



郭偉強主席 =

本人是陳欣茵，我認為加熱煙應該規管，
反對立法會全面禁止加熱煙。因為是這
香港市民自己選擇使用加熱煙自由的
權利，且加熱煙對環境影響少，
比起傳統煙草處相對地低，本
人希望政府重新考慮，並聽取我
們市民的意思是。

陳欣茵


2019 3 月 26 日

@yahoo.com.hk

3BE14EFA

1/2

March 28, 2019.

My name is Thomas, I don't agree you ban
on heat non-burn products. I have my right
to choose what I want, And I don't agree
~~Smoke~~ smoke. But I have my choose! please
respect our price!

Thomas See

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED] @ thomassee-co.



F8D3C6E7

鄧偉強主席：

本人是Ng Chi Yan 反對禁止
加熱煙，希望有自由
選擇空間。

2019年3月29日

Ng Chi Yan


@gmail.com

7E087F31

郭偉強:

本人是麥兆亨, 希望不全面禁止
電子煙, 而是規管

2019年3月29日

Mak Siu Hang

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]@gmail.com

1A 088674.

To Bills Committee Chairman
Kwok Wai Keung

My name is Ngai Yiu Tang.
Regarding the government
proceeding in implementing a ban on
e-cigarette. I am now writing my
disagreement on it.

Hope that with ban but proper
regulate of it. to respect consumer
rights & freedom to choose. Thx.

29th Mar, 2019

Ngai Yiu Tang

B46ED8B

[Redacted] @ gmail.com
T. [Redacted]

郭主席：

本人陳家善表示反對政府全面禁止新型煙草產品，
原因如下：

1. 加熱煙比傳統煙危害較少
2. 市民應有選擇的權利

希望政府可以規管而不是禁止

2019年3月29號

陳家善

[REDACTED]@hotmail.com
[REDACTED]

232AF467

CD4657A0

郭主席：

本人是鄺建斌，得知政府正在立法全面禁燃
紙煙，所以寫信給你，表達我們反對全面
支持規管的意見。

主要是希望可以自由选择使用加烈煙的权利

本人認為政府只要規管便能夠保障未成年人的
健康。本人要求政府重新考慮，並以規管代替禁
不平等地剥夺我們的自由

██████████@gmail.com 2019年5月29日

鄺建斌 ██████████

郭主席:

本人是 Ho Lai Ying, 知道政府將會立法禁止加熱煙,
所以來信表達反對, 因為

- 1) 加熱煙害處較低
- 2) 氣味較少
- 3) 市民應可自由選擇

希望政府立法規管

29/3/2019

Ho Lai Ying

[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]

@yahoo.com.hk
97A726C3


郭主席：

本人 Alex Lee 我支持政府規管加熱煙
因為 ① 市民選擇權
② 加熱煙較無害

3/29

9792 4156

 @ hankle. com


李俊德



郭主席

本人是李恒發 反對政府禁止加熱煙來信
表達意見, 因為:

- 一. 市民應該有選擇權
- 二. 加熱煙對非吸煙人士影響較低

29-3-19

李恒發
一發

475303B



Dear 郭偉強主席:

本人是 ~~for Chan~~, Chan Jan Tsang.

反對禁心扣起煙, 就希望
有選擇權利!

2019年3月1日
CHAN JAN TSANG

[REDACTED]
[REDACTED] @ hotmail.com

7D2C7611



劉偉強主席：

本人得知立法會全禁加
熱煙，本人反對全禁
煙民可以以多個選擇

2019/3/29



Chan King Lee


 The men

CC8F9AE6.

郭偉強主席，

本人不贊成政府全面禁止電子煙
及加熱煙，因為這是市民的自由。
並且加熱煙比正常香煙更不容易
傷人。

2019.3月29日

張中仁 Cherry Fan

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]@gmail.com.

<F9537243>

29 March 2019

Bill Committee Chairman Kwok Wai
Gazza has here and I strongly
disagree of heat-hot-burn product
deployment in H&VR where it's
definitely not fair to consumer
side & ~~to~~ corp for development
of product & profitability to the
organization.

With regards.

Gazza.

Wai

Mobile: [REDACTED]

[REDACTED] @ gmail.com.

67004524-

郭偉強主席，

我反對政府全面禁止
加熱煙草產品，這涉及

人權及自主權問題。

加熱煙草的普及化是

大勢所趨！

2019. 3. 29

Frank Lam

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]@gmail.com

C04 FA10A

郭偉強主席：

本人反對政府全禁加熱煙及電子煙。
反對全禁，但支持規管。

我認為我們有權選擇自己的煙草產品。
政府沒有諮詢我們的意見而立法全禁，
這對我們並不公平。

29/3/2019.

Wong Pui Yin.

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED] @ gmail . com .

40B 4578 2

鄧偉強主席。

本人是 Dabe Ng... 就不在支持吸烟煙草。
因為吸烟人士也有權食煙，不應管太多。

h

2019年3月28日。

Ng Yng Sen

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED] @ ychm.com.hk

B7CA7268

郭偉強主席：

我不支持政府全面禁止加蓋火煙草產品，
因為作為一個成年人都有食煙的權利

27-3-2019

Cheung Tze Kit

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED] @ hotmail.com

B2CF3A31

郭偉強主席。

本人十分不贊成政府全面禁止加熱煙草產品，作為成年吸煙人仕，我們應該有權選擇各類煙草產品，希望政府可重新考慮。

28-3-2019

Rosanna Lim

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED] @ gmail.com

GODA01A7

致郭偉強主席

本人對政府全面立法
禁止粗熱煙。

1. 如法例只有禁止
~~不能干預科技~~

有需要用家如未能
有過渡產品

2. 反面會令市民
轉吸氣甚有害煙

2019年3月29日


Yung Hoi Man

@hotmail.com
E39A7317

郭偉強主席：

本人不支持政府全面禁止新型
煙草產品。作為吸煙人士我們應
有權選擇自己喜愛的產品。

2019年3月21日。

Yip Hon Sung

63111803.

[REDACTED]

@ yahoo. com. hk.

[REDACTED]

郭偉強主席

本人是林梓楊 我本人知道會全面禁加熱煙

1. 加熱煙有焦油, 真係健康啲

2. 希望我有選擇嘅權利

希望促請政府可以規管, 而不是禁止,

2019, 29, 03

LAM SHUN YEUNG

MOBILE

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]@HOTMAIL.COM

6ADD4026

郭偉強主席:

本人是關智銘,得知政府立法會正在立法全禁加熱煙,所以寫信給你,因為加熱煙比傳統香煙害處較少,所以希望政府規管而不是禁止電子煙

29-03-2019

關智銘

Tel: [REDACTED]

Email: [REDACTED]@gmail.com

ref code: 83EAA576

郭偉強主席：

本人是林雨順，得知政府/立法會正在立法全面禁止加餉煙，所以寫信給你，表達我反對全面禁止，支持規管的意見。

我認為政府應給予煙民選擇吸食非傳統煙草產品，同時減少傳統煙草所帶的禍害，給非煙民一個低害環境。

規管代替全面禁止，這才是政府應考慮的方向。

2019年3月29日

Lam Yu Shun

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]@hotmail.com

3E3B E407

郭偉強主席：

電子煙比傳統煙害處少。

反對全面禁止。

2019年3月29日

Full name: Ng Lai Yin

Mobile: [REDACTED]

[REDACTED]@outmail.com

8 B5 7A BCF

郭偉強主席:

本人是成年吸煙人士,得知政府想全面禁止加熱煙及電子煙,本人
非常反對。因加熱煙比起吸煙傷害性較少,所以不應該全面
禁止

2019年3月29日

Lee Kin Wing

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]@gmail.com

F1937A0A

郭偉強 主席：

本人反對全禁加熱煙，支持規管
因為政府無正式諮詢過我們的意見

2019年03月29日

LUI MING HIN

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]@yahoo.com

4C0AED47

郭偉強主席:

本人是 IQOS 的用戶, 希望加熱
煙是可以規管, 並非禁止.

