

立法會
Legislative Council

LC Paper No. PWSC303/17-18
(These minutes have been seen
by the Administration)

Ref : CB1/F/2/1(33)B

**Public Works Subcommittee of the Finance Committee
of the Legislative Council**

**Minutes of the 34th meeting
held in Conference Room 1 of the Legislative Council Complex
on Wednesday, 4 July 2018, at 8:30 am**

Members present:

Ir Dr Hon LO Wai-kwok, SBS, MH, JP (Chairman)
Hon Charles Peter MOK, JP (Deputy Chairman)
Hon Abraham SHEK Lai-him, GBS, JP
Hon Tommy CHEUNG Yu-yan, GBS, JP
Hon Starry LEE Wai-king, SBS, JP
Hon CHAN Hak-kan, BBS, JP
Dr Hon Priscilla LEUNG Mei-fun, SBS, JP
Hon Paul TSE Wai-chun, JP
Hon Claudia MO
Hon Michael TIEN Puk-sun, BBS, JP
Hon Frankie YICK Chi-ming, SBS, JP
Hon WU Chi-wai, MH
Hon YIU Si-wing, BBS
Hon MA Fung-kuok, SBS, JP
Hon CHAN Chi-chuen
Hon CHAN Han-pan, BBS, JP
Hon LEUNG Che-cheung, SBS, MH, JP
Hon Alice MAK Mei-kuen, BBS, JP
Hon Christopher CHEUNG Wah-fung, SBS, JP

Dr Hon Fernando CHEUNG Chiu-hung
Dr Hon Helena WONG Pik-wan
Hon Alvin YEUNG
Hon Andrew WAN Siu-kin
Hon CHU Hoi-dick
Dr Hon Junius HO Kwan-yiu, JP
Hon HO Kai-ming
Hon LAM Cheuk-ting
Hon Holden CHOW Ho-ding
Hon Wilson OR Chong-shing, MH
Hon Tanya CHAN
Hon CHEUNG Kwok-kwan, JP
Hon HUI Chi-fung
Hon LUK Chung-hung, JP
Hon LAU Kwok-fan, MH
Dr Hon CHENG Chung-tai
Hon KWONG Chun-yu
Hon Jeremy TAM Man-ho
Hon Gary FAN Kwok-wai
Hon AU Nok-hin
Hon Vincent CHENG Wing-shun, MH
Hon Tony TSE Wai-chuen, BBS

Member attending:

Hon Martin LIAO Cheung-kong, SBS, JP

Member absent:

Dr Hon KWOK Ka-ki

Public officers attending:

Mr Raistlin LAU Chun, JP

Deputy Secretary for Financial Services
and the Treasury (Treasury)³

Miss Joey LAM Kam-ping, JP	Deputy Secretary for Development (Works)1
Ms Bernadette LINN, JP	Permanent Secretary for Development (Planning and Lands)
Mr WONG Chuen-fai	Assistant Director of Environmental Protection (Environmental Assessment)
Ms June HO Hoi-kwan	Assistant Secretary for Financial Services and the Treasury (Treasury) (Works)2
Mr LAU Kong-wah, JP	Secretary for Home Affairs
Miss Charmaine WONG Hoi-wan, JP	Deputy Director of Home Affairs (1)
Mr Steve TSE Ling-chun, JP	District Officer (Kwun Tong) Home Affairs Department
Mr Rick CHAN Tin-chu, JP	District Officer (Wan Chai) Home Affairs Department
Mr Martin KWAN Wai-cheong	Chief Engineer (Works) Home Affairs Department
Mr Edward TSE Cheong-wo	Project Director (3) Architectural Services Department
Mrs Alice CHING WOO Wai-ling	Chief Project Manager 303 Architectural Services Department
Mr Chris LIU Chi-ho	Chief Project Manager 302 Architectural Services Department
Ms LAI Mei-ling	Chief Leisure Manager (Kowloon) Leisure and Cultural Services Department
Mr LUK Chi-kwong	Chief Leisure Manager (Hong Kong East) Leisure and Cultural Services Department

Attendance by invitation:

Dr Bunny CHAN Chung-bun, GBS, JP	Chairman Kwun Tong District Council
Mr Stephen NG Kam-chun, BBS, MH, JP	Chairman Wan Chai District Council

Clerk in attendance:

Ms Doris LO	Chief Council Secretary (1)2
-------------	------------------------------

Staff in attendance:

