



**Outline of Fourth Report**  
**Parental Rights Alliance PRA** to: panel\_ca

04/01/2018 14:34

9 attachments



Kids\_running\_810\_500\_55\_s\_c1.jpg Dad arrested while protesting sexually graphic book assigned to 14.docx



German parents attacked as they protest early sexualization of children.docx Mandatory sex ed in Quebec.docx



哥倫比亞民眾上街示威抗議 拒政府強加性別意識型態於教材.docx



Modern sex ed is sexually abusive to our kids\_Fathers defend your children.doc



11. Ontario school board tells parents they cant opt out of gay lessons.doc



10. Growing up without a father transforms children.doc 29 new words for deviance you can be fined.docx



Dear Sirs:

We understand that there is an open hearing this afternoon to discuss the Fourth Report to be submitted by the HKSAR Government to the United Nations on the implementation of the ICCPR and that some groups have been invited to express their views.

We are a coalition of parents who support equality and diversity.  
Protecting our children is our priority.

We believe that :

- (a) any form of discrimination (direct, indirect or reverse), whether on the grounds of race, sex, age, sexual orientation or gender identity is totally unacceptable;
- (b) it is NOT discrimination to express a contrary view to a lifestyle that is perceived as unnatural, promiscuous or immoral;
- (c) premature sexualization of children and adolescents (ie the imposition of adult models of sexuality on them) starting from day care centers and continuing through kindergarten, primary and secondary schooling is harmful and abusive. It is wrong to indoctrinate children into an unquestioning acceptance of homosexuality or gender identity (such as "gender is fluid", "gays are born that way", or teaching children how to increase sexual pleasures, to masturbate or that premarital sex is fine if safe. It is important to note that parents have the liberty to direct the upbringing and education of their children under their control, including matters of sexuality. This right is enshrined in (i) Article 26(3) of the Universal Declaration of Human Rights (UDHR) which

specifically provides that "parents have a prior right to choose the kind of education that shall be given to their children"; (ii) Article 18(4) of the ICCPR requires the Party States "to have respect for the liberty of parents and, when applicable, legal guardians to ensure the religious and moral education of their children in conformity with their own convictions".

(d) it is wrong to bulldoze the public into believing that teachers and education bureaucrats can take away our parental right as primary educators of our children in the name of "anti-discrimination".

(e) In light of the legislative proposals made by the LGBT activists to the HKSAR Government in 2013 and 2014, we object to the enactment of Sexual Orientation Discrimination Ordinance, as it will inevitably lead to: (i) parents losing their right to educate their children according to their own moral values and convictions; (ii) the freedoms of speech, thought, conscience and religion, enshrined in the UNDR and ICCPR will be compromised; (iii) endless disputes and litigation, as is happening in countries where similar laws have been introduced; (iv) sexual liberation, beginning with homosexuality and legalization in same sex "marriage", is commonplace in the West where similar laws have been enacted; and most importantly (v) those who disagree with the homosexuality philosophy or lifestyle will be penalized.

(f) Science has yet to prove that homosexuals were born that way, or that people can be trapped in the wrong body of the opposite sex, so where is the logic for introducing legislation to compel the general public to embrace the gay lifestyle or sex change with the associated serious health risks including sexually transmitted diseases, bacterial and viral infections, depression, suicidal tendencies and even cancer. Research done in 2002 by Dr. John R. Diggs found that same sex sexual behaviors expose gays, lesbians and bisexuals to extreme risks of sexually transmitted diseases, physical injuries, mental disorders and even a shortened life span. The US Center for Disease Control have warned on numerous occasions that HIV rates, already at epidemic proportions, are continuing to climb steadily among men who have "sex" with men. The CDD has stated that gay and bisexual men continue to remain at the epicenter of what they call a "HIV/AIDS epidemic". Enacting SODO or similar legislation is effectively teaching our children that it is ok to engage in gay sex, with all its associated risks. Health risks are equally high for those who change sex and rely on a lifetime of hormones to maintain the maleness or femaleness.

Therefore, in response to paragraph 46 (Article 26: Right to equal protection before the law) of LC Paper No. CB(2)547/17/-18(02), we urge the SAR Government to carefully balance the rights and freedoms of the general public (the rights of parents as discussed above, and the freedoms of speech, thought, conscience, religion etc) against the interest of a few on lifestyle choices. If overseas experience is a guide, enactment of SODO or suchlike will inevitably lead to loss of these rights and freedoms. It is however worth noting that there

is currently no law that prohibits people from being gay or calling themselves whatever they want but it will be wrong to enact laws to force someone to swear black is white (a biological man is a woman) and to teach our children likewise, or prohibit someone from speaking out against a lifestyle that is considered repugnant or unnatural.

Below is a handful of cases to illustrate the helplessness and frustrations faced by parents about early sexualization of their children. There is plenty more. As for gender identity, it is equally mad. Four year olds in Brighton (UK) can choose their gender before they even start kindergarten ( <http://www.telegraph.co.uk/news/2016/04/19/choose-your-gender-aged-four/>) and in some schools, teachers can no longer call their pupils "boys" or "girls" but "students" ( <http://www.dailymail.co.uk/news/article-3744983/Teachers-told-t-call-students-boys-girls-insensitive-transgender-children.html>). Please do not get us into that mess!

