

Panel on Transport**Subcommittee on Matters Relating to Railways****List of follow-up actions**

(as at 9 February 2017)

Subject	Date of meeting	Follow-up action required	Latest position/ Administration's response
1. Hong Kong section ("HKS") of the Guangzhou-Shenzhen-Hong Kong Express Rail Link ("XRL")	22 November 2013 and 19 April 2016	<p>The Administration/the MTR Corporation Limited ("MTRCL") was requested to make arrangements for Subcommittee members to:</p> <p>a) attend a site visit at an appropriate time after the delivery of the first set of high speed rail train to the Hong Kong site; and</p> <p>b) visit the Shenzhen Futian Station of XRL to facilitate Subcommittee members' understanding of the operation of XRL.</p>	<p>For item a), the first high-speed train for HKS of XRL project was delivered to Hong Kong in late September 2016.</p> <p>For item b), as the Futian Station is still at the initial operation stage, the Administration suggests that Subcommittee members consider the appropriate timing and itinerary to visit Futian Station at a later time.</p> <p>The Administration/MTRCL will liaise with the Legislative Council Secretariat in due course for necessary arrangements for the visits.</p>

Subject	Date of meeting	Follow-up action required	Latest position/ Administration's response
2. Site visit to Light Rail stations	3 January 2014	The Legislative Council Secretariat will liaise with the Administration/MTRCL in due course to make arrangement for Subcommittee members to visit a busy Light Rail station to better understand the crowdedness of the train compartments and on the platform during peak hours at an appropriate time.	To be confirmed.
3. Progress update of the construction of the Shatin to Central Link ("SCL")	14 December 2015	The Administration was requested to ask the Civil Engineering and Development Department, and the Antiquities and Monuments Office to provide an update on the progress of the investigation of the large metal object discovered on the seabed in the vicinity of the old Wan Chai Ferry Pier and the related conservation work.	The Civil Engineering and Development Department, and the Antiquities and Monuments Office have been asked to follow up. Response awaited.
4. MTR station facilities	19 April 2016	MTRCL was requested to: a) explain whether the dog-on-track incidents happened since August 2014 were related to any defects in MTR station facilities; b) consider providing drinking fountains in MTR stations; and c) provide the waiting time for lifts/escalators between the platforms and concourse in Tung Chung Station during peak hours and weekends.	The Chinese version of the Administration's response was issued vide LC Paper No. CB(4)111/16-17(01) on 11 November 2016. Awaiting the English version of the Administration's response.

Subject	Date of meeting	Follow-up action required	Latest position/ Administration's response
5. Capacity and loading of MTR trains	19 April 2016	<p>MTRCL was requested to:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a) respond to Hon Michael TIEN's motion on "Loading of Light Rail", and b) provide the number of extra coupled-set Light Rail Vehicles ("LRVs") to be procured if MTRCL had to fully deploy, during the morning peak, coupled-set LRVs to serve routes with a loading of 80% or above (based on a passenger density of 4 persons (standing) per square metre). 	<p>The Chinese version of the Administration's response was issued vide LC Paper No. CB(4)978/15-16(01) on 12 May 2016. Awaiting the English version of the Administration's response.</p>
6. Progress update of the construction of South Island Line (East) ("SIL(E)") and Kwun Tong Line Extension, 56TR – SIL(E) – Essential Public Infrastructure Works and 63TR – SCL – construction of railway works – advance works	9 December 2016	<p>The Administration/MTRCL was requested to provide the following information:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a) number of visitors departing the Ocean Park each day after its opening hours during the peak season and non-peak season; b) risk assessment conducted by MTRCL upon which the pain share/gain share mechanism was devised to avoid cost overrun of major infrastructure projects; c) responsibilities on the part of MTRCL to monitor the progress of construction and to control cost in its implementation of railway projects; 	<p>The supplementary information was issued vide LC Paper No. CB(4)535/16-17(01) on 9 February 2017.</p>

Subject	Date of meeting	Follow-up action required	Latest position/ Administration's response
		<p>d) detailed breakdown of the increase in additional design cost and management cost payable to MTRCL to supplement the information contained in the papers for 56TR and 63TR;</p> <p>e) details of the measures adopted by the Administration to ensure that MTRCL would manage the railway projects in accordance with the terms of the Entrustment Agreements;</p> <p>f) nature of the cost claims made under the advance works of SCL project by categories, e.g. those arising from design change; and</p> <p>g) the project management cost rate for the entire HKS of XRL project.</p>	
7. Progress update of the construction of SCL	9 December 2016	<p>The Administration/MTRCL was requested to provide the following information:</p> <p>a) contingency measures for handling railway service disruptions caused during the testing of the new signalling system during non-service hours at night;</p> <p>b) milestone payment plan and critical path analysis for SCL project; and</p>	To be confirmed.

Subject	Date of meeting	Follow-up action required	Latest position/ Administration's response
		c) subsequent to the approval of the proposal to retain a supernumerary post at the rank of Chief Engineer in the Railway Development Office for SCL project, any improvement and enhancement in communication between the Administration and MTRCL.	
8. Power outage incident and other incidents involving station facilities occurred at SIL(E)	17 January 2017	<p>MTRCL was requested to provide the following information:</p> <p>a) the time required for activating the backup power supply system; and whether the activation complied with the specified standard;</p> <p>b) the outcome of MTRCL's review of the power outage incident which occurred at SIL(E) on 29 December 2016; and</p> <p>c) reasons why the backup power supply system was activated in certain but not all stations along SIL(E); and whether the contractor of the power supply system of each station was the same or not.</p>	To be confirmed.