

LC Paper No. CB(4)951/17-18(04)

Ref : CB4/PL/TP

Panel on Transport Meeting on 27 April 2018

## Background brief on two construction projects for the provision of elevated walkway and for the hillside escalator links and elevator systems

#### Purpose

This paper provides background information on the proposed construction of two projects to enhance accessibility – (a) Elevated Pedestrian Corridor in Yuen Long Town connecting with Long Ping Station ("the EPC project"); and (b) Lift and Pedestrian Walkway System between Castle Peak Road and Kung Yip Street, Kwai Chung ("the LPWS project"). It also summarizes the major views and concerns expressed by Legislative Council ("LegCo") Members on the above and related subjects.

#### Background

2. According to the Administration, fostering a pedestrian-friendly environment has been one of its ongoing policy initiatives. The Chief Executive indicated in the 2017 Policy Agenda issued in October 2017 that the Administration would, inter alia, continue to progressively take forward the construction works for the provision of hillside escalator links and elevator systems ("HEL") projects and elevated walkway projects in different districts; and strive to implement the EPC project to relieve congestion at footpaths and improve the environment for pedestrians in Yuen Long.

Elevated Pedestrian Corridor in Yuen Long Town connecting with Long Ping Station

3. At its meeting on 15 April 2011, the Panel on Transport ("the Panel") was briefed on the progress of the Pedestrian Environment Improvement Scheme for Yuen Long Town ("the Yuen Long Town Scheme"), which had been taken

forward by the Highways Department ("HyD") since 2009 to improve the pedestrian environment in business districts, shopping areas and leisure zones with heavy pedestrian flows.<sup>1</sup> The Panel noted that, among the proposed measures for improving the pedestrian environment in Yuen Long Town<sup>2</sup>, the Administration considered that it might explore the possibility of constructing a major footbridge along Yuen Long Nullah connecting West Rail Long Ping Station in the north to Ma Tin Road in the south to serve as a strategic north-south pedestrian route in the area.

## Provision of hillside escalator links and elevator systems

4. The Administration established in 2009 a set of scoring criteria for assessing proposals for HEL (in **Appendix I**) to determine the priority for conducting preliminary technical feasibility studies ("TFS") for the 20 project proposals received at that time. The Administration consulted the Panel on this in May 2009, and upon completion of the assessment, reported the results to the Panel in February 2010.<sup>3</sup> Two proposals were screened out initially,<sup>4</sup> and 18 others were ranked (including the LPWS project which was ranked 6<sup>th</sup>).

5. The Administration indicated at that time that preliminary TFS for the proposals ranked top 10 in the assessment would be conducted by batches, and that for the remaining proposals would be followed up after the smooth implementation of the top 10 proposals. Subsequently, following a review on its manpower resources, HyD also completed the preliminary TFS for the proposals ranked 11<sup>th</sup> and 12<sup>th</sup>. During the examination of the Estimates of Expenditure 2018-2019, the Administration provided the list of the 18 ranked proposals and their implementation progress to the Finance Committee in **Appendix II**.

6. The Panel has been monitoring closely the implementation of the HEL projects. At its meeting on 19 May 2017, the Panel agreed to form a

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Details are set out in the Administration's paper (LC Paper No. CB(1)1836/10-11(03)) issued in April 2011.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> The measures were classified as: (a) improvements works along Castle Peak Road (Yuen Long Section); (b) improvements of local roads in Yuen Long Town; (c) provision of new footbridges; and (d) streetscape improvements.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> The detailed assessment results are set out in the Administration's paper (LC Paper No. CB(1)1190/09-10(03)) issued in February 2010.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> The two proposals were screened out due to the following reasons: similar facility had already been provided in close proximity for one proposal; and the level difference of the other proposal did not exceed six metres.

subcommittee under the Panel to study and follow up issues relating to the provision of HEL ("the HEL Subcommittee")<sup>5</sup>. The Subcommittee will start its work as soon as a slot for the activation of the Subcommittee would become available.

## Major views and concerns of Members

7. Members in general supported the implementation of the EPC project and the HEL projects to enhance the accessibility of the hillside. Major views and concerns of Members on the above projects expressed at the meetings of the Panel and the Public Works Subcommittee ("PWSC") are summarized below.