原因是, 加熱煙比較乾淨
及沒有煙灰, 以及沒有
煙味, 不會影响他人.

黃玉輝

[REDACTED]

20-03-2019

[REDACTED]@hotmail.com

CFE761DB

郭偉強主席，

得知政制 ~~正~~ 正在立法全禁加熱
煙，本人希望政制能夠超管
而非互禁。

2019年3月21日

 @yahoo.com.hk

黃志強小組



B4FAB86X

郭偉強主席：


立法會CB(2)1214/18-19(630)號文件
LC Paper No. CB(2)1214/18-19(630)

本人鍾銘倫，得知政府/立法會
正在立法令禁在熱性，所以為你
給你，表達我們反對令禁，支持
規管的意見。

1. 自由選擇使用在熱性的權利。

2019.3.29.

鍾銘倫

@hotmail.com



EEA4F00D

AP
TAM
10/10/18

本人想問，得咗咁多錢，係咪可以加熱火，所以
會好少，而家正正就係加熱火，所以
會好少，而家正正就係加熱火，所以
會好少，而家正正就係加熱火，所以

1. 政府有否計劃，在未來幾年內，
2. 政府有否計劃，在未來幾年內，
3. 政府有否計劃，在未來幾年內，
4. 政府有否計劃，在未來幾年內，

政府有否計劃，在未來幾年內，
政府有否計劃，在未來幾年內，
政府有否計劃，在未來幾年內，
政府有否計劃，在未來幾年內，

2019年3月29日

~~Full name~~ Luk Kwong Koi

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]@gmail.com

致郭主席：

本人是鍾子健，知悉政府正在立法禁止加烈煙，
我們反對全面禁止，支持規管：

- 自由選擇吸煙權利
- 審慎監管傳統煙水

2019年3月29日

鍾子健

 @ yahoo.com.hk

4B5E8F76

郭主席:

本人李俊傑對於政府不理不問。

執意全面禁止加熱煙表達不滿

1. 應有限度管制加熱煙

29/3/19

LEE CHON KIT

@gmail.com

30AB5EF5

Date : 29 March 2019

To : Mr. Kwok Wai Keung

My name is Chan Ka Leung Kevin. I am expressing my disagreement of banning the heat-not-burn product because the public should have the right to choose. If not, why don't ban all tobacco products.

I want to express my grave concern on not letting the public to choose.

CHAN KA LEUNG KEVIN

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]@gmail.com


84408077


6
劉卓立啟：

本人張善能：得知政府儘快立法禁止加熱煙，
所以寫信給你，原因加熱煙係傳統煙草製
更何況我朋友該有更加健康環境的權利，希望
我們有更多選擇。

2019年3月29日

張善能

 @ catlook.com.


C15 86BF9

主席，

本人是Yandy Chin Hei Yan


我反对政府全面禁止新型烟草產品

原因是：

1. 新型烟草產品比传统香烟危害較少
2. 消費者可以自己選擇自己想要的產品

2019年3月29日

Chin Hei Yan Yandy

@gmail.com

3cc7ccdc

郭偉強主席：

得悉政府正在立法全禁加熱煙，
我反對全面禁電子煙，支持規管
成年人應有自由選擇，而電子
煙的強擾性相對~~低~~低，因
沒有那麼大味道，本人是不抽煙
的。

2019年3月29日

Sebastian Hui,

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]@gmail.com



ASSBAD21

郭偉強主席：

我希望可自由選擇使用加註煙權利，而非全面
禁止。

2019年3月28日。

LONG TSE WA MICHELLE.


 @gmail.com

624915F3

郭主席：

本人 Lee Yee Ling, 為新型煙草產品使用者,
得悉香港政府要禁止新型煙草產品, 本人表示
強烈不滿, 原因是比傳統燃燒煙草危害較
少, 另外香港人要選擇自己用什麼產品的權利,
不能被剝奪!

2019年3月29日

Lee Yee Ling

[REDACTED]@Yahoo-Com.hk.

Phone: [REDACTED]

Ref: 50C8A5DA

郭偉強主席:

本人 Wong Sai Kui Wilson, 得知政府全面禁止新
型煙草產品, 本人表示十分不滿:

① 香港人為何連「煙草產品選擇的權利」
都沒有.

② 新煙草產品危害較香煙^少

望給予規管而不是禁止

2019年3月29日

Wong Sai Kui Wilson

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED] @ Yahoo. com. hk

A65A9E02

郭主席:

本人梁家俊反對政府全面禁止
新型煙草產品, 原因是:

- 1) 新型產品比傳統煙
較少害處
- 2) 市民應有自己選擇
的權利

2019年3月29日

梁家俊



@hotmail.com



57216086

郭偉強主席:

我支持規管, 而非全面禁止加熱煙!

希望政府聽從市民意見

2019年3月29日

Ken Ka Kui

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]@gmail.com

F716AD32

郭主席:


本人 ^{Chun Hong} Ronald ^{Tse}, 反对政府禁止新電煙

草產品 -

原因 1. 新電煙 比傳統煙危害較少


2. 消費者有 7-2 選擇權利

2019年3月29日



謝進亨

Chun Hong Tse

 hotmail.com

QRZr593B

To: Bills Committee Chairman Kwok Wai Keung.

Regarding the Government / Legislative
Council's proposals on implementing a ban on
heat - not burn products, I would like to
express that:

1. The right to use heat - not - burn products.
1. urge the government to reconsider.

Full name:

[REDACTED]

Kong Suet Ti

Mobile:

[REDACTED]

Email:

[REDACTED]

@gmail.com.

ART-BLCA

Regards,

Subi

29 / 3 / 2019.

主席:

本人希望香港人可以自由選擇煙草產品,如IQOS.
至少IQOS 比較低害,而且較少致癌物,為何政府
不聽我們的要求呢?

2019 3月29日

Chauk Win

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED] @spread-it. today

FD080123

郭主席：

本人 Yiu Chi Kwan, 對於政府不聽市民意見,
執意全面禁止加_烹煙感到不滿:

①說是危害健康,為何只禁新型煙草產品,
而不禁止傳統香煙?

2. 政府完全漠視民意,沒有諮詢市民就進行
草案,十分不合理!

19/3/2019

Yiu chi kwan

 @ gmail.com



Ref: 514⁵8177

鄧偉強主席：

本人支持規管加熱煙，而非全面禁止
因為加熱煙沒傳統煙臭！

2019年3月29日

Full name = Tam Si Ting

Mobile = [REDACTED]

Email = [REDACTED]@gmail.com.

C161B120

鄧偉強主席：

本人吳諾賢，得知政府正準備立法
全面禁止加熱煙，所以寫信給你，
表達反對全禁。

香港人有權選擇食電子煙
的權利。

多謝！

2019年3月29日

吳諾賢

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]@ymail.com.
C2F50#C83

鄧偉強主席:

立法會CB(2)1214/18-19(649)號文件
LC Paper No. CB(2)1214/18-19(649)

本人是李述釐, 希望收回全面禁止電子煙的草案, 給予香港人
多一個選擇, 有自由選擇的權利。

2019年3月29日
Melody Lee

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]@gmail.com

F19/A011

郭偉強主席:

本人是 Ho Man Yan, 得知政府立法會正在立法
全禁加煙^熱, 所以寫信給你, 希望煙民
可以自由选择食加熱煙的權利。

希望政府可以規管而不是全面禁~~煙~~止加熱煙。

2019年3月29日

Ho Man Yan

[REDACTED]

7D7814C2

[REDACTED] @gmail.com

郭偉強主席：

我支持立法規管加熱煙

而非全面禁止

因為沒有太大味道令我不舒服

2019年 3月29日

Choi Chi Shing

[REDACTED]

shing [REDACTED]@hotmail.com

717A925F

郭偉強主席:

我是 Wong Phoebe.

我反對全面禁了加熱煙

正如規管, 因為本人一直有用加熱煙

煙味亦較少!

