

**立法會**  
**Legislative Council**

LC Paper No. CB(3) 153/17-18

**Paper for the House Committee meeting  
of 24 November 2017**

**Questions scheduled for the  
Legislative Council meeting of 29 November 2017**

Questions by:

- |      |  |                            |
|------|--|----------------------------|
| (1)  | Hon Steven HO  | (Oral reply)               |
| (2)  | Prof Hon Joseph LEE  | (Oral reply)               |
| (3)  | Hon Tanya CHAN<br><i>(Replacing her previous question)</i> | (Oral reply)(New question) |
| (4)  | Hon YIU Si-wing  | (Oral reply)               |
| (5)  | Hon Paul TSE   | (Oral reply)               |
| (6)  | Dr Hon Elizabeth QUAT                                      | (Oral reply)               |
| (7)  | Hon KWOK Wai-keung   | (Written reply)            |
| (8)  | Hon WU Chi-wai   | (Written reply)            |
| (9)  | Dr Hon Fernando CHEUNG                                     | (Written reply)            |
| (10) | Dr Hon KWOK Ka-ki  | (Written reply)            |
| (11) | Hon IP Kin-yuen  | (Written reply)            |
| (12) | Hon Kenneth LEUNG  | (Written reply)            |
| (13) | Hon Holden CHOW  | (Written reply)            |
| (14) | Hon CHAN Hak-kan   | (Written reply)            |
| (15) | Hon Charles Peter MOK                                      | (Written reply)            |
| (16) | Hon YIU Si-wing  | (Written reply)            |
| (17) | Hon Steven HO  | (Written reply)            |
| (18) | Hon Tanya CHAN   | (Written reply)            |
| (19) | Dr Hon Fernando CHEUNG                                     | (Written reply)            |
| (20) | Hon Paul TSE   | (Written reply)            |
| (21) | Dr Hon Elizabeth QUAT                                      | (Written reply)            |
| (22) | Hon Starry LEE   | (Written reply)            |

註 :

NOTE :

# 議員將採用這種語言提出質詢

# Member will ask the question in this language

Arrangement for Hong Kong and Mainland customs, immigration and quarantine procedures to be carried out at the West Kowloon Station

(3) Hon Tanya CHAN (Oral reply)

On 25 July this year, the Hong Kong Special Administrative Region (“SAR”) Government announced the proposed arrangement for Hong Kong and Mainland customs, immigration and quarantine procedures to be carried out at the West Kowloon Station of the Hong Kong Section of the Guangzhou-Shenzhen-Hong Kong Express Rail Link (“the co-location arrangement”). Subsequently, on the 18th of this month, the Chief Executive signed on behalf of the SAR Government with the People’s Government of Guangdong Province the Co-operation Arrangement for implementing the co-location arrangement. In this connection, will the Government inform this Council:

- (1) of the reasons why the counterpart with which the SAR Government signed the Co-operation Arrangement was the People’s Government of Guangdong Province, and whether it knows if such a practice complies with the requirements and procedures under the relevant Mainland laws; the local legislation governing the Co-operation Arrangement; whether such legislation provides a legal basis for the signing of the Co-operation Arrangement between the authorities of Guangdong and Hong Kong; if so, of the details; if not, the reasons for that;
- (2) whether it is now still seeking, according to what it proposed in July this year, the NPCSC’s granting SAR the power to implement matters in relation to the co-location arrangement in accordance with Article 20 of the Basic Law; if not, of the reasons for that, and the legal basis for applying Mainland laws at the Mainland Port Area in the West Kowloon Station; whether it has assessed if it is necessary for SAR to invoke the provisions of the Basic Law in order to implement the co-location arrangement; if it has assessed and the outcome is in the affirmative, of the details and justifications; if not, the reasons for that; as the Chief Executive has remarked that a decision by NPCSC on the Co-operation Arrangement will provide “a solid legal foundation” for the co-location arrangement, of the justifications for such remark, and whether it has assessed if such remark has the effect of the Basic Law and the principle of “one country, two systems” being bypassed or undermined; if it has assessed, of the outcome; if not, the reasons for that; and
- (3) whether the legislation on the co-location arrangement to be enacted by this Council in future must be consistent with the Co-operation Arrangement; if not, whether corresponding amendments will be made to the Co-operation Arrangement; if so, of the procedure; if not, the reasons for that?