Yours faithfully

Parental Rights Alliance



# Dad arrested while protesting sexually graphic book assigned to 14-year-old daughter (video)

BY BEN JOHNSON

Tue May 06, 2014 18:09 EST

<http://www.lifesitenews.com/news/father-arrested-for-protesting-school-assigning-pornographic-book-to-his-14>

GILFORD, NH, May 6, 2014 (LifeSiteNews.com) – The father of a Gilford High School student was arrested at a school board meeting on Monday night after objecting that the school had assigned his 14-year-old daughter to read a pornographic book without his knowledge or consent.

William Baer, an attorney who moved to New Hampshire from New Jersey, registered his complaint about the book *Nineteen Minutes* by Jodi Picoult, which includes a graphic depiction of sex between two teenagers, at the local school board meeting.



He asked the school board to read the offending passage, but members refused.

He also wanted to ask members why the school's ninth grade English teacher had the class read the material without sending out notice – a policy the school had followed in previous years – but board members turned away questions. Instead, they said the question violated protocol and told Baer that his two minute time allotment had expired.

Another parent, Joe Wernig, said he supported the reading of the 2007 book, warning that if the school board bends, "these people will be dictating what we can and cannot read."

"That's absurd," Baer replied from his seat. "Nobody's talking about censoring the book. Nobody's talking about banning the book or burning the book, or anything."

The school board then warned him to be "respectful."

"Like you're respectful of my daughter?" he retorted.

At that point, local police Lt. James Leach approached Baer and told him to be silent or face arrest.

"You are going to arrest me because I violated the two-minute rule?" Baer asked incredulously. "I guess you are going to have to arrest me."

Lt. Leach then grasped Baer's forearm, walked him outside, and handcuffed his hands behind his back, charging him with disorderly conduct.

"This is a civics lesson," Baer said as he was led away.

"I feel that I'm in the process of being violated by the state of New Hampshire's education system," Baer said after the arrest. "It was extremely frustrating that I couldn't make any comments with anybody." He accused the board of effectively telling him to "sit down and shut up."

"That's not how you interact with adults," he added.

Baer asked local newspapers to print the passage in question, which he says a family friend discovered by opening the book at random, so parents could make up their minds about its propriety.

But *both the Laconia Daily Sun and the Manchester Union-Leader declined*, saying it was unfit to print due to its graphic content.

School Board Chairman Sue Allen offered an apology on behalf of the board, not to Baer, but "for the discomfort of those impacted and for the failure of the School District to send home prior notice of assignment of the novel."

She said from now on the district will send out "notification that requires parents to accept controversial material [or] opt out," before their children read the material.

Baer says that's all he wanted, but he still got arrested for

## German parents attacked as they protest early sexualization of children

*Thaddeus Baklinski* Fri Jan 30, 2015 - 3:14 pm EST

<https://www.lifesitenews.com/news/german-parents-attacked-as-they-protest-early-sexualization-of-children>

HAMBURG, Germany, January 30, 2015 ([LifeSiteNews.com](http://LifeSiteNews.com)) -- A peaceful



demonstration in Hamburg on Saturday by parents who are opposed to graphic sex education in German primary schools turned violent when they were pelted with bottles, firecrackers, and snowballs by counter-demonstrators.

The [Besorgte Eltern](http://BesorgteEltern.com) (Concerned Parents) group held the rally to voice their opposition to compulsory sex education that they claim begins the inappropriate sexualization of their children starting in day-care centers and continuing through kindergarten, primary, and secondary schooling.

"We go to the street because we care about our children, who are confronted too early with sexual issues," Matthias Ebert of Besorgte Eltern told the *Hamburger Morgenpost*.

About 150 parents holding placards with slogans such as "Stay away from our children," "Kindergarten is not a swingers club," and "Let our children be children" were attacked by what Russian news service RT Novosti described as "a colorful crowd, from sexual minorities carrying rainbow flags and hand-painted signs celebrating diversity and tolerance, to radical left-wingers and anarchists."

When the counter-demonstrators, who reportedly outnumbered the parents by 4 to 1, blocked the march route and attacked the group, police were called in to separate the attackers from the peaceful parents.

According to German media and the Besorgte Eltern website, a 15-year-old girl was sent to hospital with head lacerations as a result of what a police spokesman called "the highly aggressive appearance of the counter-demonstrators."

A member of the Christian Democratic Union (CDU) party lodged a complaint in the Hamburg Parliament following the incident, condemning the violence as both against democratic values, and punishable by up to 3 years imprisonment.

"Freedom of assembly and freedom of expression are fundamental democratic values that are non-negotiable for peaceful coexistence," [said](#) the CDU's domestic policy spokesman Kai Voet van Vormizeele on Tuesday.

"The peaceful rally at City Hall Marketplace was disturbed by violent individuals who attacked participants. Thus an offence under Section 21 of the Assembly Act has been committed. The Senate must therefore do everything possible to protect the fundamental right to freedom of assembly and pull the perpetrators to justice," Voet van Vormizeele said.

"Even if one considers that the objectives of a demonstration are unreasonable and wrong, it is not permissible to suppress democratic demonstrations by violence and disorder," he said. "The right to one's own freedom of expression is first and foremost the duty to respect the opinions of others. Violence against people or property is not acceptable in this context. Therefore, today I made a complaint against unknown persons for acts of violence and disruption of the demonstration by Besorgte Eltern."