## Elevated Pedestrian Corridor in Yuen Long Town connecting with Long Ping Station

### Implementation progress

8. At the Panel meeting on 15 April 2011, members welcomed the efforts made by HyD in enhancing the capacity of the existing pedestrian facilities in Yuen Long Town in order to cope with the increasing pedestrian flow. Panel members also called on the Administration to take forward the proposal of constructing the major footbridge along Yuen Long Nullah mentioned in paragraph 3 above as early as possible in order to relieve pedestrian congestion and facilitate access to the West Rail Long Ping station. The Panel also noted the opinion expressed by Yuen Long District Council ("DC") members at their meeting with LegCo Members on 22 May 2014 urging HyD to expedite implementation of the project.

9. At the policy briefing of the Panel on 20 October 2017, the Secretary for Transport and Housing ("STH") advised that HyD had completed the Phase 1 Public Engagement of the Yuen Long Town Scheme in mid-2010 and developed a series of improvement measures based on the public views collected, and had completed a number of improvement projects. STH further advised that for the EPC project which was a relatively larger-in-scale improvement measure, the Administration would strive to seek funding approval for the construction of the

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> As agreed by the Panel, the HEL Subcommittee would focus its work on issues including: (a) monitoring the implementation progress of the 18-ranked proposals for HEL; (b) following up the handling by the Administration of new suggestions on the provision of HEL; (c) examining the setting up of a dedicated HEL fund to expedite the works concerned; (d) offering views and comments on the review and improvement of the existing assessment system for HEL; and (e) giving recommendations on the provision of HEL in Hong Kong.

project in the 2017-2018 legislative session with a view to commencing the construction works in 2018 for completion in about five years.

### Other proposals to improve the pedestrian environment in Yuen Long town

10. There were views that the Administration should also seek to study the feasibility of constructing a pedestrian subway under Castle Peak Road (Yuen Long Section) ("CPR(YLS)") to link it with the Yuen Long Light Rail Train ("LRT") Terminus, designating pedestrian precincts in Yuen Long to improve the pedestrian environment in areas where both pedestrian and vehicular flows were heavy, and restricting the use of CPR(YLS) to Light Rail trains and buses only.

11. In response, the Administration advised that it had already explored the suggestion of constructing a pedestrian subway under CPR(YLS) to link it with the Yuen Long LRT Terminus but decided not to pursue it at the moment in recognition that, given the busy traffic and the presence of a great number of underground utilities in the area concerned, conducting excavation works would be highly disruptive. The Administration also advised that pedestrian subway would take up considerable space as connectivity with adjacent areas had to be provided. Moreover, street entrances/exits of the subway would also take up road space.

12. In respect of the suggestion of designating pedestrian precincts in Yuen Long, the Administration responded that being different from the road networks in other areas which were mainly planned as a grid system, there were many T junctures in Yuen Long, which were similar to cul-de-sacs such that north-south traffic in the town had to rely on Tai Tong Road, Tai Yuk Road and Fung Cheung Road. As such, if any of the above roads was closed to vehicular traffic to implement pedestrian schemes, there would be great difficulty in providing the necessary alternative routes. The Administration considered that it might be more appropriate to study the designation of pedestrian precincts in Yuen Long at a later stage, after certain improvement measures under the Yuen Long Town Scheme had been implemented.

#### Provision of hillside escalator links and elevator systems

## Implementation progress

13. When the Panel was consulted on the funding proposals for the construction of lift and pedestrian walkway systems in 2016 and 2017, some members expressed grave concern over the slow progress of implementing the HEL projects by the Administration. They pointed out that of the 18 ranked proposals received by the Administration in 2009, only two systems had been

completed and opened for public use. They urged the Administration to speed up implementation of the proposals to meet the needs of the local residents. In March 2017, the Panel passed a motion requesting the Administration to set up a dedicated Hillside Elevator and Escalator Fund of \$5 billion ("the Fund"), and take measures to expedite the works concerned.

