

MR SIN CHUNG-KAI (in Cantonese): *President, Chief Executive C Y LEUNG, former Chief Executive Donald TSANG was handed two charges of misconduct in public office by the Independent Commission Against Corruption (ICAC) for allegedly failing to declare or disclose to, or by concealing from the Executive Council the relevant interests. During your term of office as the Chief Executive, you have accepted a payment of £4 million from UGL, and by Mainland standard, you have to be placed under investigation in a specified place and at a specified time. Given that Donald TSANG was handed the charge of misconduct in public office for failing to declare interest, the ICAC may likewise charge you with at least two counts of the same offence: first, failing to declare to the Chief Justice an amount receivable of £4 million before taking office; second, failing to declare interest to the Executive Council concerning that £4 million (\$50 million). May I ask if you think you should turn yourself in to the ICAC right away?*

CHIEF EXECUTIVE (in Cantonese): *President, Mr SIN Chung-kai's question illustrates that either he has ignored or is completely ignorant of the statements previously issued by me, the SAR Government and the Australian firm UGL. On this matter, I have explained on various occasions in the past and thus have nothing to add now.*

MR SIN CHUNG-KAI (in Cantonese): *President, he has not answered my question. Can he state in concrete terms whether he has declared interest to the Chief Justice? Has he declared the receipt of £4 million to the Executive Council?*

CHIEF EXECUTIVE (in Cantonese): *President, I have explained the matter time and again and have nothing to add.*

MR SIN CHUNG-KAI (in Cantonese): *But he has never publicly stated whether ...*

PRESIDENT (in Cantonese): *Mr SIN, please sit down. You have raised your question and the Chief Executive has replied.*

MR ALBERT CHAN (in Cantonese): *President, the Chief Executive has not answered Mr SIN's question ...*

PRESIDENT (in Cantonese): Mr Albert CHAN, it is not your turn to speak now, please sit down.

MR SIN CHUNG-KAI (in Cantonese): *President, my question is whether he will turn himself in?*

PRESIDENT (in Cantonese): Mr SIN, the Chief Executive has already answered your question.

~~**MS CLAUDIA MO** (in Cantonese): *President, it is said that when the Chief Executive enters the Chamber, all Legislative Council Members must stand up, an act that exemplifies the Chief Executive's superior status. I must make it clear that when LEUNG Chun-ying entered the Chamber just now, many pro-democracy Members, including me, have remained seated, and this serves as a powerful disproof. End of my comment. I know that I must state my question.*~~

~~*President, I wonder whether XI Jinping has, in his visit to the United Kingdom, mentioned to the Queen of the United Kingdom that in Hong Kong, following the assumption of office of LEUNG Chun-ying, he has initiated "Mainlandization" in the areas of culture, economy and even history, with the intention of obliterating our spiritual heritage. Even posting boxes are not spared. I wonder whether the Queen knows that the crown marking and the cypher "ER" on the old posting boxes of Hong Kong must now be covered up. President, the greatest concern of Hong Kong people facing the LEUNG Chun-ying administration nowadays is that "brazen officials are invincible". Will LEUNG Chun-ying go one step further? He has already changed the English version of "港人治港" into "Hong Kong people administering Hong Kong" instead of "Hong Kong people ruling Hong Kong". Will he change the English name "Hong Kong" into "Xianggang" based on the Pinyin system of the Mainland? Will he undertake that he will not change the names of Queen Mary Hospital, Princess Margaret Hospital and Queen Elizabeth Hospital into "Loving Country Hospital" or "People's Hospital"?*~~

~~**PRESIDENT** (in Cantonese): Ms MO, you have already stated your question. Please sit down.~~

CHIEF EXECUTIVE (in Cantonese): President, my high school alma mater is King's College. Before the reunification and before the passage of the Basic Law, I had already indicated explicitly and publicly that the name King's College did not need to be changed. And no change was made subsequently. I have no plan whatsoever to advise King's College to change its name. Well, the emblem of King's College was not based on my advice, but the crown on the emblem was changed. As for "Hong Kong" and "Xianggang", will Ms Claudia MO please take a look at the Basic Law, in which the English name of Hong Kong is "Hong Kong".