Previous peaceful demonstrations by parents concerned about pro-homosexual "sexual diversity" curricula in their schools and the early sexualization of their children have ended in violent attacks by homosexual activists.

Last March, parents at rallies in Baden-Württemberg and Cologne were attacked by activists who hurled excrement and destroyed property.

"Protesters were physically attacked and it was felt that the police failed to protect the parents' basic right of assembly," said a statement from the [Observatory on Intolerance and Discrimination against Christians](#) describing the incidents.

According to eyewitnesses, said the Observatory, "Christian parents were shouted at with obscenities."

"They were spit at, eggs were thrown, and little bags with feces or color. Cables of loud speakers were torn out," the eyewitnesses said. "Pages were ripped out of the bible and used to wipe backsides, then formed into a ball and thrown at the parents."

Besorgte Eltern has demonstrations planned for various cities throughout 2015. Further information is available [here](#).



# Quebec begins mandatory sex ed teaching 5-year-olds about masturbation, genitalia, and homosexuality



Pete Baklinski [Follow Pete](#)

•  
•  
•

By Pete Baklinski

[parental rights](#) , [parents rights](#) , [quebec](#) , [sex education](#)

QUEBEC, August 31, 2015 ([LifeSiteNews](#)) - Quebec's Ministry of Education is rolling out a new pilot program for mandatory sex education this year that teaches 5-year-old children about male and female genitalia, how conception happens, and "different types of families."

The Ministry is refusing to allow parents to opt children out.

"For the moment, no exemptions are planned," Ministry of Education spokesperson Pascal Ouellet told *The Canadian Press*.

An [information pamphlet](#) created by the Ministry for parents details what the Ministry considers "appropriate" material for children based on "age and level of development." But what the government thinks is appropriate might have parents, especially those from a religious or ethnic background, fuming.

By the time the children have gone through elementary school (ages 6-11), they will have:

- developed their capacity to "engage in respectful emotional relationships";
- learned the "various ways" of "[sexually] expressing oneself as a boy or a girl";
- learned to dismantle "gender stereotypes"; and
- learned about the "impact of sexism and homophobia."

By the time the children reach secondary school (ages 12-17), the ministry expects that they will have had their “first romantic relationships” and would have “explore[d] different aspects of sexual behaviour.”

By the end of this cycle, the children will have learned to:

- manage the “problems that may arise in a romantic relationship”;
- ensure that “sexual behaviour is safe and based on mutual consent”; and
- use condoms, contraception, and develop the “skills they need to protect themselves from STBBIs and pregnancy.”

Monic Tremblay of the Quebec organization Parent Alerte called the program “dangerous”, because it teaches children how to engage in various sexual practices well before they are ready.

“Will this actually protect children from sexual aggressors, as the curriculum claims, or will it turn children into sexual aggressors?” she said in a statement to LifeSiteNews.

Tremblay said that, by “sexualizing” children and teaching them how to “consent” to sexual practices, the program will have the effect of making children available to an adult sexual predators.

“We must protect children and refuse to allow them to be hyper-sexualized,” she said.

Marie Bourque, vice-president of the Catholic Parents Association, told LifeSiteNews that parents should be concerned about the government stepping in to teach their children about sexual morality, especially when it has stated that parents will not be able to withdraw their children from the course.

“No teachings dispensed by the state should contradict parental values, or be dispensed against their parental right,” she said.

The Ministry has stated that the children will receive five to 15 hours of sex ed each year “through the various school subjects and activities,” not through a dedicated program in a specific class.

***Click "like" if you are [PRO-LIFE!](#)***

While the ministry expects currently hired school staff to teach the content of the program, it is allowing teachers to bring in expertise from the health and social services system, community organizations, and “specialist” teachers.

Pro-abortion and homosexual organizations often volunteer to fulfill such roles.

The Ministry seems to downplay parents’ role in directing their children in matters of sexuality. The sex-ed pamphlet says that parents play a “key role” in education their children about sexuality.

Ultimately, the Ministry’s position is that both the school and the family play “complementary roles” in sexual education.

The pilot program will be implemented in 15 schools and run from kindergarten through high school run two years. It is expected to affect 8,200 students.

If deemed successful by the Ministry, the program will become “compulsory in all Québec schools” starting in September 2017.

The mandatory program comes seven years after the province’s government instituted a mandatory “secular” and allegedly “neutral” Ethics and Religious Culture course (ERC), which critics say promotes moral relativism by treating all religions as of equal merit and indoctrinates children into homosexual ideology by teaching “diverse” family structures of two men or two women.

Ontario Tory MPP Monte McNaughton, who ran for leadership of the party on a platform that included scrapping Premier Wynne’s controversial sex-ed curriculum update, said Quebec’s government has turned into a “dictatorship” that is taking religious freedoms away from people while forcing them to comply to “government values.”

“Parents know what’s best for their kids, not any government, or the state, or any politician,” he told LifeSiteNews. “I think this is forcing values on families and it’s not right. It’s up for moms and dads to decide what’s best for their families.”

McNaughton said Quebec is setting an example that he fears the rest of the country may eventually follow.

“We’ve not seen anything like this in the country. Quebec is leading the way, and I don’t think Ontario under Kathleen Wynne is going to be far behind,” he said.