14. According to the Administration, works for HEL often involved considerations such as slopes, structures, soil properties, diversion of underground utilities and land resumption, and were therefore considerably HEL works also involved various tasks of pre-construction complex. preparation, which covered investigation and preliminary design, consultation with DCs and relevant stakeholders, gazettal of the proposals and handling of any objections under the Roads (Works, Use and Compensation) Ordinance (Cap. 370), land acquisition if necessary, formulation of detailed design, application for funding approval from LegCo in respect of individual projects and invitation for tenders, etc. Since these pre-construction tasks involved complicated procedures and were relatively controversial in nature, the time required varied with actual circumstances. Among others, as HEL projects involved the construction of new public walkways, local residents often had different opinions regarding the proposed alignments which would warrant longer consultation periods. The Administration further advised that with a view to speeding up the implementation, HyD would allocate additional resources for undertaking the relevant works and seek funding approval from LegCo as soon as a project was ready for implementation.

15. As regards the suggestion of setting up the Fund to administratively expedite the implementation of HEL projects through simplified funding process, the Administration advised that given the substantial scale and cost of the projects and considerations of the overall resource allocation and prioritization of public works projects, the Administration found it more appropriate to seek funding for individual projects according to the established mechanism.

#### Handling of new suggestions and review of the assessment system

16. At the PWSC meeting on 1 November 2017 to consider the funding proposal for an HEL project, some Members asked whether the Administration would implement other new projects after completing the 18 ranked proposals. Some suggested that the Administration should review the scoring criteria for assessing the HEL proposals, for example, consideration should be given to adding a new criterion of the average level difference travelled by the elderly residents of 65 years old or above each day within the catchment of the proposed works.

17. The Administration responded that it would consider the above suggestion in assessing new suggestions on provision of HEL. It also advised that the Transport Department was planning to commence a study at the end of 2017 to review and improve the assessment mechanism for HEL proposals established in 2009, and carry out initial screening and assessment for more than 110 new HEL proposals received in the past years on the basis of the new assessment mechanism with a view to selecting feasible and justifiable proposals in priority as well as drawing up a timetable for implementing the proposals in future. The study period would last for about 30 months. Upon completion of the study, the Administration would seek resources for implementing the proposals gradually.

## Approach for conducting Technical Feasibility Studies for the proposals

18. When the Panel was consulted on the assessment results on the 20 HEL proposals at its meeting on 26 February 2010, members expressed concern about the approach of the Administration to conduct the relevant TFS by batches and in different stages. The Panel passed two motions urging the Administration to include all the districts covered by the 18 ranked proposals in conducting TFS; and strongly requesting the Administration to immediately conduct TFS on all the 20 proposals which had already been assessed based on the assessment system.

19. In response to the motions, the Administration advised in its letter dated 28 September 2010 to the Panel that there was a genuine need for the Administration to take forward the proposals received in stages having regard to manpower and resource considerations. Proposals not selected for TFS in the initial stage would remain on the waiting list for consideration in the next assessment exercise together with other proposals on the list. The Administration would assess the proposals on the waiting list in due course, subject to the progress of implementation of the proposals, as well as availability of manpower and resources.

#### **Relevant questions raised at Council meetings**

20. During 2012-2013 to 2015-2016 legislative sessions, four questions relating to HEL were raised at Council meetings of 9 January 2013, 16 April 2014, 26 November 2014 and 16 December 2015. The hyperlinks to the questions and the Administration's responses, together with other relevant papers on the LegCo Website, are in **Appendix III**.

#### Latest developments

21. The Administration plans to consult members at the Panel meeting scheduled for 27 April 2018 on the funding proposal for the construction of the EPC project, and the LPWS project which was ranked  $6^{th}$  among the 18 ranked HEL proposals.

Council Business Division 4 Legislative Council Secretariat 20 April 2018

## **Appendix I**

### Details of the Assessment System for Provision of Hillside Escalator Links and Elevator Systems

The assessment system on provision of hillside escalator links and elevator systems ("HEL") comprises initial screening and scoring stages.

### **Initial Screening**

2. The initial screening helps screen out proposals which are obviously infeasible or unjustifiable for implementation. A proposal will not be taken forward if it has any of the following characteristics –

- (a) land unavailability inadequate land and/or infeasible land resumption to possibly accommodate the proposed facility;
- (b) redundancy similar facility/facilities is/are already provided or committed in close proximity to the proposed facility; <sup>1</sup>
- (c) insurmountable construction or operational difficulties; or
- (d) small level difference level difference to be overcome is less than six metres ("m").