Mr Raymond CHOW	Senior Council Secretary (1)6
Mr Keith WONG	Council Secretary (1)2
Ms Christina SHIU	Legislative Assistant (1)2
Ms Christy YAU	Legislative Assistant (1)7
Ms Clara LO	Legislative Assistant (1)8

Action

The Chairman advised that there was one funding proposal on the agenda for the meeting. He reminded members that in accordance with Rule 83A of the Rules of Procedure ("RoP") of the Legislative Council ("LegCo"), they should disclose the nature of any direct or indirect pecuniary interests relating to the funding proposals under discussion at the meeting before they spoke on the proposals. He also drew members' attention to Rule 84 of RoP on voting in case of direct pecuniary interest.

Head 707 – New Towns and Urban Area Development

PWSC(2018-19)28	458RO	Signature Project Scheme (Kwun Tong District) – Construction of Music Fountains at Kwun Tong Promenade
	68RE	Signature Project Scheme (Wan Chai District) – Construction of Moreton Terrace Activities Centre

2. The Chairman advised that the proposal, i.e. PWSC(2018-19)28, sought to upgrade 458RO and 68RE to Category A, with the former at an estimated cost of \$49.7 million in money-of-the-day ("MOD") prices for the construction of music fountains at Kwun Tong ("KT") Promenade ("the

Promenade Project") as one of the two projects under the Signature Project Scheme ("SPS") in KT District, and the latter at an estimated cost of \$133.1 million in MOD prices for the construction of the Moreton Terrace Activities Centre ("MTAC") as the SPS project in Wan Chai ("WC") District. The Administration consulted the Panel on Home Affairs ("HA Panel") on the two SPS projects on 21 December 2017 and members of the HA Panel supported the submission of the funding proposal for the two projects by the Administration to the Subcommittee for consideration. A report on the gist of the HA Panel's discussion on the two SPS projects was tabled at the meeting. Subsequently, the funding proposal as set out in PWSC(2018-19)15 was submitted to the Subcommittee and discussed at its meetings on 28 May, 30 May and 11 June 2018. The proposal was negated at the meeting on 11 June 2018.

3. At the invitation of the Chairman, Secretary for Home Affairs ("SHA") briefed members on [PWSC\(2018-19\)28](#), a new discussion paper submitted by the Administration in respect of the two SPS projects. He explained that in response to the views raised by Subcommittee members at the above meetings, the Administration had revised the project designs, and the revisions included (a) enlarging the size of wet play area of the music fountains at KT Promenade by changing an area of about 100 square metres ("m²") for the performance water fountain on the west to wet play area; and (b) changing part of the stage in the multi-purpose hall of MTAC to an extendable portion and making available a table tennis table. The discussion paper also set out the Administration's progress in identifying a suitable location near Moreton Terrace for the provision of a new outdoor volleyball court.

Re-submission of the works projects voted down by the Public Works Subcommittee in the same legislative session

4. Citing paragraph 25 of the Public Works Subcommittee Procedure ("PWSC Procedure"), which stipulated that if the Subcommittee did not approve a proposal, it would then be for the Financial Secretary to consider whether to make a fresh proposal amended in such a way as might make it acceptable to the Subcommittee, the Chairman pointed out that the Administration had revised the two SPS projects before submitting the new discussion paper to the Subcommittee. There were precedent cases in which the Administration amended the funding proposals not approved by the Subcommittee and submitted to it in the same legislative session, and the amended proposals were subsequently approved.

5. The Deputy Chairman, Mr Gary FAN, Mr Alvin YEUNG, Mr WU Chi-wai, Mr Jeremy TAM, Mr AU Nok-hin and Mr HUI Chi-fung

were dissatisfied that after the two SPS projects had been voted down by the Subcommittee last month (i.e. in June 2018), the Administration made minor revisions to their designs and hastily submitted the new proposal to the Subcommittee for consideration without discussion by the relevant District Councils ("DCs") on the new proposal beforehand and consultation with local residents. They opined that by doing so, the Administration was disrespectful to LegCo and the relevant DCs.

6. Mr WU Chi-wai and Mr AU Nok-hin opined that after the funding proposal had been voted down by the Subcommittee last month, the relevant DCs should re-examine whether the funding should be spent on other SPS projects that better served public interest, and consult the public afresh on those projects. Mr WU also questioned whether the SPS projects should have been discussed by the respective DCs of the current term before being submitted to the Subcommittee for consideration, as those projects, proposed by the respective DCs of the last term, not only had been voted down by the Subcommittee, but also had been revised by the Government.