# 哥倫比亞民眾上街示威抗議 拒政府強加性別意識型態於教材

吳雯淇 2016-08-14 國際 74 人氣指數

數千名哥倫比亞民眾日前群聚街頭，抗議其同志教育部長宣布要將同性及跨性別教育納入學校教材。

成千上萬的民眾日前聚集在哥倫比亞布卡拉曼市（Bucaramanga），他們高喊「兒童權利萬歲！家庭萬歲！」，「我們不要政府把性別意識型態灌輸給兒童」。抗議者表示，他們反對教育部長吉娜（Gina Parody）下令要全國公立、私立學校修改學生手冊，以及將性別意識型態納入教材，以避免學生因其性取向被歧視，及職員因性別認同問題遭開除。



民眾拿著愛家旗幟，申明學校應強調傳統家庭價值。（圖片來源/翻攝自 Abanderados por la Familia 臉書）

這名公開承認與工商暨旅遊部長西西莉亞（Cecilia Álvarez-Correa）有親密關係的女教育部長，正全力的爭取 LGBT 學生的權利。據悉，在過去的兩週內，哥倫比亞憲法法院裁定，在學的跨性別學生可以選擇自己屬意性別的校服上學。

教育部長吉娜表示，新的學生手冊主要是教導學生要『尊重』並了解有同性傾向者及跨性別者之價值，要讓學校進化成一個無歧視之自由環境。

但此命令一出後，引起了全國家長極力反對，為了平息眾怒，她表示目前該計畫尚未通過。但國內的天主教教學教卻跳出來表示，學校有收到教育部的指示，要求他們刪除有關天主教對同性觀點的內容。

哥倫比亞主教會大主教奧斯卡（Oscar Urbina Ortega）表示，我們對目前教育部正在濫用法律，來強加性別意識型態於我們的社會中感到失望，這徹底違反教育自治原則以及宗教信仰自由。

哥倫比亞總檢察長亞歷杭德羅（Alejandro Ordóñez Maldonado）也站在反對的立場，他表示，我們的公民沒有義務違背自己的良心，接受教育部硬是灌輸學生違反民眾良心及信仰之觀念。哥倫比亞總統桑托斯（Juan Manuel Santos）則尚未對此事發表意見。（吳雯淇綜合外電報導）



有學者專長指出，現今全面性教育灌輸孩子不是安全性行為，而是有可能導致愛滋病的危險性教育。（使用授權圖片）

## 全球面臨的兒童之戰！全面性教育大解構

2016-08-09

「我可以脫你的衣服嗎？」、「當你觸碰我這裡的時候感覺很舒服，你可以再做一次嗎？」、「我可以把褲子脫掉嗎？」這些對話在成人之間已經非常大膽，不過這些超露骨的問題，卻大刺刺地出現小學生性教育課程講義裡。

### **CSE 暗著來 就是要教學生怎麼做愛**

由國際計劃生育聯盟（International Planned Parenthood Federation, IPPF）設計的「全面式性教育（comprehensive sexuality education, CSE）」，在北美、拉丁美洲、歐洲、甚至偏遠的非洲都看得到蹤跡。

CSE 課程看似教導學生如何預防懷孕及感染愛滋病。實際上，圖解式內容更容易引導學生及早「發生性行為」，甚至是「高風險性」的性行為。

## Excerpt from *It's Perfectly Normal*

### SEXUAL INTERCOURSE: Page 56

"Sexual intercourse usually begins with two people touching, caressing, kissing, and hugging each other."

"After a bit, the female's vagina becomes moist and slippery, her clitoris becomes hard, and the male's penis becomes erect, stiff, and larger... The female and the male begin to feel excited about each other."

"It is now possible for the male's erect penis to go inside the female's vagina..."

"As the male and female move back and forth in rhythm, the movement of the penis inside the vagina soon feels very good. The female and male may hug and even kiss and touch each other even more as all of this is going on and feel more and more excited."



CSE 圖解部份內容。（圖片已獲得國際家庭觀察協會授權刊登。）

### 性權平等只是工具 紀錄片揭真相

國際家庭觀察協會（Family Watch International）總裁斯萊特（Sharon Slater）就曾表示，CSE 根本是一場瞞天過海的大騙局！她以 35 分鐘的紀錄片「兒童之戰：細看全面式性教育（The War on Children: The

Comprehensive Sexuality Education Agenda) 」揭發 CSE 利用提倡健康性教育之名，行美國霸權外交之實的真相。

紀錄片一開始就諮詢了心理學家、兒童保護中心主任、衛生健康專家，和性教育學者。各領域專家對於 CSE 的看法一致「極力反對！」，並表示這是場現在進行式的戰爭。他們呼籲這一代要起來抗爭，否則未來的世世代代都得承擔所有的惡果。

### **做愛純屬娛樂 誘導兒少性解放及性早熟**

其中美國小兒科醫師學會 (American College of Pediatricians) 主席克提拉博士 (Michelle Cretella, MD) 就毫不避諱地說，CSE 產生的問題從兒童的身體健康、心理性早熟、意識型態的錯植，到家庭觀念的瓦解，影響層面相當廣泛。

IPPF 就以「健康、快樂、熱情 (Healthy, Happy and Hot)」這本小冊子，教育非洲莫三比克的年輕人很多達到性高潮的方式，例如自慰的快感，同性異性間性行為，或者多 P 來進行「娛樂性行為」。然而這樣的教法，只是誘導他們發生性行為及產生多重的性關係。

