

(此為譯本，原文以點字書寫)

(This is the translation of an original braille submission.)

註：在原有文件中拼錯的字詞全部無經改正。

Note: Misspelled words are kept as they are in the original documents.

Well-off policy is rip-off policy in deed

The recent revision on disqualifying threes holds may find it contradictory three holds lifted with rules tighten. We don't consider this as positive measures in reinforcing the circulation of public housing vacancies under scarcity.

As current 3 times and out policy change to third-rail policy, it could deter—and refra! –tenants from helping housing circulation. It could also lower down the motivation of potential well-off households in purchasing a flat, resulting in delayed supply of new flat, so as the queue to the places lengthened.

Not only the well-off household, but this could also set disadvantaged families on risk. Retired seniors, who may survive solely on pensions, could set his life o trouble as long as new disqualifxing lolicy become

Effective. As a result of his wealth, he is unlikely to get covered under social security benefiten this represents, foreseeable turmoil once the possible eviction due to the revised policies, with his life frustrated in affording sky rocketing private rents.

As an addition to well-off policies, we urge the Housing Authority to stop humiliating tenants with the term of tenancy abuse. Everyone is innocent prior to the trial, and any residents living for desired life face different pressures from the public as welfare –worms. Causing an endless spiral of whistleblowing. Nothing different from McCarthism.

And we are not telling you: the migration permit for Shhinese let this be. None of solution would mitigate the shortage of flats supply unless the immigration policy restricts our population from saturation—by regaining the approval authorities of immigration

Visas from China.

Voting Carrie Lam-Cheng will result in welfare turmoil.

Joseph Tschu and Raju Shetharrah

From the voice 04.06

2017.01.11

Don't turn our ballots into faggots!

Stop the DQ4 trial!

-anyone but Carrie-

富戶？睇吓、比我睇吓邊度富阿，你老闆？

我哋比人有錢啫。加入地入息上限、但係就加重 DQ 條件，你哋係唔係覺得怪怪地？本來「三倍出局」，而家轉成「破五即走」，你呢排公屋水緊成咁，就走嚟搵我哋開刀？

你咁樣剩係逼我哋「龜佔雀巢」，更加令我哋唔想買樓翻身。而家一間居屋 400 萬走唔用，如果我要儲夠首期，請你預我八年之內仲交緊呢個租。咁樣我哋好難「脫邨」，講真。方向完全錯晒。

話時話：無人提「人口政策」嘅？小啲人用單程證(或者自己方式)落嚟，公屋輪候冊唔見咗 1/3 先；呢 1/3

可以令政權降低大半嘅「土地問題」，唔駛「填水塘起樓」。咁，我哋啲錢去晒邊？唔通要我哋住故宮？

富戶有幾富庶？人地仲話「嘆社會福利嘅人都係新移民」，結果政府郁手就郁到自己人！你估叫人集體打 2712-2712 舉報兼批鬥好好玩阿？

仲有唔要行騙月娥做特首！

租卡抗共同盟

李歸家 召集人

2017.01.12

比翻尤為精我！

撤回四人元億！