#### **Scoring System**

3. Proposals which pass the initial screening will be evaluated by the scoring system based on the following set of evaluation criteria (figures in brackets denote their respective maximum score) -

- (a) Circumstantial factors (total score : 40)
  - (i) existing population/employment within catchment<sup>2</sup> (6);
  - (ii) existing population of 65 year-old or above within catchment (5);

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> A facility located within 300 m of the proposed facility is generally regarded as one within close proximity.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Catchment is defined as the area within the radius of 300 m from every entrance/access point of the proposed facility.

- (iii) topographical conditions, i.e. steep gradient/level difference (11);
- (iv) connectivity with other existing/committed pedestrian facilities (4);
- (v) connectivity with existing/committed mass public transport facilities within catchment (4);
- (vi) connectivity with existing/committed centres of activity within catchment (4);
- (vii) steadiness of existing pedestrian flow (6);
- (b) Beneficial factors (total score : 35)
  - (viii) revitalization of / benefits to local community (6);
  - (ix) journey time/cost saving (8);
  - (x) improvement to existing traffic conditions (6);
  - (xi) improvement to existing pedestrian conditions (6);
  - (xii) road safety (6);
  - (xiii) tourism promotion (3);
- (c) Implementation factors (total score : 25)
  - (xiv) land requirement (6);
  - (xv) technical/environmental constraints (6); and
  - (xvi) cost-effectiveness (13).

4. The respective weightings for the circumstantial, beneficial and implementation factors, as well as the individual items thereunder, reflect their relative importance, forming a total score of 100. Based on the scores obtained, the relative rankings among various proposals on HEL will be determined in an objective manner. Those proposals with higher rankings will obviously have priority to proceed to the next stage of

planning and investigation.

5. However, the proposed assessment system will not be applicable to the following proposals –

- (a) proposals which cross a single road they will be evaluated under the criteria for footbridge construction;
- (b) proposals which entirely fall within the boundary of public housing estates – the Housing Department will consider the feasibility of the escalator and elevator systems within the boundary of public housing estates separately; or
- (c) proposals which form an integral part of major projects –justifications for them will be considered as part of the respective major projects.

(Source: Annex A to LC Paper No.: CB(1)1190/09-10(03))

Progress of the pro	posals of the hillside e	scalator links and	elevator systems
I I USI COD UI UIIC PI	populo of the minimute c	sculator mins and	cicvator systems

Rank	Proposal	Progress of Project
1	Pedestrian Link at Tsz Wan Shan	The pedestrian link is implemented under the Shatin to Central Link project and involves 15 facilities. The construction works commenced in July 2012. The pedestrian link has been opened for public use since October 2017.
2	Braemar Hill Pedestrian Link	The preliminary technical feasibility study has been completed. The Highways Department ("HyD") has engaged consultants to carry out the investigation and preliminary design.
		The HyD consulted and obtained the support from the Planning, Works and Housing Committee of the Eastern District Council on the design scheme in September 2016. Prior to gazettal of the works, the HyD further consulted the residents on the design scheme and attended residents' meetings in November and December 2017. The HyD also briefed the Planning, Works and Housing Committee of the Eastern District Council on the project progress in February 2018. In view of the comments recently raised by various parties, the HyD is reviewing the design scheme with a view to obtaining a majority consensus for the project scheme.
3	Lift and Pedestrian Walkway System at Cheung Hang Estate, Tsing Yi	The construction works commenced in February 2017 for completion in mid 2019.
4	Escalator Link and Pedestrian Walkway System at Pound Lane	The preliminary technical feasibility study has been completed. The HyD has engaged consultants to carry out the investigation and preliminary design.
		The HyD consulted the Central and Western District Council ("C&WDC") and held a public forum on the refined proposal in 2015. The comments received have been collated and analyzed. In view of the diverse views, the HyD plans to consult the C&WDC further on the project.
5	Lift and Pedestrian Walkway System between Kwai Shing Circuit and Hing Shing Road	The construction works commenced in June 2017 for completion in 2020.
6	Lift and Pedestrian Walkway System between Castle Peak Road and	The preliminary technical feasibility study has been completed. The HyD has engaged consultants to carry