### **有愛滋也不用主動講 因為這是性權**

複雜的性關係就可能感染令人擔憂的性病，然而小冊子也有解套的方式。它告訴年輕人「有權利對性伴侶隱瞞自己的 HIV 狀態 (陰性/陽性)」，間接慫恿 HIV 帶原者從事會傷害他人的行為。

「他們只在乎自己，不在乎別人的安全。小冊子的內容是說，如果雙方決定不帶套子，那是他們的權利。我認為這是個嚴重的警訊。」格拉斯曼醫師 (Miriam Grossman, MD) 說。






瑞士 IPPF，為 13-14 歲的兒童製作了一部 30 分鐘的動畫『性愛地圖』。教導年輕人只要你同意也感覺很好，什麼事都可以做。（圖片已獲得國際家庭觀察協會授權刊登。）

今天當女生明天當男生 性別混亂才是目的？

另一本「性別薑餅人」（Genderbread Person）的小冊子，則是主打性別光譜，教育兒童今天可以當 100 分的女生，明天可以當 70 分的女生加 30 分的男生。內華達家長組織（Power2Parent, Nevada）發言人史瓦茲（Kristine Swarts）說：「這就是心智干擾，課程讓小孩分不清自己是什麼。難道混淆小孩的性別才是他們真正的目的嗎？」

# The Genderbread Person v3.3 by its pronounced METROsexual.com

Gender is one of those things everyone thinks they understand, but most people don't. Like breakfast. Gender isn't binary. It's not effortful. In many cases it's both/and, a bit of this, a dash of that. This tarty little guide is meant to be an appetizer for gender understanding. It's okay if you're hungry for more. In fact, that's the idea.



性別薑餅

人的課程內容。（圖片已獲得國際家庭觀察協會授權刊登。）

還有一項惹惱父母的法令。奧勒岡州有條法律保障 15 歲以下的兒少，可以使用納稅人的錢進行變性手術，而且不須父母同意。以往學校沒有父母的同意，小孩連阿斯匹靈都無法取得，然而這項永久性的改變卻可以不經父母同意，「國家不僅拿納稅人的錢讓婦女墮胎，連小孩變性的錢也一併出了。」一位家長表示對於政府的施政標準摸不著頭緒。


## 在意識形態動手腳 植入錯誤的性觀念

CSE 教孩童性行為，也教他們怎麼禁慾，只是定義與大眾所熟悉的不同。一本名叫「Dry Humping Saves Lives」（暫譯：安全性愛不脫衣）」的小冊子，就教導兒童可以對著鏡子或在別人面前自慰，也可以用肛交、口交、愛撫及文字挑逗對方興奮。不發生性交的活動一律稱為「禁慾」，實際上它正在變向鼓勵孩童嚐試性行為。

不僅如此，它同時也告訴兒童，觸碰對方私密處就是表示「我喜歡你（妳）」的表現，企圖灌輸錯誤的性觀念。現今，性教育不僅沒有教孩童保護自己的身體，也學不到尊重他人的禮儀分寸。

## CSE 排擠父母 從小洗腦孩子性權獨立

別以為這些離譜的性教育是從國小開始，從國際衛生組織（WHO）對歐洲性教育的標準來看，0 到 4 歲開始就該接受「觸碰他人身體或自慰時的快感」的性探索內容。遑論 4 歲以上的課程，連放上電視都還可能被歸類為限制級。



0 歲開始的性教育讓孩童學習碰觸他人身體。（圖片已獲得國際家庭觀察協會授權刊登。）



15 歲的性教育教導年輕人墮胎的權利。（圖片已獲得國際家庭觀察協會授權刊登。）

過去的性教育是教導年輕人「你預備好了嗎」，培養他們謹慎後行的負責任品格；而今則是直接告訴孩童「你預備好了」，崇尚個人主義凌駕一切。英國《衛報》就曾指出，CSE 過份著重「性技巧」，忽略人類更深層的情感需要，而情感教育才是塑造年輕人的內在性格，決定他們未來生命發展的關鍵。

CSE 打著健康性教育的旗幟，卻把孩童推向性解放的深淵，然而這些內容家長一概不知情，難道他們沒有憤怒的理由嗎？（謝婷婷/綜合外電報導）

## Modern sex-ed is sexually abusive to our kids: Fathers, defend your children

*John-Henry Westen* Thu Oct 15, 2015 - 10:37 am EST

<https://www.lifesitenews.com/opinion/modern-sex-ed-is-sexually-abusive-to-our-kids-fathers-defend-your-children>



*Editor's note: These remarks were delivered at a press conference in Rome today with Cardinal Raymond Burke.*

Oct. 15, 2015 ([LifeSiteNews](#)) - Speaking as a father of eight children, I am here to defend my children and to challenge fathers everywhere to do the same, to encourage them to stand up and fight for the rights of their children to health and happiness, their right to an upbringing free from abusive and harmful propaganda.

I'm speaking about the current worldwide attempt to impose on all children a sex education that is more properly called sexual abuse than education. It is being pushed by America, the EU and the United Nations on the developing world under pain of denial of aid, and also upon their own citizenry. Sadly, it is an abusive education that in much of the Western world is aided and abetted by many bishops of the Catholic Church and those in charge of Catholic school education.