2019.3.29
Wong Phoebe



郭偉強主席：

立法會CB(2)1214/18-19(653)號文件
LC Paper No. CB(2)1214/18-19(653)

本人是陳天恒，寫信給你表達我反對
全面禁止，支持規管。

很多人都喜愛加起煙，危害較少
不要剝奪我的自由。

反對全面禁煙

陳天恒

2018/3/1

Tel. [REDACTED]

[REDACTED]@msn.com

郭偉強主席:


本人是李真壽, 得知立法會正在立法全禁加熱煙,
我們反對全禁。

因為加熱煙比傳統煙氣味更少,

希望政府重新考慮, 不要無理剝奪我們的自由。

28-3-2019

Full name: LI CHUN TO

mobile no: 

敬偉區主席

立法會CB(2)1214/18-19(655)號文件
LC Paper No. CB(2)1214/18-19(655)

本區區友係，支持組管，反對全禁，
加緊煙草零售。

希望您可以重新考慮立法組管電子煙。

2019年3月29日

區友區

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]@h-trail.com

郭主席：

本人是Lung Man Wai，得知政府在全面禁新類型煙草產品，本人表示反對：

- 1) 我們應有自己的權利。
- 2) 新類型煙草產品比傳統香煙較少害處。

2019.3.29.

Lung Man Wai

[REDACTED]@gmail.com

[REDACTED]

2D2BE81

鄧偉強主席。

本人是 Lan Age Tan, 得知政府正在張君墓加裝
燈, 所以今晚在邊區, 支持工程, 希望有。

✱ 自此選擇使用加裝燈照張君。

2019年3月21日。

Lan Age Tan.

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]@hotmail.com.

F70FBA 26.

郭偉強主席:


立法會CB(2)1214/18-19(658)號文件
LC Paper No. CB(2)1214/18-19(658)

本人是 LEUNG MAN TAT, 得知政府正在立法
全禁加熱煙, 所寫信給你, 表達我們
反對全禁, 支持規管。

1. 自由選擇使用加熱煙。

2019年3月29日

Doa

 @hotmail.com

郭偉強主席:

立法會CB(2)1214/18-19(659)號文件
LC Paper No. CB(2)1214/18-19(659)



本人是何文軒,得知政府/立法會正在立法全禁加熱煙,所以寫信給你,表達我們反對全禁,支持規管的意見。

我們希望自由選擇加熱煙的權利

本人認為政府只要規管便能夠保障未成年人的健康。本人要求政府重新考慮,並以規管代替全禁,不要無理剝奪我們的自由。

2019年3月28日

Ho Man Hen


@gmail.com

鄧主席：

本人鄧韻晴~~XXXXXXXXXX~~，本人反對
政府全面禁止新型煙草產品，原因是：

1. 政府沒有詢問市民意見，我們只能
從新聞得知此事，十分不合理。
2. 市民應有選擇加熱煙的權利

我希望政府可以規管新型煙
草產品。

~~XXXXXXXXXX~~ @hotmarl.com

鄧韻晴

2/13/2019

Tel. ~~XXXXXXXXXX~~

DoED1246

郭主席！

本人是 ~~De~~ Chau Tak Ngok.

知道政府禁加熱！本人熱烈地反對。

因為我覺得加熱煙，會比傳統煙

對他人影響減少多了，希望政府接受。

世界上各項事物，本人自覺對自身影

響亦減少了，叫我介煙亦不太可能，

助長了私煙的生意。

~~2019年3月29日~~

2019年3月29日。

Chau Tak Ngok.


@yahoo.com.hk.

426DF67C

郭偉強主席：

林林~~林~~4反對禁止加~~熱~~煙。

因為味道較少。

2019年3月29日。

① 林林



@gmail.com

DD 965C 67

郭主席：

本人譚維康反對全禁，

更熱煙，支持規意見，

自由選擇權利，

每人個的自由，政府不可改

給我們，

希望你聽見我們的訴求

譚維康

7-3-2019

Email: [REDACTED]@yahoo.com.hk

[REDACTED]

Code: B9DF6AB0

郭偉強主席！

立法會CB(2)1214/18-19(664)號文件

LC Paper No. CB(2)1214/18-19(664)

本人是陳天樂，反對立法加稅

希望可以規管

因為二手煙較傳統香煙小：

2019年3月29日

陳天樂

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED] @jane123

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

郭偉強主席,

本人 HARRIS LI, 表達反對金禁,
支持規管加熱煙的意見

我認為加熱煙比傳統煙少害處.
覺得禁止不合理.

2019.3.29.

Harris Li

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]@yahoo.com

~~79F~~

79F922E7

郭偉強主席：

本人鄭熙文，支持規管加熱煙而不是全面禁止，
因為它氣味較淡。

2019年3月29日。

鄭熙文。

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]@gmail.com

54B2BBF


鄧偉強主席.

本人是Lam Sin Wa. 得知政府正在全禁加熱煙,
所以寫信反對全禁, 支持規管
因為加熱煙較少二手煙及危害.



2019年3月29日.

Full name: Lam Sin Wa.

Mobile No: 

Email: @hotmail.com,

Ref: 9E4B1D14.

~~郭偉~~

郭偉編主席：

本人是陳賢忠，希望香港
能夠規管加熱煙，令大家可以
有另一個選擇的權利。

2019-3-29.

陳賢忠

~~陳賢忠~~

~~陳賢忠~~ Outlook on

8 F C A E 970

鄭偉強主席

本人馬清儀，希望政府能够监管加热烟，
因为加热烟较少毒性，对吸烟和不吸烟人等
也有好处。

29 Mar 2019.

馬清儀

[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]@qq.com

1993681E

陸

鄧偉強主席：

本人是陸偉豪，都希望政府可以管制加熱
煙，等煙民多一個可以
選擇的權利

3月29日 2019年

陸偉豪

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

@ 19402.com.hk

64200D95

郭偉傑主席:

本人 Lo Chak Wing 反对
禁止电子烟, 因为电子烟

2019年3月2日

Lo Chak Wing

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]@gmail.com

20CA6E40

郭主席：

立法會CB(2)1214/18-19(672)號文件
LC Paper No. CB(2)1214/18-19(672)

本人譚維達，得知政府/立法會正在
立法全禁加熱煙，我現表達我們
反對全禁，支持持規管的意見。

自由選擇使用加熱煙是我們的
權利，希望政府重視我們的
意見。

2019年3月29日
譚維達

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]@yahoo.com.

5A 26 F01

郭偉強主席：

本人是 Lam Hoi Ching，知政府要禁 IQOS 加熱煙，
想表達自己作為用家的意見。

- ① 政府並未諮詢過民意，並未了解用家認為的好處。
- ② 世界很多國家也接受加熱煙，香港不應固步自封。
- ③ 本人已吸食傳統煙越十年，轉食加熱煙原因是不想
吸煙氣味影響身邊人。
- ④ 加熱煙沒火種留下，更安全，氣味比傳統煙少。
- ⑤ 加熱煙需要充電，可延長吸食煙與煙中間的時間，
另煙民減少抽煙。
- ⑥ 如要全面禁加熱煙，為何不禁傳統煙？
反而傳統煙可以推出多款新口味，吸引更多
賣家和吸引年青人？道理何在？

29/3/2019.

Lam Hoi Ching.

[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]@yahoo.com.hk.

DE 850 ~~204~~
204

郭偉強：

於近日對電子煙的規管方案，本人懇請政府重新考慮，應顧及到大眾煙民的自由選擇和以科學的角度去重新評估電子煙/加熱菸對吸煙者和大眾的影響。

Young Chin Kong

2019年~~11月29日~~ 3月29日

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]@gmail.com

(EAEC11D8)

鄧偉強主席：

本人是王蕙，得知政府/想全面禁加熱煙，本人得知明白加熱煙的危害較少，希望政府應該規管令煙民的一個選擇。

2019年3月29日
王蕙

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]@gmail.com.

BT7ACFD

郭元序

本人 Kay, 希望政府立法規管新型
煙草產品。我們應有自行選擇之權利。

因為

- ① 此類煙草產品其質與現時
合法產品特性類似
- ② 無非法成分。

2019年3月29日

陳嘉欣

 @gmail.com



E34AE500

29 MAR, 2019

TO MR KWOK:

MY NAME IS CHIU HUI NAM, ~~Re~~ I am writing
to express my disagreement of banning this product
because,

1. The right to use heat-not-burn products
2. this product have have harmful

Chiu Hui Nam

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]@gmail.com.