MS CLAUDIA MO (in Cantonese): *President, in Hong Kong we are subject to the order from Beijing in all matters. LEUNG Chun-ying is very subservient to Beijing, and we all know that his real master is Beijing ...*

PRESIDENT (in Cantonese): Please state your supplementary question.

MS CLAUDIA MO (in Cantonese): *... There is a saying that in Hong Kong, the real colonialists, represented by LEUNG Chun-ying on behalf of Beijing, are obliterating all upward mobility opportunities, in respect of education or employment, for young people. Discontented young people, if they have money, can emigrate ...*

PRESIDENT (in Cantonese): Ms MO, please state your supplementary question and do not make any further comments.

MS CLAUDIA MO (in Cantonese): *My question is as follows: If LEUNG Chun-ying truly intends to implement in Hong Kong the "decolonization" order from Beijing, he should refrain from adopting the practice of the colonial era in university campuses and abolish the so-called appointment orders, so as not to turn university campuses into "LEUNG's fan clubs".*

~~PRESIDENT (in Cantonese): Chief Executive, do you have any response?~~

CHIEF EXECUTIVE (in Cantonese): President, the entire SAR Government and I serve Hong Kong society in accordance with the responsibilities accorded to us and powers vested in us by the Basic Law. The Basic Law of the SAR stipulates that Hong Kong enjoys "one country, two systems", "Hong Kong people administering Hong Kong" and "a high degree of autonomy". We will unswervingly implement policies in accordance with the Basic Law.

As for upward mobility opportunities for young people in Hong Kong, I believe anyone who cares about the future of Hong Kong will acknowledge that as Hong Kong is a society that covers an area of some 1 100 sq km and has a population of some 7.2 million people, we have a lot of opportunities outside Hong Kong, either in foreign countries or in the Mainland. We must grasp such opportunities. For this reason, the situation of young people going to the Mainland is not as creepy and horrible as depicted by Ms Claudia MO. In fact ...

MS CLAUDIA MO (in Cantonese): *I did not say that young people going to foreign countries is creepy and horrible. I only said that he wanted young people in Hong Kong to emigrate if they have money ...*

PRESIDENT (in Cantonese): Ms MO, this is not a debate session. Please sit down.

MS CLAUDIA MO (in Cantonese): *But he should not fabricate some remarks and claim that they were made by another person ...*

PRESIDENT (in Cantonese): Ms MO, you have already elucidated. Please sit down.

~~**MS CLAUDIA MO** (in Cantonese): *"Brazen officials are invincible".*~~

~~CHIEF EXECUTIVE~~ (in Cantonese): I do not think we should stir up antagonism in all issues relating to Hong Kong and the Mainland. As a matter of fact, we have tackled a lot of problems on our own initiative. Neither do I agree with Ms Claudia MO's remark that the SAR Government follows the order from Beijing in all matters. Over the past three years, some major measures proposed by the current-term Government, though leading to discontent among some Mainland residents, have still been implemented by the SAR Government. For example, we had rolled out "harsh measures" to curb external demands, which mainly came from Mainland residents; we had stopped allocating quotas for "doubly non-permanent resident pregnant women" to give birth in Hong Kong; we had implemented the "powdered formula restriction order"; and we had shelved the implementation of multiple-entry Individual Visit Endorsements for non-permanent residents of Shenzhen. Such important measures had been implemented by the current-term SAR Government after its assumption of office, with the aim of safeguarding the interests of local residents in view of inadequate resources. Such measures had not been implemented by the SAR Government under the direction of the Central Government. Contrary to what Ms Claudia MO said just now, the SAR Government does not follow the order from Beijing in all matters. These measures had been implemented by the SAR Government on its own initiative, and had won the support and understanding from the Mainland and the Central Authorities. For this reason, I hope Ms Claudia MO can seek truth from facts, instead of merely chanting slogans. She should review what had happened in the past.