7. Mr Jeremy TAM opined that the minor revisions made by the Administration to the project designs could hardly address members' concerns and opposing views, such as the issue of high water consumption of the music fountains at KT Promenade. Mr HUI Chi-fung questioned the propriety of the Administration's practice and whether the revisions had been made to selectively address members' views.

8. SHA, Dr Bunny CHAN Chung-bun, Chairman of Kwun Tong District Council ("KTDC"), and Mr Stephen NG Kam-chun, Chairman of Wan Chai District Council ("WCDC"), responded that both SPS projects had been long anticipated by local residents, and had received the support of KTDC and WCDC respectively. The funding proposal had been endorsed by the Subcommittee in June 2016. However, the Finance Committee ("FC") could not complete its deliberation before the prorogation of the last term of LegCo. The Administration had consulted the HA Panel on the funding proposal afresh in December 2017 and, with its support, re-submitted the funding proposal to the Subcommittee for consideration in May this year. Given the wish of many members of KTDC and WCDC to continue to take forward the projects, and in the light of Subcommittee members' concerns and views, the Administration had revised the project designs and submitted the new proposal to the Subcommittee for consideration. Nevertheless, the broad directions of the two projects now proposed were no different from those originally proposed by the two DCs, and members of KTDC and WCDC had been informed of the respective revisions to the design details.

9. Dr Bunny CHAN Chung-bun, Chairman of KTDC, further said that regarding the Promenade Project, a majority of KTDC members supported the revised design, with 28 of them issuing a joint letter (LC Paper No. PWSC274/17-18(01)) to indicate support for the revised design and urge the Subcommittee to endorse the funding proposal as soon as possible.

10. Dr Bunny CHAN Chung-bun, Chairman of KTDC, further pointed out that after the commencement of the DC term in 2015, some KTDC members had proposed a motion demanding the withdrawal of the Promenade Project. However, the motion had been negated, showing that KTDC had all along been supporting the Promenade Project.

11. Mr HO Kai-ming said that he was one of the 28 KTDC members signing the joint letter in support of the new proposal. Mr HO reiterated that the Promenade Project had been selected by KTDC after conducting bottom-up consultation. He pointed out that DCs did not normally conduct consultation afresh on works projects endorsed in a term and carried over to the next term. Considering that some Members had exaggerated the annual water consumption of the music fountains, he disagreed with any views about the high water consumption of the fountains. He further said that while the Government was not required to pay the water bill, the water charge of the music fountains, as calculated according to the trade charging rate, would only be \$6.9 per day. It would be indeed good value for money to pay such a charge in exchange of a facility where the general public could enjoy and have fun with their families.

12. Mr Paul TSE opined that as pointed out by the Chairman, it was in order for the Administration to revise the funding proposal not approved by the Subcommittee and submit the revised funding proposal to the Subcommittee for consideration. Besides, the two DCs had discussed the projects in question. The new proposal had incorporated the amendments made by the Administration to the project details in the light of members' views and there was no change to the broad directions of the projects. The DC members concerned had also been duly consulted by circulation of papers from time to time.

13. SHA stressed that the Administration not only respected the wish of KTDC and WCDC for the expeditious commencement of the two SPS projects, but also attached great importance to Subcommittee members' views on the projects. In this regard, the works departments had revised the designs of the two SPS projects within a short period of time, maintaining the broad directions of the two projects while incorporating members' views as far as practicable. Over 70% of the members of both DCs supported the revised designs. Nevertheless, out of respect for the procedure of LegCo,

the Administration had submitted the revised proposal to the Subcommittee instead of submitting it directly to FC for consideration. He called on members to focus their discussion on the revisions made in response to members' views.

14. Mr Alvin YEUNG raised doubts on the criteria adopted by the Administration for deciding what views of members were to be incorporated in revising the designs of the two SPS projects. Taking the Promenade Project as an example, he asked how the Administration had come up with the decision to change an area of about 100 m² for the performance water fountain to wet play area. Dr CHENG Chung-tai queried if the design had been revised in the light of members' suggestions as claimed by the Administration, why the Administration had not taken on board some members' views by placing specialized crowd management vehicles (commonly known as "water cannon vehicles") of the Hong Kong Police Force at KT Promenade to shoot water jets for entertaining the public in place of the music fountains.