7FAFFA47

郭主席：

本人是Yen，得知政府全面禁止加熱煙，本人表示反對。

因為：1. 加熱煙對非煙民影響較低
2. 對吸煙者害處較少。

希望政府立法規管。

2019-3-29.

CHAN SUI FAN

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED] @Yahoo - co
EE818C3

郭主席

本人是鄭滿生，來信表達對政府禁止加熱煙的反對，因為：

- 1, 剝削市民選擇權，政府無正式答覆
- 2, 加熱煙氣味較低

2019年3月29日

鄭滿生

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]@hotmail.com

E141A1AB

敬請主席：



本人是 Lee cheng yin...

本人對在熱煙少電(對公眾)。

Lu

2019-32P.

Lee cheng yin.

  *hotmala*

1E90C23C

郭主席：

本人是陳家寶，我反對政府全面禁止
加熱煙，因為

- ① 市民有選擇權。
- ② 加熱煙較低影響。

希望政府重新考慮，作出監管。

29-3-2019

陳家寶。

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]@gmail.com.

3DBFC236

郭偉強主席:

立法會CB(2)1214/18-19(682)號文件
LC Paper No. CB(2)1214/18-19(682)

本人是梁國雄, 得知政府/立法會
正在立法全禁加熱煙, 所以寫
信給你, 表達我們反對全禁,
支持規管的意見。

- 政府不能禁止市民選擇煙草的權利,
市民有權使用加熱煙或不使用。

本人認為政府只要規管便能夠保
障未成年的人的健康。本人希望政府
重新考慮, 並以規管代替全禁, 不要
剝奪我們的自由
2019年3月28日

梁國雄
2019年3月28日
[redacted]@yahoo.com.hk

郭偉強主席：

立法會CB(2)1214/18-19(683)號文件
LC Paper No. CB(2)1214/18-19(683)

本人李詠豪，我反對電子煙，希望重新審核，
全面禁止

2019年3月29日

李詠豪


@gmail.com



郭偉強主席：

本人是梁文傑，得知政府/立法會正在立法全禁加熱煙，希望不要禁止。

因加熱煙對身邊的人影響比較少
希望可以立法規管

29-3-2019

梁文傑


@live.hk

Ref. code: A193C60

郭偉強主席：

本人是陳皓熙，我得知現正政府及立法會
正在立法禁止加熱煙，有見及此，特地寫信
給你，表達我們反對此建議。

我反對此舉因為政府並無正式諮詢
過我們的意見，而且加熱煙的時速影響
不比傳統香煙大，因此不見得全面加熱
煙的舉動會有全面考慮市民的意见。

2019年2月21日

陳皓熙

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]@hotmail.com

(51185705)

鄭主席:

立法會CB(2)1214/18-19(686)號文件

GPaper No. 34B(2)1214/18-19(686)

我是 Kay Yiu 了解到政府正考慮禁煙，
在此致信給你，本人作出極大的反對，希望你仔細
聆聽我們的聲音。

了解到其他國家也是合法的，不了解者認為何不能有同
樣的處理。這對所有吸煙及不吸煙的告送人，
都是絕大的好處！

2019年3月21日

Full name: Yiu Chun Kit

Email: @gmail.com

phone: 

Code: A63E05B

鄧偉強主席：

本人是黃嘉誠，得知政府全禁加熱煙，

所以寫信給你，表達我們全反對全禁，支持
規管的意見。

我覺得加熱比較健康。

希望政府可以接納市民意見...

2019年3月29日，

黃嘉誠

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]@Yahoo.com.hk

Reference code of the registration.

559493AE

劉錫鴻主席：

本人是劉錫鴻，我是1Q05月家，我希望政府能給我
一個選擇的權利，我希望能在此繼續使用1Q05.

2018年3月29日

劉錫鴻

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]@gmail.com

8A5F892

郭偉強主席

本人陳慶成，得知政府立法禁止加熱煙

希望政府重新考慮，因為加熱煙對身體
HA支影響較少，所以希望能夠視管引入

2019.03.29.

陳慶成

E-mail: [REDACTED]

[REDACTED]@live.cn



30859001

鄭偉強主席

本人是陳家亮我知道政府打算立法
全面禁止加熱煙 本人非常之反對
因為加熱煙令我減低我對傳統
香煙的依賴 2手煙味較小
希望政府不要剝削我們的權利
讓我們多一個選擇。

29/3/2019

CHAN KA LEONG


@hotmail.com

Code:SB33DEC4



郭偉強 主席：

本來是 ^{Wong Tsz Kuen} ~~Jimmy Hong~~ 得知政府/立法會正在全面
禁止加熱煙，我覺得香港市民有權選
擇吸煙自由。

加熱煙身邊人影響不大，希望可規
管引入取代禁止。

2019/3/29

Wong Tsz Kuen


@gmail.com

8FE186B2

Ref: D801E 952

Date: 8 April 2019

Mr. Kwok Wai Keung

Clerk to Bills Committee on smoking (Public Health)(Amendment) Bill 2019

Legislative Council Secretariat

Legislative Council Complex

1 Legislative Council Road

Central, Hong Kong

Dear Mr. Kwok,

As student member of HKICPA, I would like to express my view on a proposed blanket ban on importation, selling and distribution on e-cigarettes and other alternative tobacco products announced in the Government Press Conference held on February 13th 2019.

Although both conventional cigarettes and alternative cigarettes are harmful to health, studies by independent parties have proved that e-cigarettes and heat-not-burn products emit a significantly lower level of harmful substances and odor. It would provide the alternative cigarettes for smokers and expose less harmful second-hand smoke for non-smokers.

The Government is responsible for safeguarding the interest of the people and upholding the core values of Hong Kong, including human rights, freedom and tolerance of different stances and view. Therefore, the Government should respect the freedom of choice of the existing smokers and provide them with better alternatives. I hope the government not to ban e-cigarettes and alternative smoking products altogether and start a genuine discussion with the community to come up with a balanced solution.

I believe proper regulation, enforcement and education are right way to minimize the negative impact of smoking to the community. We trust that you can provide wise feedback on behalf of our profession to the Government.

Thank you for your kind attention.

Sincerely,

Ben FU (HKICPA Student membership: So40191)



Date: 08/04/2019

Hon Kenneth LEUNG

Room 918,

Legislative Council Complex,

1 Legislative Council Road, Central, Hong Kong

Dear Mr. Leung,

I understand that the Hong Kong government has proposed a new bill to implement a complete ban on heated tobacco products. I would like to express my view that these heated tobacco products should be allowed to be sold in Hong Kong and be regulated instead of a total ban. Such ban is not practical as there are other ways in which smokers can still purchase the products in alternative ways.

Moreover, I believe that implementing a total ban on heated tobacco products instead regulating will take away the choice of a better alternative product (when compared to traditional cigarettes). From various studies, heated tobacco can potentially reduce approximately 90 to 95 per cent of harmful chemicals than cigarette smoke and this option should not be taken away from current smokers.

As the member of HKICPA, I sincerely hope that you can help provide this and other relevant information and feedback to the government and that Hong Kong should regulate heated tobacco products and not to implement a total ban.

Thank you for your consideration.

Yours faithfully,

S049854 (HKICPA student number)



Dear Hon Kenneth Leung,

Regarding the ban of e-cigarettes, I am writing to express my concerns on the proposed ban of e-cigarettes and other alternative tobacco products.

As a member of HKICPA, I believe that evidence to support assumptions are always fundamental in all aspects, in which the proposed ban do not show enough supporting evidence to the proposal. There has been numerous international studies proving alternative tobacco products are significantly less harmful to traditional conventional cigarette smoking. Some of the other jurisdictions even use these products as a way to quit smoking. I believe that the Government does not take enough consideration from these examples for the ban towards e-cigarettes.