Rank	Proposal	Progress of Project
	Kung Yip Street	out the detailed design.
		The HyD consulted and obtained the support from the Traffic and Transport Committee of the Kwai Tsing District Council on the design scheme in April 2016. The Government published the notice of authorization to execute the proposed works under the Roads (Works, Use and Compensation) Ordinance (Cap. 370) in November 2017.
		The Administration will seek funding approval from the Finance Committee of the Legislative Council ("LegCo") in 2017-18 legislative year with a view to commencing the construction of the project as soon as possible.
7	Lift and Pedestrian Walkway System between Lai Cho Road and Wah Yiu Road	The preliminary technical feasibility study has been completed. The HyD has engaged consultants to carry out the investigation and preliminary design.
8	Pedestrian Link near Chuk Yuen North Estate	The preliminary technical feasibility study has been completed. The HyD has engaged consultants to carry out the investigation and preliminary design.
		The HyD consulted and obtained the support from the Traffic and Transport Committee of the Wong Tai Sin District Council on the preliminary design in July 2016 and on the revised design in January 2018. The HyD is following up on the suggestions and views regarding the revised design of the project further raised by relevant stakeholders and is making preparation for the gazettal of the works.
9	Lift and Pedestrian Walkway System at Waterloo Hill	The construction works commenced in December 2016 for completion in mid 2019.
10	Lift and Pedestrian Walkway System between Lai King Hill Road and Lai Cho Road	It was revealed in the preliminary technical feasibility study that the project involved two dangerous private slopes. The owners of the slopes have completed the repair works for the slopes in February 2018. The HyD is revisiting this proposal.
11	Lift and Pedestrian Walkway System between Tai Wo Hau Road and Wo Tong Tsui Street	The Government obtained funding approval from the Finance Committee of the LegCo in December 2017. The advance works for diversion of utilities commenced in January 2018. The main works are scheduled to commence in the fourth quarter of 2018 for completion in the fourth quarter of 2021.

Rank	Proposal	Progress of Project
12	Lift and Pedestrian Walkway System at Luen On Street	The preliminary technical feasibility study has been completed. The HyD has engaged consultants to carry out the investigation and preliminary design.
		The HyD consulted and obtained support from the Traffic and Transport Committee of the Kwai Tsing District Council on the preliminary design scheme in February 2018. As the proposal needs to span across private lots and is in close proximity to existing buildings, the HyD will consult the relevant residents as suggested by the Kwai Tsing District Council.
13	Yuet Wah Street Pedestrian Linkage	To complement the Kwun Tong Town Centre Redevelopment, the Civil Engineering and Development Department commenced construction for this project in April 2013. The Linkage has been completed and opened for public use since October 2015.
14 (same ranking)	Escalator Link System between Hong Sing Garden and Po Hong Road	The Transport Department ("TD") is working on the scope of the project. When this is determined, the HyD will carry out the preliminary technical feasibility study.
14 (same ranking)	Lift and Pedestrian Walkway System between Lai King Hill Road and Princess Margaret Hospital	The Hospital Authority commenced works in November 2015. The works have been completed and the facility has been opened for public use since January 2017.
16	Lift and Pedestrian Walkway System between Saddle Ridge Garden and Sai Sha Road	The TD is working on the scope of the project. When this is determined, the HyD will carry out the preliminary technical feasibility study.
17	Lift and Pedestrian Walkway System between Hing Shing Road and Tai Wo Hau Road	The TD is working on the scope of the project. When this is determined, the HyD will carry out the preliminary technical feasibility study.
18	Escalator Link System between Sha Tin Sui Wo Court and MTR Fo Tan Station	Since the proposal involves complicated land issues, the TD will liaise with relevant departments on the land matters.