15. As for MTAC, Mr HUI Chi-fung expressed concerns about the failure of the Administration's revised design to fully address the views raised by members at the Subcommittee meetings, including the views that the area of MTAC was too small, the project cost was too high, and MTAC should be constructed on a "Government, Institution or Community" site on Caroline Hill Road instead. Given the Administration's claim that the design had been revised in the light of members' views, Mr CHU Hoi-dick asked whether the Administration had liaised with the members concerned to confirm that the revised design tallied with their suggestions.

16. Mr Paul TSE opined that the Administration had revised the designs of the two SPS projects having regard to the constructive suggestions raised by members. He did not consider the placing of water cannon vehicles at KT Promenade a constructive suggestion.

17. SHA reiterated that the Administration had revised the designs of the two SPS projects in the light of the views raised by members at the Subcommittee meetings in May and June this year. While the revised designs might not fully meet members' demands, the Administration had balanced different needs as far as possible. Regarding the music fountains at KT Promenade, Mr WU Chi-wai, Mr Andrew WAN and Dr KWOK Ka-ki had suggested enlarging the size of wet play area to help promote a water-friendly culture. In this regard, the Administration had decided to change an area of about 100 m² for the performance water fountain on the west of the music fountains at KT Promenade to wet play area. Moreover, in response to Dr CHENG Chung-tai's concern about the inadequate space in

MTAC, the Administration had proposed to change part of the stage in its multi-purpose hall to an extendable portion to allow more flexibility in the use of the hall. The Administration had maintained communication with different members when revising the project designs.

18. Mr WU Chi-wai held the view that the proposed site for the music fountains at KT Promenade should be turned into a lawn for public use. Given the decision of KTDC to construct music fountains on the site, he had instead urged the Administration to change the whole area for the performance water fountain to wet play area, so as to provide the public with more space for activities. The Administration should not have misinterpreted his suggestion by changing only part of the area for the performance water fountain to wet play area. Expressing dissatisfaction with the Administration's misinterpretation of his suggestion as well, Mr Andrew WAN urged the Administration to draw reference from the Dadaepo Sunset Fountain of Dreams in Busan, South Korea and open the performance water fountain to the public for wet play outside show times. He also opined that with the area for the performance water fountain being turned into wet play area, water fountain shows could still be staged in wet play area. The Administration should change the remaining area for the performance water fountain to wet play area.

19. Dr Bunny CHAN Chung-bun, Chairman of KTDC, responded that KTDC was in support of the Promenade Project to promote a water-friendly culture. He also pointed out that even after the completion of the music fountains, KT Promenade would still have a lawn area up to about 95 000 square feet.

20. Dr Priscilla LEUNG opined that members opposing the two SPS projects should respect that such projects had been initiated and endorsed by the relevant DCs and should not disrupt the Subcommittee's deliberation by means of filibustering. She called on the Subcommittee to vote on and endorse the funding proposal as soon as possible. Mr LAU KWOK-fan expressed his support for the two SPS projects. Both he and Mr HO Kai-ming shared similar views with Dr LEUNG.

21. Disagreeing with the views of Dr Priscilla LEUNG, Mr CHAN Chi-chuen and Mr CHU Hoi-dick said that at the meeting on 11 June 2018, instead of disrupting the Subcommittee's deliberation of the funding proposal by means of filibustering, members had negated it by voting.

Local consultation and opinion survey

22. Referring to the supplementary information paper ([LC Paper No. PWSC277/17-18\(01\)](#)) provided by the Administration to the Subcommittee on 29 June 2018, Dr CHENG Chung-tai pointed out that the Kwun Tong District Office ("KTDO") had conducted a survey between May and June 2015 to gauge public views on the music fountains at KT Promenade. According to the survey results, more than half of the interviewees supported the inclusion of water-friendly features, such as wet play jets and jumping jets, at KT Promenade. As the Administration had changed part of the area for the performance water fountain to wet play area, Dr CHENG was concerned whether such change suggested that the "bottom-up" approach (under which projects were selected by DC members following consultation with local residents) advocated by KTDC would not be adopted in taking forward the SPS project.