As a non-smoker, we all have friends who are smokers and are wishing to switch to alternative tobacco products. Not only if they prefer these products due to less odour and nuisance caused to others, but also less harm will be made to their families. By offering an alternative product would not be harmful, but in other way a better choice for these smokers and their families.

I believe that proper regulation could aid the process of smoke banning and the Government should take more consideration from the reference from the counterparts in other jurisdictions.

Yours sincerely,

Maggie Ngai (membership id: A46023)




高偉強主席：

本人是何正邦，得知政府全面禁煙
本人對全禁，支持超額意見。
加稅煙比傳手台煙少而害處

本人認為政府只要經常便能夠保障
市民每人的健康。本人要求政府重新考慮，
並以相管代禁煙，不要無理全禁。

2019年4月30日

何正邦



致: 立法會秘書處

<<2019 年吸煙(公眾衛生)(修訂)條例草案>>委員會秘書

地址: 香港中區立法會道 1 號立法會綜合大樓立法會秘書處

參考編號:2261F304

給:郭主席

我是黃先生，我們在大埔經營多年的小商戶，一直為政府把關，堅拒售賣任何

類型煙草產品給 18 歲以下的青少年，

我們對政府上星期提交的吸煙條例修訂感到極度失望/遺憾政府無視民意，

剝奪吸煙者自由選擇的權利，無視合法小商戶的權益

(撤回禁售加熱煙產品的建議，改為規管)



2019 年 4 月 31 日

致立法會秘書處

2019年吸煙公眾衛生修訂條例草案委員會秘書
地址：香港中區立法會道1號立法會綜合大樓
立法會秘書處

參考編號：8D7AB903

給郭偉強

我是賴月嫦，在屯門區做了25年報紙檔

我不吸煙的，但經常會受二手煙的影響
但加熱煙的氣味比較小，對人影響都小很多。
及有好多人都轉咗食加熱煙，但香港政府又
全禁入口，令我的生意大受影響，收入減小很多。
請政府收回禁售加熱煙，改為規管。

加 林 報檔

賴月嫦 上

2019年4月 日

致：立法會秘書處

2019年吸煙(公眾衛生)修訂條例草案委員會秘書

參考編號：50698DCE

郭偉強主席

我係油麻地小商戶，得知政府全禁加熱煙，
現希撤回此建議及要求改為規管，因
已有很多煙民轉用咗加熱煙，亦已
令我哋商戶生意大減，敬希政府聆
聽我哋聲音，諮詢業界意見，不要
閉門造車，黑箱作業！

信記紅梅
何

2019年4月

致：立法會秘書處

立法會CB(2)1214/18-19(699)號文件

LC Paper No. CB(2)1214/18-19(699)

〈2019年吸煙(公眾衛生)(修訂)條例草案〉會員會秘書

參考編號：38A 26F 87

親愛的郭偉強主席：

我是油尖旺區售賣香煙的小商戶，近年因為吸食轉食加熱煙，得知政府條例全禁加熱煙，政府無視民意，剥夺吸煙人士自由選擇的權利，無視合法小商戶權益，應立法規管加熱煙反對全禁，請政府收回草案。



2019年4月

立法會秘書處：

立法會CB(2)1214/18-19(700)號文件

LC Paper No. CB(2)1214/18-19(700)

《2019年吸煙(公眾衛生)(修訂)條例草案》委員會秘書

香港特區立法會1號立法會綜合大樓立法會秘書處

參考編號：6C760325

殷郭先生：

我是一位報販，從事此行業已經多年。近年報紙雜誌
生意已大不如前，香煙基本上成為小店其中一條命脈，但眼
下上愈來愈多人轉賣加熱煙，但香港仍未有合法銷售，無
令小店生意大受打擊，政府為何不考慮規管，而是打禁
！禁！懇請政府可收回草案，令加熱煙可合法於香港售賣

謝謝！

2019年4月

洪記

洪記報檔



立法會CB(2)1214/18-19(701)號文件
宏施慈善基金深水埗社會服務處
Windshield Charitable Foundation Sham Shui Po Social Services
一點愛心 十分關懷

儘快通過條例修訂
立法禁止另類煙草產品 保障不同社群及家庭的健康

宏施慈善基金深水埗社會服務處致力協助香港的弱勢社群，為深水埗區內的家庭提供服務，促進區內不同階層的人士共融，透過多元化的活動，向居民及家庭推廣健康生活。本會支持政府修訂《吸煙(公眾衛生)條例》，禁止進口、製造、售賣、分發和宣傳電子煙及其他另類煙草產品，以保障區內不同社群及家庭的健康。

電子煙及加熱煙等另類吸煙產品的銷售策略針對年輕人，深水埗區內有很多出售年輕人潮流玩意、手機或電腦等產品的商場和店舖，當中亦有部份銷售另類吸煙產品，區內青少年和兒童無可避免地會接觸到這些產品。本會多年來在區內推廣健康生活的好處，向不同社群及家庭，宣傳吸煙的禍害，和無煙生活的好處。我們認為另類吸煙產品近年在區內不少商場和店舖流行，對本會的工作定會帶來負面影響。這些產品多以「較健康」和「幫助戒煙」誤導其安全性，吸引市民，特別是青少年和兒童往往因為好奇及貪新鮮的心理下很容易便會嘗試。香港以至世界各地的醫學研究證明，非吸煙者一旦開始吸食電子煙，將來便有更大的意欲吸食傳統煙。這些產品往往包裝設計與電子產品相近，令家長難以察覺，以致宣傳及教育工作變得更加困難。

另類煙草產品對人體有害不限於對小朋友，對成年人也一樣有害。越來越多研究發現電子煙及另類吸煙產品對身體構成嚴重影響。電子煙釋出的超微細果粒會沉澱在肺部，令肺組織慢性發炎，可以增加患病、患癌的機會。而加熱煙本身就是煙草，所釋出的尼古丁、焦油分量與傳統煙大同小異。深水埗區內有較多基層家庭居住，居民一旦因煙草而染上相關疾病，必定為家庭生活帶來沉重的負擔，亦更難融入社區。假若條例未能通過或有所延誤，情況將會惡化，區內將會充斥銷售另類吸煙產品的店舖，為社區安全及下一代的健康帶來威脅，因此本會促請立法會儘快通過條例，立法禁止另類煙草產品。

宏施慈善基金深水埗社會服務處



致：立法會秘書處，

立法會CB(2)1214/18-19(702)號文件
LC Paper No. CB(2)1214/18-19(702)

2019年吸煙(公眾衛生)修訂條例草案委員會秘書處

參考編號：ECC1B71D.

鄧偉強主席，

我是大角咀區波記士多，我強烈反對
全禁加熱煙，因已有很多煙民已轉
吸食加熱煙，既然比傳統香煙
有效減低有害物質，及其他國
家已引入為何香港仍未合法
售賣呢？懇請政府收回草
案並應改為規管！

2019年4月

波記辦館
SHOP C, 149 TAI KOK TSUI ROAD,
TAI KOK TSUI, KOWLOON
TEL: 6623 1385

致：立法會秘書處

立法會CB(2)1214/18-19(703)號文件
LC Paper No. CB(2)1214/18-19(703)

《2019年吸煙(公眾衛生)(修訂)條例草案》委員會秘書
香港中區立法會1號立法會綜合大樓立法會秘書處

參考編號：46 DC 19 DF

致：鄧偉強主席：

本人從事報檔二十多年，近年報紙雜誌銷量不斷下跌，市場亦因便利店成為城市而不斷萎縮，而香煙佔了小店接近3成生意額，但近來因發覺部份相熟客人已經轉念，一隻名為加熱煙產品，但香港尚未有售，因此對小店生意極得上為雪上加霜！

藉此，小店強烈要求政府可以進行公眾諮詢，並將全禁加熱煙產品，轉為規管，好讓小店能繼續生存！

高記報檔
簽署：

謹啟

2019年4月

致：立法會秘書處

<2019年吸煙(公眾衛生)(修訂)條例草案>


委員會秘書

參考編號：D4E20F74

給郭主席：

我係旺角經營士多好多年，近年
很多人吸食加熱煙，我也因無法提
供加熱煙產品而流失客人，全禁加熱
煙只會令我地小商戶百上加斤，政府無
視民意全禁加熱煙，懇請政府收回

草案



2019年4月

致：立法會秘書處

2019年吸煙(公眾衛生)修訂條例

立法會CB(2)1214/18-19(705)號文件
LC Paper No. CB(2)1214/18-19(705)

參考編號：B3A9733

郭偉強主席

本人為大角咀士多零售商，一直為政府把關，堅拒售賣任何煙草產品給18歲以下青少年，對政府提交吸煙條例修訂，極度感到失望，此修訂只令增加走私煙活動，對我哋小商戶百上加斤，因為有好多吸煙人仕轉食咗加熱煙，希望政府收回草案，立法規管。

羅友記士多

2019年4月

致：立法會秘書處

《2019年吸煙(公眾衛生)(修訂)條例草案》
立法會CB(2)1214/18-19(706)號文件
LC Paper No. CB(2)1214/18-19(706)

參考編號：44CECA90.