MR CHAN HAK-KAN (in Cantonese): *President, just now the Chief Executive said that the tourism industry was very important, but unfortunately, two days ago a tourist was beaten to death because of a shopping dispute, and this has sounded the death knell for Hong Kong's reputation as a hospitable city. As we can see, the phenomenon of varying standards in the tourism industry is actually a long-standing problem. While the Administration once indicated the intention of establishing a Travel Industry Authority (TIA), it has been all talk so far, with no sight of the Government taking any action. May I ask the Chief Executive when the bill on the TIA will be introduced into this Council for the legislative work?*

~~CHIEF EXECUTIVE~~ (in Cantonese): President, the tourism industry is very important to Hong Kong. Apart from contributing to Hong Kong's GDP, the tourism industry has a very important social function, which I have mentioned time and again and would like to stress here as well, and that is, the industry provides a large number of employment opportunities for a lot of grass-roots people, including not only grass-roots employees in the tourism industry itself, but also those engaged in its peripheral industries. Regarding the incident referred to by Mr CHAN just now, the SAR Government, the Police and our offices in the Mainland all take a serious view of it. We must uphold Hong Kong's image as a tourism capital and a hospitable city.

Over the years, the tourism industry has been plagued by many problems, which have affected not only the reputations of the firms to which the relevant persons belong, but also the reputation of Hong Kong as a whole in terms of its repute in the Mainland and around the globe. Therefore, we must take a serious view of such problems. As far as this incident is concerned, we will definitely take action on the regulatory front. I will answer the question about the TIA in a moment, but before that, I wish to say here that each and every one of us must do our work properly in this regard. In addition to the incident that occurred a few days ago, we should also pay attention to the frequent occurrence of protests in the Northwest New Territories which has come to our knowledge.

Moreover, given the multitude of problems in the past, the industry should also exercise a high degree of self-discipline pending the establishment of the TIA. After the occurrence of some incidents, despite the enforcement actions taken by the regulatory authorities or law-enforcement agencies, damage has been done to Hong Kong's image. I hope that the industry can do a good job of internal management and self-discipline, so as to prevent such a situation. Apart from the problem of coerced shopping, cases of inflating the selling prices of dried seafood or valuable Chinese herbal medicines by changing the pricing unit from catty to tael, which occur from time to time, should also be a cause for concern.

As for the TIA, the Government has announced that it will be established to deal with the regulatory and licensing work currently undertaken by the Travel Industry Council of Hong Kong and the Travel Agents Registry, and the targets of regulation include travel agents, tour escorts and tourist guides. We are pressing ahead with the legislative drafting work. Given the rather voluminous

~~contents of the new legislation being drafted, which is to replace the existing~~
Travel Agents Ordinance, the time needed will be longer than originally anticipated. The Tourism Commission will continue to work with the Department of Justice to get this task done properly. We will act expeditiously. Once the drafting of the relevant bill is completed, we will introduce it into the Legislative Council for passage.

MR CHAN HAK-KAN (in Cantonese): *President, if the legislative drafting work concerning the TIA is rather complicated and time-consuming, will the Government, in the short run, take reference from the practices of some overseas cities which mainly rely on tourism and have laws in place to regulate the tourism industry? Can these relevant tasks be done first in the short run?*

CHIEF EXECUTIVE (in Cantonese): In my view, we should avoid rolling out too many new measures or items of legislation for now. In the meantime, we should focus our energy on the proper conduct of the legislative drafting work concerning the establishment of the TIA. Once the relevant bill is ready, we will introduce it into the Legislative Council.

MR LEUNG KWOK-HUNG (in Cantonese): *Chief Executive, are you okay? You are so rotten and muddled!*

PRESIDENT (in Cantonese): Mr LEUNG, please ask your question.

MR LEUNG KWOK-HUNG (in Cantonese): *Sometimes when I hear such answers, those words just keep pouring out ...*

PRESIDENT (in Cantonese): I would like to remind Members that they should not use offensive language about the Chief Executive. Mr LEUNG, please continue with your question.

~~MR LEUNG KWOK-HUNG (in Cantonese): I just said he was "rotten", which is a fair comment. I only used the term "rotten" and I did not use foul language ...~~

PRESIDENT (in Cantonese): Mr LEUNG, you still have not raised your question directly.