23. Mr CHAN Chi-chuen queried why the Administration had all along made use of the opinion survey conducted by some DC members to claim that more than 85% of respondents supported the construction of music fountains at KT Promenade before the survey conducted by KTDO was reported by the media, and it was only after the media disclosure of such survey that the Administration gave an account of the survey findings, which revealed that only more than half of the interviewees supported the inclusion of water-friendly features at KT Promenade, and whether concealment had been involved. Mr CHAN also requested the Administration to make public the details and findings of the survey. In addition, he asked whether the Administration had reviewed the objectivity of the opinion survey conducted by some DC members, and whether it would conduct a representative opinion survey on the revised design of the music fountains. Mr Alvin YEUNG also sought details of the above survey, including whether it had collected public views on the design of the music fountains as revised by the Administration recently.

24. Mr AU Nok-hin also queried why the Administration had not made public the survey conducted by KTDO. For example, the Administration had not mentioned the survey in the supplementary information paper ([LC Paper No. PWSC245/17-18\(01\)](#)) provided to the Subcommittee on 11 June 2018 in response to his written question on whether the Administration had conducted any survey to gauge public views on the Promenade Project. Mr AU and Mr Alvin YEUNG enquired whether the Chairman of KTDC had been aware of the survey conducted by KTDO before it was reported by the media. Mr YEUNG also asked whether the Administration had bypassed the relevant DC to conduct its own opinion

survey on every SPS project (e.g. the project to construct a lift tower at Shung Yan Street in KT).

25. Dr Bunny CHAN Chung-bun, Chairman of KTDC, responded that he had learnt about the survey conducted by KTDO through media reports.

26. SHA explained that KTDC had adopted a "bottom-up" approach under which the DC members had consulted the public residing in their respective constituencies on the SPS project and reflected to the DC the public views collected (including those collected by the opinion survey conducted by some DC members). KTDC subsequently endorsed the Promenade Project in November 2013. To gauge the public views on the specific design of the project, KTDO conducted a survey between May and June 2015. As the survey findings were for internal reference only, they were not made public and no concealment was involved. Project Director (3), Architectural Services Department ("PD(3)/ArchSD"), said that works departments would normally consult the relevant DCs after completing the preliminary designs of projects. SHA added that government departments would normally gauge public views on the specific designs of projects.

27. Mr HUI Chi-fung enquired about the total number of participants in the consultation sessions held by WCDC on the proposal to construct MTAC.

28. Mr Stephen NG Kam-chun, Chairman of WCDC, replied that two consultation sessions had been held by WCDC on the proposal to construct MTAC. There were about 60 to 100 participants in the first session with 90% of them supporting the proposal, and there were about 60 to 70 participants in the second session.

Views on individual projects under the Signature Project Scheme

458RO Signature Project Scheme (Kwun Tong District) – Construction of Music Fountains at Kwun Tong Promenade

29. Mr Gary FAN queried why the project cost, including material costs and the consultant's fee payable to the water fountain specialist, of the Promenade Project remained the same after its design had been revised. Dr Fernando CHEUNG enquired about the specific differences between the original and revised designs and operational arrangements of the music fountains at KT Promenade.

30. Mr CHAN Chi-chuen asked whether the wet play area of the music fountains at KT Promenade could be further enlarged and whether the project

cost would be lowered as a result of such revision. In addition, he opined that the Administration should have updated the artist's impression of the music fountains when water fountain shows were staged at night as shown in Annex 4 to Enclosure 1 to [PWSC\(2018-19\)28](#) instead of keeping the old impression after revising the design.

31. PD(3)/ArchSD said that under the original design, the performance water fountain of the music fountains at KT Promenade would cover an area of about 400 m². Under the revised design, an area of about 100 m² for the performance water fountain on the west would be changed to wet play area. As after revising the design, the number of nozzles required remained unchanged and the materials used were largely the same, the construction cost and consultant's fee payable to the water fountain specialist remained the same. The wet play area would serve two purposes. During daytime, with its nozzles shooting water jets whenever the public got close, the wet play area would provide the public with an experience of the water-friendly culture. At night time, the wet play area would form part of the water fountain shows. That was why the artist's impression of the music fountains when water fountain shows were staged at night as shown in Annex 4 to Enclosure 1 to [PWSC\(2018-19\)28](#) was similar to that before revising the design.