郭偉強主席。

我係油尖旺區經營零售煙及飲品，得知禁售加
熱煙，本人極之失望，因全禁只會令私煙越來
越嚴重，及影響我地收入，懇請撤回禁
售加熱煙產品建議，謝。

2019年4月



致：立法會秘書處

《2019年吸煙(公眾衛生)(修訂)條例草案》委員會秘書

編號：6036-0855

致：郭卓彤

我係煙草銷售業的辦館，我也經營
十數年，一直為政府把關，專拒售賣任何
類型煙草產品給18歲以下的青少年，全港加
類煙草產品多如牛毛，煙草業向來理
市場，嚴重影響我地生計，我地營商環境
已非常困難，我地已在掙扎生存，現懇請以
存體諒先生，撤回草案。

珍 珍 百 寶 店
CHUN CHUN STORE

Authorized Signature

2019年9月

Clerk to Bills Committee on Smoking (Public Health) (Amendment) Bill 2019
Legislative Council Secretariat
Legislative Council Complex
1 Legislative Council Road
Central, Hong Kong

Dear Kwok Wai Keung,

Re: Bills Committee: Regulate Alternative Tobacco Products Instead of Ban
Urging for a Proper Public Consultation

Recently, the proposed ban alternative tobacco products and heat not burn products from the Hong Kong Government has caught my attention. I am writing this letter to express my opinion and disappointment on the matter. As a bar manager in Central district, I would like to ask the government listen to the needs of the citizen and find a balanced solution to handle this matter. This proposed ban affects public health of Hong Kong and does not make sense.

Many of my customers and employees are smoking cigarettes and alternative tobacco products, and I can see the benefits to those who choose alternative tobacco products. A full ban on these products will take the choice away from them, forcing them to continue using cigarettes. I believe banning the product will also allow illegal tobacco sellers to profit from this ban, making it accessible to minors through illegal channels.


In addition, the government has not conduct a public consultation to gather the opinions from the people of Hong Kong. Without hearing the people of Hong Kong, the best decision cannot be made for my customers and the people of Hong Kong.

Also, there are those who have already fully changed to these new alternatives. Many of my customers, staff and even some family and friends are using these products. If they continue smoking, having to go back to cigarettes is counter-intuitive in a modern society. I believe smokers should have a choice to choose the proper product that is best for them.

As such, the Hong Kong Government should regulate these alternative heat not burn products, not banning them.

Please voice out to the government to conduct a public consultation regarding alternative tobacco products. Since you are the LegCo member who joined the Bills Committee, please request the government to open the gateway for the people to voice their opinions.

Sincerely,



Robert Chai Hong Cheung
[REDACTED]@hotmail.com
[REDACTED]
Zerve Group COO
Tenkei
[REDACTED]



立法會CB(2)1214/18-19(709)號文件
LC Paper No. CB(2)1214/18-19(709)

Clerk to Bills Committee on Smoking (Public Health) (Amendment) Bill 2019
Legislative Council Secretariat
Legislative Council Complex,
1 Legislative Council Road,
Central, HK

Dear Mr. KWOK Wai-keung,

Re: Bills Committee on Smoking (Public Health) (Amendment) Bill 2019

I am writing this letter to express my view towards the proposed ban on heat not burn products and alternative tobacco products. I hope you will reconsider this proposed ban and take a better approach to the people of Hong Kong. I am a bar manager in Price Edward, there are a large percentage of my patrons and smokers who are currently using new tobacco products. During the past years, I have noticed more and more customers are using these new tobacco products, and not cigarettes anymore. From my exposure of these new tobacco products, I can see that the non-smokers customers are happier as there is no smell the potential benefits of these products to improve the lives of smokers and those around them.

We were never given a chance to express our opinions to the government, and I believe LegCo should consider views from all aspects. We should be informed the pros and cons of banning compared to regulating these new tobacco products. Many cigarette users have already been using these products due to the delayed government proposal, the government should therefore re-evaluate the current situation and make decisions after considering the opinion of smokers and non-smokers for a balanced decision.

It is clear the public has doubt if the Food & Health Bureau have considered views from the public or any interested parties. Besides, Hong Kong has a proven success on regulating of cigarette, which only adults can legally buy cigarettes. We kindly ask for a consultation and not just a public hearing to share our views and ask for the Government to provide feedback on our views directly.

Since you are a member of the Legislative Council and the bill committee, please contact the government and make public consultations with the public to express their opinions. I hope that you can solve this problem in a balanced manner.

Thank you for your attention,

Bc Lounge


[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]@gmail.com

Yung Wing Yee

[REDACTED]

660DF9EB



Ans

Clerk to Bills Committee on Smoking (Public Health) (Amendment) Bill 2019
Legislative Council Secretariat
Legislative Council Complex
1 Legislative Council Road
Central, Hong Kong

Dear Mr. Kwok,

Re: Bills Committee: Regulate Alternative Tobacco Products

I am writing this letter to express my concerns regarding the recently proposed bill to ban alternative tobacco products such as heat not burn products. I believe the government should consider a different approach to regulate these products instead of banning them completely.

As a bar manager, many of my employees and customers have fully switched to these alternative tobacco products. They see the benefits of such products to improve their lives and those around them. These products have less lingering tobacco smell on themselves and on their family and friends around them.

Moving forward, I also believe that the government should consider a public consultation on the issue before imposing a ban. This allows the people of Hong Kong to express their views, and the government to fully evaluate the products' benefits to public health.

We want the Hong Kong Government to regulate this alternative tobacco product instead of a complete ban. This is the solution to protect the best interest of the people in Hong Kong, and regulation effectively prohibits underage consumers to have access to them. A full ban will encourage illegal selling of these products without any form of age verification. Is that what the Hong Kong Government wants?

Since you are a member of the Legislative Council and the Bills Committee, please help us express our concerns to the government on this issue. I hope you can take a balanced stance on this issue for us.

Thank you for your time!

Sincerely,

MAIN PLACE

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]@gmail.com

YUNG WING YEE

[REDACTED]

6EAC5659



A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to be "Yung Wing Yee".

立法會CB(2)1214/18-19(711)號文件
LC Paper No. CB(2)1214/18-19(711)

Clerk to Bills Committee on Smoking (Public Health) (Amendment) Bill 2019

Legislative Council Secretariat Legislative Council Complex

1 Legislative Council Road

Central, Hong Kong

Re: (Bills Committee Members): Regulate Alternative Tobacco Products Instead of Ban

Dear Chairman,

Recently, I heard that the government has proposed a bill to ban alternative tobacco products and Heat not Burn products. I am writing this letter to express my opinion and disappointment on the issue. As a bar owner of Price Edward district, I would like to ask the government to seek a Regulatory approach to the issue. This proposed ban affects public health of Hong Kong and does not make sense.

I have been working in a bar for years now, I noticed that my customers and employees have become more and more aware of the use of new tobacco products in the past few years and have switched to these kind of products. I can see the potential positive effects of these products and can improve the lives of smokers and even non-smokers nearby. These alternative tobacco products clearly have less lingering smell and do not disturb the people around us, especially for the nearby non-smokers.