MR LEUNG KWOK-HUNG (in Cantonese): *President, let us cut the chit-chat. The Chief Executive has treated me unfairly. I wanted to go over to his place, but he did not invite me. Now he is compelled to answer my question in this Council, buddy. I just cannot help laughing out loud. Have you ever met such an impolite Chief Executive? He wanted to embarrass me. I am a Member, yet he did not invite me ...*

PRESIDENT (in Cantonese): Mr LEUNG, you still have not asked your question directly.

MR LEUNG KWOK-HUNG (in Cantonese): *I got it. Chief Executive "689", some reporters asked me what I intended to do with you. I told them that I was a fair person, and I would treat Mr LEUNG fairly. Hence, you need not be frightened. We are not at war, and there is no need to call the Police. I am being fair today for I will not ask any new questions. Buddy, I will only ask follow-up questions about his empty promises and his assumption that things said are done ...*

~~**PRESIDENT** (in Cantonese): Mr LEUNG, please ask your question.~~

MR LEUNG KWOK-HUNG (in Cantonese): *I have some questions for him, and he can choose the easiest one to answer. I once asked him the nature of business of his British Virgin Island (BVI) company, he refused to answer. When other people asked him whether he had declared interest in relation to UGL, he likewise did not answer. A year ago, he came to the Legislative*

Council. He was supposed to answer questions from Members on the UGL incident, yet he told us that there was evidence to suggest that foreign forces were involved in Occupy Central and it was a colour revolution. He also said that the details would be disclosed in due course. But it has been a year since then, and when will he disclose the details? Regarding the implementation of universal retirement protection, I have tried to press him to make good on his promises on behalf of the elderly over the past three years. As for enacting legislation on standard working hours, I have also tried to press him to make good on his promises on behalf of Miss CHAN Yuen-han over the past three years. Chief Executive, please pick the easiest question to answer ... The follow-up questions can go on and on, buddy. President, having served as a Member for a long time, I have put five follow-up questions to him, yet he refused to answer these questions both inside and outside the Legislative Council. He only said that written replies had been given. If written replies should suffice, we might as well put a mannequin here ...

PRESIDENT (in Cantonese): Mr LEUNG, you have already asked your question. Please sit down.

MR LEUNG KWOK-HUNG (in Cantonese): ... how dare him talk about giving written replies ... If that will do, we might as well just put a LEUNG Chun-ying mannequin here ...

PRESIDENT (in Cantonese): Mr LEUNG, stop speaking immediately and please sit down.

MR LEUNG KWOK-HUNG (in Cantonese): Let me repeat the questions, in case he did not get them the first time. One question is about the UGL corruption incident and his BVI company; one about foreign forces and the colour revolution, as well as his undertaking to disclose the details in due course; one about the absence of a timetable for the implementation of universal retirement protection over the past three years; one about legislating for standard working hours and Miss CHAN Yuen-han ...

PRESIDENT (in Cantonese): Mr LEUNG, you need not repeat the questions.

MR LEUNG KWOK-HUNG (in Cantonese): *No, there are five questions for him to choose from, and he may not get them all ...*

PRESIDENT (in Cantonese): You have already spoken for almost three minutes. Please sit down.

MR LEUNG KWOK-HUNG (in Cantonese): *There are five questions altogether, and he can choose to answer any one of them.*

PRESIDENT (in Cantonese): Mr LEUNG, sit down immediately and stop speaking.

(Mr Albert CHAN rose to his feet holding up a placard)

PRESIDENT (in Cantonese): Mr Albert CHAN, please put the placard down.

MR ALBERT CHAN (in Cantonese): *... I am afraid he might not see it ...*

CHIEF EXECUTIVE (in Cantonese): President, Mr LEUNG Kwok-hung has raised several questions just now, but I am not sure if there are five questions altogether. Actually, as far as these questions are concerned, my colleagues in the SAR Government and I have time and again given an account to the Legislative Council and the society at large.

~~**MR LEUNG KWOK-HUNG** (in Cantonese): *Can he get away like that? He has practically said nothing in reply to my questions. Impolite public officers should also be evicted from the Chamber. Why are we the only ones who were*~~