68RE Signature Project Scheme (Wan Chai District) – Construction of Moreton Terrace Activities Centre

32. Mr AU Nok-hin and Mr KWONG Chun-yu noted that according to the latest discussion paper provided by the Administration, i.e. [PWSC\(2018-19\)28](#), as the site of the existing volleyball courts of the Moreton Terrace Temporary Playground would be used for the construction of MTAC, the Administration was exploring the provision of a new volleyball court at Ka Ning Path Garden nearby. They enquired why the Administration had selected such a location for providing the new volleyball court; whether WCDC had been consulted on the selected location at Ka Ning Path Garden; if not, what the timetable for conducting the consultation was; and whether alternative locations had been considered for the new volleyball court.

33. Mr HUI Chi-fung opined that the Administration was disrespectful to LegCo and WCDC by demanding the Subcommittee to vote on and endorse the proposed construction of MTAC before the location of the new volleyball court had been confirmed with WCDC.

34. SHA and Mr Stephen NG Kam-chun, Chairman of WCDC, responded that in the light of the concern over the relocation of the volleyball

courts of Moreton Terrace Temporary Playground, the Administration had considered alternative locations, and had come to a preliminary view that Ka Ning Path Garden was a suitable location. The Administration would consult WCDC on the volleyball court project as soon as possible after completing the detailed design. Subject to the support of WCDC, public consultation would be conducted.

35. Mr Gary FAN enquired why the proportion of the cost of foundation works in the project cost of MTAC was far higher than that of similar government buildings.

36. PD(3)/ArchSD explained that the cost of foundation works of individual projects depended on the ground conditions of the respective sites. As far as MTAC was concerned, it was only a four-storey building with a one-storey basement. As the cost of foundation works was shared by fewer storeys, the proportion of the cost of foundation works in the project cost of MTAC seemed higher than those of other government buildings with more storeys.

[At 10:00 am, the Chairman left the conference room and the Deputy Chairman took the chair. The Chairman returned to the conference room at 10:02 am to resume chair.]

[At 10:03 am, 10:06 am and 10:11 am, the Chairman made repeated appeals to members to indicate their intention to raise questions by pressing the "request-to-speak" button as soon as possible. At 10:16 am, the Chairman said that he would allow members who were waiting for their turns to speak to raise one question each. After that, the "question and answer session" would end.]

[At 10:26 am, the Chairman said that Mr Gary FAN was the last on the list of members who had indicated their intention to raise questions. The "question and answer session" would end after Mr FAN had finished asking his question. Mr HUI Chi-fung, Mr Jeremy TAM, Ms Tanya CHAN and Dr Fernando CHEUNG raised a point of order, requesting the Chairman to allow them to raise questions/further questions on the item. The Chairman said that he had made repeated appeals to members to indicate their intention to raise questions, and he had announced that he would end the "question and answer session". He said that members might raise questions at other forums (e.g. FC) if they could not do so at the meetings of the Subcommittee for various reasons.]

[At 10:29 am, the Chairman asked members if they agreed to extend the meeting by 15 minutes. Members present agreed. The

Chairman directed that the meeting be extended by 15 minutes to 10:45 am.]

Motion to adjourn the discussion on PWSC(2018-19)28

37. At 10:29 am, Mr Gary FAN began to speak on the item but was interrupted by other members who raised a point of order. When Mr FAN resumed speaking, he moved a motion pursuant to paragraph 33 of the PWSC Procedure to adjourn the discussion on PWSC(2018-19)28.

38. Mr HO Kai-ming enquired whether members could propose new motions during the period of extension of a meeting.

39. The Chairman pointed out that Mr FAN had begun speaking on the agenda item under discussion during the appointed meeting time, and while he was speaking, he moved the motion to adjourn the discussion on the item pursuant to paragraph 33 of the PWSC Procedure. The Chairman said that the Subcommittee would proceed forthwith to deal with the motion proposed by Mr Gary FAN. Each member could speak once on the motion, and the speaking time should not be more than three minutes.

40. The Chairman invited Mr Gary FAN to speak first on the adjournment motion he proposed.

41. Mr Gary FAN said that as the two SPS projects were controversial, the Administration should not have made minor revisions to their designs only and submitted the revised funding proposal to the Subcommittee for consideration shortly after the original funding proposal was voted down by the Subcommittee in June this year. Mr FAN urged the Administration to revise the project designs substantially and consult the relevant DCs on such revisions before submitting a fresh funding proposal to the Subcommittee for consideration.

42. Mr Jeremy TAM and Mr Alvin YEUNG expressed views similar to those of Mr Gary FAN.

43. The Chairman said that the Subcommittee would continue to debate on the motion proposed by Mr Gary FAN at the next meeting. The meeting ended at 10:44 am.