I have many concerns about the full ban which the government is proposing now rather than to regulate Heated Tobacco Products. If these products are properly regulated like how cigarettes are being regulated now, they would not be reachable by youth. This will even help the Government achieve their goal.

As such, the Hong Kong Government should regulate these alternative tobacco products, not banning them.

Please voice out to the government to conduct a public consultation regarding alternative tobacco products. Since you are the LegCo member who joined the Bills Committee, please request the government to open the gateway for the people to voice their opinions.

Best regards,

Future Lampe

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]@gmail.com

Yung King Yeh

[REDACTED]

AB124CEA



Handwritten signature

Clerk to Bills Committee on Smoking (Public Health) (Amendment) Bill 2019
Legislative Council Secretariat
Legislative Council Complex
1 Legislative Council Road
Central, Hong Kong

Re: Bills Committee: Regulate Alternative Tobacco Products but not ban

Dear Chairman,

I am writing this letter to express my opinion and disappointment on the recent Government bill proposal to ban alternative tobacco products instead of an appropriate regulation. I would like to ask the Government to gather feedback from the general public before making a conclusion that make negatively affect the public health of Hong Kong and the freedom of choice of the people.

I have been working in a bar for years now and I have almost been a smoker for some years. I have recently noticed that my customers and people who working with me have start to use of these "new tobacco products" instead of cigarettes in the past few years. I have also started to try out these products and the effects I am experiencing now is quite surprising. There are much less smell and smoke that will affect others, so will hardly disturb people around us, which I would say is a good news to my non-smokers customers since they enjoy a pleasant environment for a drink without worrying the second hand smoke.

I would like the Government to clarify some doubts I have in mind, if these new tobacco products are properly regulated by law, like how cigarettes are being regulated now, they would not be reachable by youth, and the Government should also be able to arrest people who trade illegally. I also wish the Government would release official documents comparing these products and traditional cigarettes so smokers can choose their best-suit product with their own risks.

I believe a public consultation regarding alternative tobacco products can let the Government to hear the voice from its people. Since you are the LegCo member who joined the Bills Committee, please request the government to open the gate for the people to voice their opinions. As such, the Hong Kong Government should regulate these alternative tobacco products, not banning them.

Best regards,

Asakusa Lounge III

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED] @ gmail.com

YUNG WING 122

[REDACTED]

60043DA2



Clerk to Bills Committee on Smoking (Public Health) (Amendment) Bill 2019
Legislative Council Secretariat
Legislative Council Complex
1 Legislative Council Road
Central, Hong Kong

Dear Chairman,

Re: Bills Committee: Regulate Alternative Tobacco Products Instead of Ban
Urging for a Proper Public Consultation

The emergence of alternative tobacco has been noticeable in my industry, with our outdoor bars and seating area. Once smelly, dirty and un-enjoyable for non-smokers, we now see more balance. This leads me to ask, why is there a ban on a product which helps my business provide a cleaner and safer environment? And by safer I am specifically meaning that we are at less risk of having fire incidents and burnt furniture.

At my bar in Kowloon area in Tsim Sha Tsui, a strip of road full of restaurants and bars, many of us have come to realize that our own working conditions can be improved. What makes me concerned is that having consumers who don't want to smoke normal cigarettes will be now forced to adopt their old habits and make my working area full of ash and smells affecting others.

This seems a bit strange. As a governing body, I would have expected more public consideration from the government. It feels like they have thought that one simple decision could eliminate a discussion or a whole community. I don't support smoking, but those that choose to do so, should also have the right to choose the better way to use tobacco.

Unfortunately the Government did not seek any public opinion. I am simply requesting that we should regulate to avoid youth consumption, avoid travelers who come and force them to adopt cigarettes and ensure that Hong Kong smokers also have a choice. Why are we not hosting a public consultation for these consumers and businesses to share their thoughts? Should Hong Kong allow for a balanced discussion and come to terms for what would be best? I hope you can understand my views.

I understand as a LegCo member you have ability to support my views. I hope you can provide your honest consideration on the topic. Your voice is crucial for the government to see us small businesses are also affected by their decisions, and that they should listen to us. As such, the Hong Kong Government should regulate not ban alternative tobacco products.

Best regards,

DOWNTOWN - BAR & CHILL PLACE TO GO

Tel: [REDACTED]



Contact [REDACTED]

Jay Wan.

Ref number: E42E88C8

Bills Committee on Smoking, Public Health-Amendment Bill 2019
Legislative Council Secretariat
Legislative Council Complex
1 Legislative Council Road
Central, HKSAR

Dear Mr. Kwok,

Re: Smoking (Public Health) (Amendment) Bill 2019 - Bills Committee: Regulate Alternative Tobacco

I wrote this letter to express my views on the proposed ban on alternative tobacco products and I believe it would be a better and more balanced approach considering the current situation of Hong Kong if these products are being regulated than banned.

Being the manager in PE area, a large percentage of my customers and myself are smokers, who have already started to use these new tobacco products instead of using cigarettes. From what I have been experiencing and even between the conversations with my non-smoking customers, we all agreed that these products pose less negative impacts on our lives.

Some of my customers have noticed the government has not yet conducted a public consultation to gather the opinions from the public. Without having a holistic view on such issue, I am not convinced the decision to be made will serve the interest of the general public in Hong Kong, not to mention smokers' interest although we are only the minorities in the society.

Hong Kong has a proven success on regulating of cigarette, which it is illegal to sell these products to youth. We should be proud of such well-established system and always have faith that it will still be effectiveness even though alternative tobacco products are introduced in Hong Kong.

We kindly ask for a public consultation after the public hearing to extensively share our views, thus the Government can gather our feedbacks directly with a neutral perspective. This will also provide a comprehensive view for the LegCo members to make correct decisions for the people of Hong Kong.

A ban is not a best solution while regulation can benefit the society and provide better smoking options for adult smokers.

Thank you for your kind attention!

Sincerely,

Brewery

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED] (a) gmail.com

YUNG WING KEE

[REDACTED]

B3FPC1F6



Handwritten signature: A. S. M.

Clerk to Bills Committee on Smoking (Public Health) (Amendment) Bill 2019
Legislative Council Secretariat
Legislative Council Complex
1 Legislative Council Road
Central, Hong Kong

Dear Chairman,

Re: Bills Committee: Regulate Alternative Tobacco Products Instead of Ban
Urging for a Proper Public Consultation

I am writing this letter to express my opinion regarding the recently proposed bill to ban alternative tobacco products and e-cigarettes. I believe that regulation is the better approach to these product than banning.

I am a bar/restaurant owner in Prince Edward district, and have heard a lot about the recently proposed ban. Although I am not a smoker, I see and experience the benefits of heat not burn products from my customers.

The government has yet to conduct a public consultation before proposing a Bill to ban these products. It seems logical to receive and review the public' s opinion on the subject, and evaluate the products' pros and cons to public health.

Since you are a LegCo member in the Bills Committee, I hope you will support a balanced approach to this issue. Also, please speak up to the government to conduct a public consultation for anyone to express their opinion towards these alternative tobacco products. A decision made for the people of Hong Kong is best made by listening their concerns. Please regulate these alternative tobacco products, because regulating will provide a choice to current smokers to choose a better alternative to smoking. Regulating also ensures that those who are underage will not have access to tobacco products through illegal means.

Sincerely,



A-PLUS

REF=5414E8225

MR. LI MING SING

Clerk to Bills Committee on Smoking (Public Health) (Amendment) Bill 2019
Legislative Council Secretariat
Legislative Council Complex
1 Legislative Council Road
Central, Hong Kong

Dear Chairman,

Re: Bills Committee: Regulate Alternative Tobacco Products Instead of Ban
Urging for a Proper Public Consultation

I am writing this letter to express my opinion regarding the recently proposed bill to ban alternative tobacco products and e-cigarettes. I believe that regulation is the better approach to these product than banning.

