## Panel on Housing

List of follow-up actions (position as at 3 February 2017)

Subject	Date of meeting	Follow-up action required	Administration's response
1. Public Housing Construction Programme 2016-17 to 2020-21 and challenges and difficulties in taking forward public housing development projects	15.11.2016	<ul> <li>The Administration to provide information on the following :</li> <li>(a) the local issues or demands, in detail, raised during public consultations on public housing development projects and for those issues or demands which the Administration could not address, the reasons for its failure to do so;</li> <li>(b) the amount of time involved in the liaison work among various bureaux or departments in addressing the issues or demands mentioned above;</li> <li>(c) the plan and timetable for the provision of public specialist out-patient clinic in Yau Tong district; and</li> <li>(d) the proportion of public housing units to private housing units and ancillary public facilities under planning for the Hung Shui Kiu New</li> </ul>	-
		Development Area.	

Subject	Date of meeting	Follow-up action required	Administration's response
2. Excessive lead in drinking water in public rental housing estates incident	5.12.2016	<ul> <li>The Administration to provide information on the following :</li> <li>(a) regarding the exercise to strengthen the risk assessment of building materials, list of the materials that would be assessed;</li> <li>(b) the latest situation (including the health situation, etc.) of the 126 children who had been tested to have borderline raised blood lead levels; and</li> <li>(c) details of the arrangement with respect to the measure of providing each household of the 11 affected public rental housing developments with a subsidy of \$660 for paying water and sewage charges within three years starting from 1 January 2016.</li> </ul>	The Administration's response was circulated vide LC Paper No.
3. Long Term Housing Strategy Annual Progress Report 2016 and Hong Kong Housing Authority's measures to maximise the rational use of public rental housing resources	9.1.2017	<ul> <li>The Administration was requested to provide the following information:</li> <li>(a) whether, apart from the provision of subsidized sale flats at De Novo at Kai Tak, the Administration would invite the Urban Renewal Authority ("URA") to provide (in collaboration with relevant organizations such as the Hong Kong Housing Authority ("HA")) Home</li> </ul>	Response awaited

Subject	Date of meeting	Follow-up action required	Administration's response
		Ownership Scheme/subsidized housing units at the sites acquired by URA (including spade-ready sites), if yes, the details; if no, the reasons;	
		(b) whether the Transport and Housing Bureau would formulate policies to support the logistics development in Hong Kong; if yes, the details; if no, the reasons;	
		(c) apart from long term solutions such as increasing supply of public rental housing ("PRH"), whether the Administration would work out short-term measures to assist residents of sub-divided units in resolving their housing difficulties; if yes, the details; if no, the reasons;	
		(d) with respect to the measures endorsed by the HA's Subsidized Housing Committee on 9 December 2016 to refine the Well-off Tenancies Policies for PRH, the purpose of refining the policies; and whether the Administration/HA would postpone the implementation of the amended policies to allow more time for the public to express views on the matter; if yes, the details; if no, the reasons.	

Subject	Date of meeting	Follow-up action required	Administration's
			response
4. Refining the "Well-off Tenants Policies"	24.1.2017	<ul> <li>The Administration was requested to provide the following information:</li> <li>(a) given that the Hong Kong Housing Authority's Subsidised Housing Committee ("SHC") would further discuss the refining of the Well-off Tenants Policies at its meeting in February 2017 ("the SHC meeting"), and the Administration would relay to SHC the views and concerns raised at the Panel's special meeting on the subject, the relevant outcomes of the discussion at the SHC meeting/decisions made by SHC; and</li> <li>(b) with respect to the concern on whether the mechanism for income and asset declarations under the Well-off Tenants Policies was value for money, the number and percentage of households required/to be required to make the declarations, and the estimated expenditure, such as staffing and administrative costs, etc., for arranging/processing the declarations.</li> </ul>	Response awaited

Council Business Division 1 Legislative Council Secretariat 3 February 2017