How is it abusive?

Firstly, it is originally based on the research of Alfred Kinsey who is known to have overseen research associates who sexually molested children for his experiments.

Dr. Judith Reisman, the researcher who unearthed Kinsey's treachery told me that using Dr. Kinsey's research is using "the same psychopathic source who claimed children are sexual from birth, having directed the sexual torture of up to 2,035 infants and children for his so-called 'data'."

Secondly what is taught is demonstrably harmful to children. Take for instance the normalization of homosexuality, which is a *sine qua non* of modern sex education.

Every thinking person knows that homosexual sexual activity is harmful. Secular studies demonstrating the preponderance of sexually transmitted diseases among active homosexuals are too numerous to detail, but looking also at the psychological harm is important. A 2001 government-sponsored study of nearly 6,000 adults published in *Archives of General Psychiatry* (Journal of the American Medical Association) found that:

Compared to heterosexual men, males who engage in homosexual behavior are:

- 727 percent more likely to have suffered bipolar disorders at some point in their lives

- 620 percent more likely to have suffered obsessive-compulsive disorder at some point in their lives

- 421 percent more likely to have suffered panic disorder

- 235 percent more likely to have suffered major depression at some point in their lives

Compared to heterosexual women, females who engage in homosexual behavior are:

- 405 percent more likely to have suffered a substance use disorder

- 241 percent more likely to have suffered mood disorders during their lifetimes

- 209 percent more likely to have suffered two or more mental disorders during their lifetimes

As summarized by the researchers, “[t]he findings support the assumption that people with same-sex behavior are at greater risk for psychiatric disorders.”

Is it thus more merciful to abandon children to these dangers or to guide them to lifestyle choices which ensure healthy lives?

Of course critics would suggest that the mental health problems identified result from societal disapproval or stigmatization of homosexual behavior. However the study was done in the Netherlands, the most tolerant and homosexual-affirming nation in the world. (Sandfort, Theo G.M, et. al., “Same-Sex Sexual Behavior and Psychiatric

Disorders,” *Archives of General Psychiatry* (Journal of the American Medical Association), Vol. 58, No. 1, January 2001.)

For those who would persist in denying even the scientific evidence of harm, perhaps dismissing it as biased, at least they must believe the words of gay activists themselves.

In my country of Canada same sex ‘marriage’ was legalized in 2005. Speaking years after the passage of same-sex ‘marriage’ into law, Canadian gay rights activist Gens Hellquist explained why he was seeking more government healthcare dollars for the LGBT community. “We have one of the poorest health statuses in this country,” he said. “Health issues affecting queer Canadians include lower life expectancy than the average Canadian, suicide, higher rates of substance abuse, depression, inadequate access to care and HIV/AIDS.”

“There are all kinds of health issues that are endemic to our community,” he added. “We have higher rates of anal cancer in the gay male community, lesbians have higher rates of breast cancer.”

He concluded: “Now that we can get married everyone assumes that we don't have any issues any more. A lot of the deaths that occur in our community are hidden, we don't see them. Those of us who are working on the front lines see them and I'm tired of watching my community die.”

Thus we can see that even insofar as it normalizes homosexual sex, let alone all other sexual aberrations, modern sex education is truly harmful for our children and as fathers we are called to protect and defend our children.

Parents need the help of the Church now more than ever in defending their rights as the primary educators of their children. However, too many bishops and priests have given in to the demands of secular society and allowed or even embraced this abusive sex education in Catholic schools. They have abandoned families and especially children to the wolves.

My wife and I have made the difficult decision to home school our eight children for the very reason that we refuse to subject them to this sexually abusive sex education in Catholic schools.

We’ve often heard the Vatican blamed for hoarding various treasures which should be shared with all the world. That nonsensical claim is in one sense true. The real treasures the Church possesses are the truths of Christ – the master plan for health and happiness in every area of moral life. Thus the Church has the key to instruction in

sexuality according to the plan of God. The great treasures of Casti Connubii, Humanae Vitae, Familiaris Consortio and of course St. John Paul II's Theology of the Body are all there ready to be taught.

However, while the abusive sex education has been taught in almost all schools, Catholic included, those treasures of the Church have been withheld from most Catholic school children around the globe.

In my own country of Canada bishops have conceded to have gay-straight alliances in Catholic schools. They have agreed to not speak of the immorality of homosexual sex in Catholic schools.

Why have our shepherds done this? Mostly due to the threat of withdrawal of public funding for Catholic schools, but also out of regard for the societal acceptance and the prestige that entails.

Fathers must now stand up for their families to let our bishops know we will not permit them to barter our children's spiritual lives away for money and prestige.

Jesus asked rhetorically "Is there a man among you who, if his son asks for bread, will give him a stone? Or if he asks for a fish, will give him a snake?" It seems many of our spiritual fathers have given our children stones instead of the bread they require, have given us poisonous snakes instead of fish.

It is now time for parents, on behalf of their children to demand our rights as primary educators of our children.

Because ultimately our role as parents is first and foremost to secure the best possible opportunity for our children's eternal health and happiness.

