

**From:** Donna Ho [REDACTED]  
**To:** yeoh\_ek@cuhk.edu.hk, carrieyam@cuhk.edu.hk, sophia\_chan@fhb.gov.hk, ngai\_fong@fhb.gov.hk, panel\_hs@legco.gov.hk, pid@legco.gov.hk, [REDACTED] liang.tien@alliant.edu, info@shiukachun.org.hk, kkk@kkkwok.hk

**Date:** Friday, June 29, 2018 09:28AM  
**Subject:** Fwd: Consultation on the Accredited Register (AR) Scheme for Clinical Psychologists (Phase 2)

---

----- Forwarded message -----

**From:** **Donna Ho** [REDACTED]  
**Date:** 2018-06-29 9:26 GMT+08:00  
**Subject:** Consultation on the Accredited Register (AR) Scheme for Clinical Psychologists (Phase 2)  
**To:** [dcp@hkps.org.hk](mailto:dcp@hkps.org.hk)

Dear Sir/Madam,

The AR proposal documents released by your organization (DCP) seem to suggest that your 4 undergrad years + 2 master's years are equivalent to doctoral-level training in other countries. This doesn't make logical sense, because this would suggest that everyone with a bachelor's degree in psychology has completed 66% of clinical psychologist's training. Please provide evidence to show that if the psychology courses taken during the undergraduate study in Hong Kong can be exempted by postgraduate programmes in the countries where CP practice is most well-established. If no evidence can be provided, it cannot be justified that the psychology courses taken at the undergraduate level in Hong Kong are equivalent to the courses taken at the doctoral-level overseas, albeit these courses share similar titles. Different levels are different levels. Hong Kong also uses the same system. These standards should not be distorted (i.e., developmental psychology in QF5 is not equivalent to developmental psychology in QF6).

*Best regards,*

*Donna Ho*

*HKID:* [REDACTED]