- End -

*(Source: Administration's replies to initial written questions raised by Finance Committee Members in examining the Estimates of Expenditure 2018-2019 – Reply Serial No. THB(T)076)* 

## Two construction projects for the provision of elevated walkway and for the hillside escalator links and elevator systems

Date of meeting	Committee	Minutes/Paper	LC Paper No.
15.3.2002	Panel on Transport	Administration's paper	CB(1)1266/01-02(03) http://www.legco.gov.h k/yr01-02/english/panel s/tp/papers/tp0315cb1- 1266-3e.pdf
		Minutes of meeting	CB(1)1517/01-02 http://www.legco.gov.h k/yr01-02/english/panel s/tp/minutes/tp020315. pdf
6.2002	Panel on Transport	Administration's paper	CB(1)2130/01-02(04) http://www.legco.gov.h k/yr01-02/english/panel s/tp/papers/tp0315cb1- 2130-4e.pdf
22.5.2009	Panel on Transport	Administration's paper	CB(1)1613/08-09(06) http://www.legco.gov.h k/yr08-09/english/panel s/tp/papers/tp0522cb1- 1613-6-e.pdf
		Minutes of meeting	CB(1)2756/08-09 http://www.legco.gov.h k/yr08-09/english/panel s/tp/minutes/tp2009052 2.pdf

# List of relevant papers

Date of meeting	Committee	Minutes/Paper	LC Paper No.
26.2.2010		Administration's paper	CB(1)1190/09-10(03) http://www.legco.gov.h k/yr09-10/english/panel s/tp/papers/tp0226cb1- 1190-3-e.pdf
		Background brief prepared by the Legislative Council Secretariat	CB(1)1191/09-10 http://www.legco.gov.h k/yr09-10/english/panel s/tp/papers/tp0226cb1- 1191-e.pdf
		Administration's follow-up paper	CB(1)2983/09-10(02) http://www.legco.gov.h k/yr09-10/english/panel s/tp/papers/tp0226cb1- 2983-2-e.pdf
		Minutes of meeting	CB(1)1596/09-10 http://www.legco.gov.h k/yr09-10/english/panel s/tp/minutes/tp2010022 6.pdf
15.4.2011	Panel on Transport	Administration's paper	CB(1)1836/10-11(03) http://www.legco.gov.h k/yr10-11/english/panel s/tp/papers/tp0415cb1- 1836-3-e.pdf
		Minutes of meeting	CB(1)2544/10-11 http://www.legco.gov.h k/yr10-11/english/panel s/tp/minutes/tp2011041 5.pdf
9.1.2013	Legislative Council	Question raised by Hon LEUNG Yiu-chung	http://www.info.gov.hk /gia/general/201301/09/ P201301080376.htm

Date of meeting	Committee	Minutes/Paper	LC Paper No.
17.1.2014		Administration's paper	CB(1)702/13-14(05) http://www.legco.gov.h k/yr13-14/english/panel s/tp/papers/tp0117cb1- 702-5-e.pdf
		Minutes of meeting	CB(1)1105/13-14 http://www.legco.gov.h k/yr13-14/english/panel s/tp/minutes/tp2014011 7.pdf
16.4.2014	Legislative Council	Question raised by Hon Paul TSE	http://www.info.gov.hk /gia/general/201404/16/ P201404160474.htm
22.5.2014	-	Press release	http://www.info.gov.hk /gia/general/201405/22/ P201405220598.htm
26.11.2014	Legislative Council	Question raised by Hon Michael TIEN	http://www.info.gov.hk /gia/general/201411/26/ P201411260360.htm
16.1.2015	Panel on Transport	Minutes of meeting	CB(4)1390/14-15 http://www.legco.gov.h k/yr14-15/english/panel s/tp/minutes/tp2015011 6.pdf
16.12.2015	Legislative Council	Question raised by Hon Frankie YICK	http://www.info.gov.hk /gia/general/201512/16/ P201512160340.htm
28.12.2015	Panel on Transport	Administration's paper responding to the letter from Hon CHAN Han-pan	CB(4)414/15-16(01) http://www.legco.gov.h k/yr15-16/english/panel s/tp/papers/tpcb4-414-1 -e.pdf