## Ontario school board tells parents they can't 'opt out' of gay lessons

*Pete Baklinski* Thu Sep 3, 2015 - 8:57 pm EST

<https://www.lifesitenews.com/news/ontario-school-board-tells-parents-they-cant-opt-out-of-gay-lessons>



*Peel*

*District School Board teacher Alicia Gunn tells a gay activist conference how she uses math to teach young kids about homosexuality.*

MISSISSAUGA, Ontario, September 3, 2015 ([LifeSiteNews](#)) -- Despite an explicit government promise ensuring that parents can opt their kids out of the controversial sex-ed program beginning in schools next week, the province's second largest school board has declared that parents will not be able to opt their kids out of portions of the course dealing with homosexuality and gender identity.

In a letter submitted to all mainstream media outlets yesterday, Peel Director of Education Tony Pontes was unambiguous that a request from parents to have their children opt-out would not be accommodated.

“Where the work in the classroom is about inclusion—whatever form that inclusion may take—any of the protected grounds in the Human Rights Code—we will not provide religious or any other accommodation,” he stated.

Jack Fonseca of Campaign Life Coalition called the move a “shocking attempt by the state to annex parental authority.”



“Contrary to what this director says, there is **no such thing as a human right to force your personal beliefs about sexual morality onto other people's children,**” he told LifeSiteNews.

Pontes justified the board’s position by insisting that it is trying to end what he called “discrimination.”

“We cannot—we will not—by action or inaction endorse discrimination. What does this mean? Well, if we are talking about different kinds of families—a discussion that builds a climate of inclusion and safety—we **will not allow religious accommodation to be used as a reason for a student to miss that lesson,**” he stated in the letter.

But Fonseca said the statement amounted to Pontes “trying to bulldoze the public into believing” that **teachers and education bureaucrats can take away a “parental rights as primary educators of children” in the name of “anti-discrimination.”**

“But in doing so,” Fonseca continued, “the Board is discriminating aggressively against all parents including Christians, Muslims, Sikhs, Jews, and traditionally-principled families in general.”

“When you look at the situation objectively, Pontes is really talking about the State acting as a foreign occupier, coming into the most intimate part of your family life - the religious and moral values you pass onto your children - and declaring that it has the power to override those values for 8 hours per day, 5 days a week. Deciding what moral beliefs children are raised with regarding human sexuality is the sole prerogative of parents,” he said.

Last April two lesbian teachers from the Peel board gave an indication of how the board handles these issues when they spoke at a pro-gay educators conference in Toronto. The teachers explained in detail how they use the classroom to convince children as young as four to accept homosexual relationships. One **teacher used pro-gay books** such as “King and King” as a springboard for what she called “conversations” about homosexuality, while the other **openly admitted that she hid** her promotion of homosexuality to the kids in what she called “social justice math.”

In his letter, Pontes called the refusal of religious accommodation to stop discrimination a “core value,” and said that he “would no more say yes to someone wanting a child excluded because of a discussion about LGBTQ than I would a discussion about race or gender. All human rights are rights, and all are equal.”

Pontes recognized that not allowing parents to opt their kids out of the controversial program is a move that will not be welcomed by many parents, stating: “Let’s be clear: Some in our community may not like this.” But he added that such parents “may choose to switch school systems ... if so, that is a price we must be willing to pay.”

Last February when Education Minister Liz Sandals unveiled the updated sex-curriculum to much uproar from concerned parents, she attempted to assuage them by assuring them that they had the right to opt out their kids.

“It’s actually in the Education Act that a parent has the right to withdraw their child from content they don’t want their child to receive,” Sandals said in an interview with the National Post.

Parents in the Peel board may now have good grounds to bring a formal complaint against the board for ignoring the Ontario Education Act and a promise made by Sandals.

Tory MP Monte McNaughton, who is leading the Progressive Conservative charge against the sex-ed plan, sent a letter to Sandals yesterday, asking her to stand by her promise and with parents against the Peel Board.

“I am writing to you today to ask that you immediately clarify the specific process for parents to opt-out their children from the new sex-education curriculum, as parents across Ontario have relied upon your word on this matter,” he wrote.

McNaughton told LifeSiteNews that he was “taken aback” by the Peel Board’s position, and that it “contradicts” what the Liberal government has been assuring parents. Silence thus far from the education minister “just speaks to the fact that parents cannot trust the Liberal government,” he added.

He called the Peel Board’s decision “disturbing” saying that “parents know what’s best for their kids, not bureaucrats, not politicians, and not government.”

The Peel Board’s letter came out on the same day as thousands of concerned parents demonstrated in front of 103 of the 107 MPP constituency offices across Ontario, calling for the curriculum to be shelved.

Parents are concerned that the curriculum will sexualize their children and destroy their natural innocence by teaching explicit sexual content from the earliest of ages in a framework that critics say is based on ideology, not on genuine science. The curriculum will teach young children about genitalia, explicit details about reproduction, masturbation, and homosexual practices. They will also learn to accept

households of two moms and two dads as normal and natural. They also note that the curriculum never speaks about sexuality in reference to love and responsibility, and never suggests saving sex until marriage.

The news comes on the heels of Quebec declaring earlier this week that parents will not be able to opt out children from its new pilot program for sex-ed that critics say promotes the early sexualization of children and indoctrinates children into accepting homosexuality. Life and family leaders say that pushing ideologically based sex-ed on young children will only further breakdown the natural family unit, the cell of society, and lead to moral chaos and social upheaval.

