.g. the implementation of the import ban on certain Japanese food products from five prefectures in Japan), joint operations would be carried out with C&ED where necessary. The two departments would also hold meetings to follow up on each food incident and review the enforcement actions required. CFS would adjust the testing of certain food samples by analyzing the intelligence, alerts and media reports on food incidents.

Recent development

19. The Administration will brief members on the food surveillance programme implemented by CFS for 2015 at the Panel meeting on 8 March 2016.

Relevant papers

20. A list of the relevant papers on the Legislative Council website is in the **Appendix**.

Council Business Division 2
<u>Legislative Council Secretariat</u>
2 March 2016

Appendix

Relevant papers on food surveillance

Meeting	Date of meeting	Paper
Panel on Food Safety and	9.6.2009	Agenda
Environmental Hygiene	(Item VI)	Minutes
	12.1.2010	<u>Agenda</u>
	(Item IV)	<u>Minutes</u>
	0.2.2011	
	8.3.2011	Agenda
	(Item V)	Minutes
	10.4.2012	Agenda Agenda
	(Item VI)	Minutes
	(Item vi)	<u>ivinues</u>
	12.3.2013	Agenda
	(Item VI)	<u>Minutes</u>
		LC Paper No.
		<u>CB(2)1691/12-13(01)</u>
	11.3.2014	<u>Agenda</u>
	(Item VI)	<u>Minutes</u>
	10.3.2015	<u>Agenda</u>
	(Item IV)	<u>Minutes</u>

Council Business Division 2
<u>Legislative Council Secretariat</u>
2 March 2016