Date of meeting	Committee	Minutes/Paper	LC Paper No.
23.3.2016		Administration's paper	CB(4)736/15-16(06) http://www.legco.gov.h k/yr15-16/english/panel s/tp/papers/tp20160323 cb4-736-6-e.pdf
		Background brief prepared by the Legislative Council Secretariat	CB(4)736/15-16(07) http://www.legco.gov.h k/yr15-16/english/panel s/tp/papers/tp20160323 cb4-736-7-e.pdf
		Minutes of meeting	CB(4)1302/15-16 http://www.legco.gov.h k/yr15-16/english/panel s/tp/minutes/tp2016032 3.pdf
15.4.2016	Panel on Transport	Minutes of meeting	CB(4)1321/15-16 http://www.legco.gov.h k/yr15-16/english/panel s/tp/minutes/tp2016041 5.pdf
20.4.2016	Public Works Subcommittee	Administration's paper	PWSC(2016-17)5 http://www.legco.gov.h k/yr15-16/english/fc/p wsc/papers/p16-05e.pdf
		Administration's follow-up paper	PWSC203/15-16 http://www.legco.gov.h k/yr15-16/english/fc/p wsc/papers/pwsc20160 420pwsc-203-1-e.pdf
		Minutes of meeting	PWSC208/15-16 http://www.legco.gov.h k/yr15-16/english/fc/p wsc/minutes/pwsc2016 0420.pdf

Date of meeting	Committee	Minutes/Paper	LC Paper No.
13.5.2016 Finan	Finance Committee	Administration's paper	FCR(2016-17)20 http://www.legco.gov.h k/yr15-16/english/fc/fc/ papers/f16-20e.pdf
		Minutes of meeting	FC294/15-16 http://www.legco.gov.h k/yr15-16/english/fc/fc/ minutes/fc20160513.pd f
20.1.2017	Panel on Transport	Administration's paper	CB(4)413/16-17(03) http://www.legco.gov.h k/yr16-17/english/panel s/tp/papers/tp20170120 cb4-413-3-e.pdf
21.4.2017	Panel on Transport	Administration's paper	CB(4)839/16-17(03) http://www.legco.gov.h k/yr16-17/english/panel s/tp/papers/tp20170421 cb4-839-3-e.pdf
		Background brief prepared by the Legislative Council Secretariat	
		Administration's follow-up paper	CB(4)1358/16-17(01) http://www.legco.gov.h k/yr16-17/english/panel s/tp/papers/tp20170421 cb4-1358-1-e.pdf
		Minutes of meeting	CB(4)1616/16-17 http://www.legco.gov.h k/yr16-17/english/panel s/tp/minutes/tp2017042 1.pdf

Date of meeting	Committee	Minutes/Paper	LC Paper No.
19.5.2017	Panel on Transport	Paper prepared by the Legislative Council Secretariat	CB(4)1021/16-17(03) http://www.legco.gov.h k/yr16-17/english/panel s/tp/papers/tp20170519 cb4-1021-3-e.pdf
		Minutes of meeting	CB(4)13/17-18 http://www.legco.gov.h k/yr16-17/english/panel s/tp/minutes/tp2017051 9.pdf
20.10.2017	Panel on Transport	Administration's paper	CB(4)54/17-18(03) http://www.legco.gov.h k/yr17-18/english/panel s/tp/papers/tp20171020 cb4-54-3-e.pdf
		Minutes of meeting	CB(4)417/17-18 http://www.legco.gov.h k/yr17-18/english/panel s/tp/minutes/tp2017102 0.pdf
1.11.2017	Public Works Subcommittee	Administration's paper	PWSC(2017-18)19 http://www.legco.gov.h k/yr17-18/english/fc/p wsc/papers/p17-19e.pdf
		Minutes of meeting	PWSC36/17-18 http://www.legco.gov.h k/yr17-18/english/fc/p wsc/minutes/pwsc2017 1101.pdf
8.12.2017	Finance Committee	Administration's paper	FCR(2017-18)41 http://www.legco.gov.h k/yr17-18/english/fc/fc/ papers/f17-41e.pdf

Date of meeting	Committee	Minutes/Paper	LC Paper No.
18.4.2018	Finance Committee	Replies to initial written questions raised by Finance Committee Members in examining the Estimates of Expenditure 2018-2019 (THB(T)076 and 097)	k/yr17-18/english/fc/fc/

Council Business Division 4 Legislative Council Secretariat 20 April 2018