*Find a full listing of LifeSiteNews' coverage of the Ontario government's explicit sex-ed program [here](#).*

# Growing up without a father transforms children's brains: Study

BY BEN JOHNSON

Tue Dec 10, 2013 15:57 EST

<http://www.livesitenews.com/news/growing-up-without-a-father-transforms-childrens-brains-study>

MONTREAL, December 10, 2013 ([LifeSiteNews.com](http://www.livesitenews.com)) – A new study shows that growing up without a father not only affects behavior – it transforms children's brain structure.

That's the verdict reached by the Research Institute of the McGill University Health Center and recently published in the journal *Cerebral Cortex*.



Researchers studied the behavior and brains of Californian mice who, like humans, are monogamous and raise their children as a unit.

Mice separated from their fathers showed greater aggression, anti-social behavior, and “abnormal social interactions” than those raised with both parents.

“The behavioral deficits we observed are consistent with human studies of children raised without a father,” said Dr. Gabriella Gobbi, the report's lead author.

However, more groundbreaking was their finding that the behavior was not the only thing affected by the lack of a father. Mice raised by one parent had a misshapen prefrontal cortex, the [portion of the brain](#) associated with behavior, decision-making, and problem solving.

"This is the first time research findings have shown that paternal deprivation during development affects the neurobiology of the offspring," Gobbi said.

The report states, “Our results emphasize the importance of the father during critical neurodevelopmental periods, and that father absence induces impairments in social behavior that persist to adulthood.”

The absence of a father has been associated with a string of poor behavior and life outcomes, including higher rates of substance abuse and criminality.

A report published by the Center for Social Justice found that [half of all children in Britain are living in a single-parent home](#), more than 1 million British children in all.

By contrast, the presence of fathers – even uncommunicative ones – raises the levels of positive outcomes for children.

In 2011, researchers at the University of Melbourne found that [delinquent behavior was reduced by 7.6 percent among boys who lived with their biological fathers](#), and five percent points for those living with non-biological fathers only, especially violent and gang-related crime.

# 29 new words for deviance you can be fined \$250,000 for not using in NYC

*Steve Weatherbe*

- 
- 
- 

conscience rights , family research council , freedom , gender theory , new york city human rights commission , religious persecution , transgenderism

NEW YORK CITY, May 27, 2016 ([LifeSiteNews](#)) – The New York City Human Rights Commission has released a list of 31 different terms of gender expression employers must use or face \$250,000 fines, all but two of them naming various forms of deviancy.

Tony Perkins, head of the Family Research Council, was quick to link the list to President Barack Obama’s recent edict to public school systems requiring them to de-gender their washrooms and change rooms. “This is political correctness run wild. Where does the President’s bathroom edict go? This is it,” Perkins told LifeSiteNews.

New York City’s Human Rights Commission first announced it was including gender variations under its anti-discriminatory protection in December and earlier this month detailed the offenses for which employers or customers could draw fines up to \$250,000.

The rollout continues with the release of a list of names for 31 different genders one must draw from for an employee if that person so desires. The fines go as high as \$125,000 for misnaming a person’s gender intentionally, and \$250,000 for doing so “maliciously.”

But the Human Rights Commission secretary, Seth Hoy, told the *Daily Caller* that “Accidentally misusing a transgender person’s preferred pronoun is not a violation of the law and will not result in a fine.”

Unhelpfully, the New York City Human Rights Commission does not list trans pronouns in its most recent dictum. But its list of nouns alone would need several nights of memorization. Some are familiar, such as “drag queen” and “transgender.” Others are more obscure, such as “agender,” “femme queen” and “hijra.” Some, such as “gender bender” and “gender blender” are new enough to have escaped even the notice of the University of California Berkeley’s [glossary](#) of LGBT terms, and, unfortunately for Manhattan employers, the HRC provides no definitions.

UC Berkeley provides this useful table for the pronoun-curious:

	Subject	Object	Possessive	Possessive	Reflexive
--	---------	--------	------------	------------	-----------

			Adjective	Pronoun	
Female	She	Her	Her	Hers	Herself
Male	He	Him	His	His	Himself
Gender Neutral	Ze	Hir	Hir	Hirs	Hirself
Pronunciation	/zee/	/here/	/here/	/heres/	/hereself/

The FRC’s Perkins warned that, “At some point, businesses will have to push back because this will become very costly. In terms of hiring and public accommodation, gender is defined solely by the individual -- not by biology or medical and legal documents.”

And not only businesses should be alarmed. “Imagine subjecting a church pre-school or religious charity to these rules. A finding of noncompliance could financially destroy them,” said Perkins.

Pope Benedict XVI condemned the philosophy behind such regulations, in his 2012 Christmas greetings to the Roman Curia, as an assault on the Judeo-Christian understanding of humanity. "According to this philosophy, sex is no longer a given element of nature, that man has to accept and personally make sense of: it is a social role that we choose for ourselves, while in the past it was chosen for us by society. The profound falsehood of this theory and of the anthropological revolution contained within it is obvious."

Pope Benedict continued, "When the freedom to be creative becomes the freedom to create oneself, then necessarily the Maker himself is denied and ultimately man too is stripped of his dignity as a creature of God, as the image of God at the core of his being."

## **RELATED**

[New York City imposes fines up to \\$250,000 for refusing to call trans person ‘ze’ or ‘hir’](#)

---