I am a bar/restaurant owner in Prince Edward district, and have heard a lot about the recently proposed ban. Although I am not a smoker, I see and experience the benefits of heat not burn products from my customers.

The government has yet to conduct a public consultation before proposing a Bill to ban these products. It seems logical to receive and review the public' s opinion on the subject, and evaluate the products' pros and cons to public health.

Since you are a LegCo member in the Bills Committee, I hope you will support a balanced approach to this issue. Also, please speak up to the government to conduct a public consultation for anyone to express their opinion towards these alternative tobacco products. A decision made for the people of Hong Kong is best made by listening their concernsPlease regulate these alternative tobacco products, because regulating will provide a choice to current smokers to choose a better alternative to smoking. Regulating also ensures that those who are underage will not have access to tobacco products through illegal means.

Sincerely,

QUARTER

Handwritten signature

.....
Authorized Signature(s)

Quarter

Ref number: 1B2D49C2

[Redacted signature block]

M 11/ 11 11

[Redacted block]

Bills Committee on Smoking, Public Health-Amendment Bill 2019
Legislative Council Secretariat
Legislative Council Complex
1 Legislative Council Road
Central, HKSAR

Dear Mr. KWOK,

Re: Bills Committee: Regulate Alternative Tobacco Products
Instead of Ban Urging for a Proper Public Consultation

As a bar owner located in Causeway Bay, I am writing this letter to express my view towards the proposed ban on heat not burn products and alternative tobacco products. I hope you will reconsider this proposed ban and take a better approach to the people of Hong Kong.


I am a bar owner in Causeway Bay district, and a large percentage of my patrons and smokers are currently using new tobacco products. During the past years, I have noticed more and more customers are using these new tobacco products, and not cigarettes anymore. From my exposure of these new tobacco products, I can see the potential benefits of these products to improve the lives of smokers and those around them.

At this point, it seems like the government has not disclosed the strengths and weaknesses of these new tobacco products. There has not been a consultation to gather the opinions of the people in Hong Kong. The government should also address the positive and negative aspects if these new tobacco products are regulated or banned.

It is clear the public has doubt if the Food & Health Bureau have considered views from the public or any interested parties. Besides, Hong Kong has a proven success on regulating of cigarette, which only adults can legally buy cigarettes. We kindly ask for a consultation and not just a public hearing to share our views and ask for the Government to provide feedback on our views directly.

I really hope that a consultation will be carried out by the government, from a neutral angle. This will provide concrete results for LegCo members to make sound decisions for the people of Hong Kong. I urge the Hong Kong Government to regulate alternative heat not burn products (not ban) as this is a solution that will address Government's concerns while provide Adult Smokers a better smoking alternative.

Sincerely,



Golden Gate Cafe Ltd

[Redacted signature]

(E9E38EB9)

[Redacted signature] En yaloo. Can, h k

Director WONG CHIU KING

Clerk to Bills Committee on Smoking (Public Health) (Amendment) Bill 2019
Legislative Council Secretariat
Legislative Council Complex
1 Legislative Council Road
Central, Hong Kong

Dear Chairman,

Re: Bills Committee: Regulate Alternative Tobacco Products Instead of Ban
Urging for a Proper Public Consultation

I am writing to request the Bills Committee to reconsider the recent proposal from the Government to pose ban on e-cigarettes and alternative tobacco products. As a bar owner myself, I recognize the benefit of these products to both smokers and even non-smokers as they help making my premise a cleaner and safer place with less smell and even less risk of having fire accidents.

I have many concerns about the full ban which the government is proposing now rather than to regulate e-cigarettes and Heated Tobacco Products. If these products are properly regulated like how cigarettes are being regulated now, they would not be reachable by youth. There are also not much official documents letting us know how well or bad are these products compared to cigarettes. I hope the government can let us know if there are authorities releasing research results from other countries that we can learn from.

Apart from that, I would like to request a consultation to share our views from all aspects of life and ask for the Government to provide feedback on our views directly.

As you are the LegCo member who has joined the Bills Committee, we request that you would lend your hand in support for a balanced approach to protect the public health and the consumers' freedom of choice.

Based on the above, I urge the Government to regulate, not ban these alternative products in HK.

Thank you and best regards,

SQUARE

.....
Authorized Signature(s)

SQUARE BAR

REF: 66A137F2

Case 1:00-cv-00001-HA-SMB

Clerk to Bills Committee on Smoking (Public Health) (Amendment) Bill 2019
Legislative Council Secretariat
Legislative Council Complex
1 Legislative Council Rd
Central, HK

Dear Chairman,

**Re: Bills Committee: Regulate Alternative Tobacco Products Instead of Ban
Urging for a Proper Public Consultation**

Recently, there has been a lot of news regarding the proposed ban for new tobacco products such as heat not burn products. This letter is to express my views towards this issue.

I am a bar manager of a bar in Causeway Bay, from time to time we encounter customers that smoke. We a lot of our customers even my friends and family have already switched to these alternative tobacco products and not cigarette. Being a non-smoker myself and so do some of my employees, this has greatly improved our working environment and even making it more inviting and enjoyable to the non-smoker customers.


It seems like the government did not disclosed the pros and cons of these new tobacco products. There has not been a consultation to gather the opinions of the people in Hong Kong. The government should also address the positive and negative aspects if these new tobacco products are regulated or banned.

My opinion to the topic is that regulating is a better option compare to banning. Regulating is the best approach to ensure minors do not have access to these products. Meanwhile, banning the product will promote illegal sales of these products and minors will have access to them via unsafe channels.

I really hope that a consultation will be carried out by the government, from a neutral angle. This will provide concrete results for LegCo members to make sound decisions for the people of Hong Kong.


As you are the LegCo member who has joined the Bills Committee, I hope to see Hong Kong evolve to give a better environment for its people to live and grow with your help. I also hope that you can speak to the government to conduct a proper consultation so everyone can get a more holistic picture of the issue. This can be achieved by regulating these tobacco products and not by the ban.

Sincerely,



Global Profit Development Limited.



 @ Shelterhk.com

Ling Sze Yip Vian



Ma Sai Kit
General manager

RC: 6CB39845

Clerk to Bills Committee on Smoking (Public Health) (Amendment) Bill 2019
Legislative Council Secretariat
Legislative Council Complex
1. Legislative Council Road
Central, Hong Kong

Dear Chairman,

Submission for LegCo Public Hearing on 13 Apr, 2019

I am writing this letter to express my views regarding the recent government proposal to pose ban on alternative tobacco products and e-cigarettes. I am a believer that regulation is a better approach than banning, taking consideration of the public health and consumers' choice of freedom.

There is a heated discussion about this proposed ban in the community where I belong. Being a smoker myself, I can see and experience the benefits of the heat not burn product I am using, and of course from my customers who smoke. But more importantly, customers that visit my places who do not smoke, also express their appreciation of such switching, as there are less smell and pose less unpleasant effect to them.

However, as far as we know, the government did not conduct a proper public consultation before proposing a Bill to ban these products. I believe it is a simple logic that the public should have the rights to receive information about the product being discussed, by evaluating the products' pros and cons to public health. Are there any researches worldwide regarding this topic the government can share?

To add on, please regulate these e-cigarette and alternative tobacco products, as regulating will provide a choice for current smokers to choose a better alternative than smoking cigarettes. It also ensures the youth will not have access to tobacco products through illegal ways.

Since you are a LegCo member in the Bills Committee, please speak up to the government to conduct a public consultation for the public to express their opinions. I hope you will support a balanced approach to this issue.

Thank you for your kind attention.

Sincerely,

STAGE ONE BAR



Authorized Signature(s)

STAGE ONE BAR REF: D6D80103

MS. CHEN V < VIKAL

Clerk to Bills Committee on Smoking (Public Health) (Amendment) Bill 2019
Legislative Council Secretariat
Legislative Council Complex
1 Legislative Council Road
Central, Hong Kong

Dear Kwok Wai Keung,

Re: Bills Committee: Regulate Alternative Tobacco Products Instead of Ban
Urging for a Proper Public Consultation

I would like to ask if the Bills Committee can reconsider the proposed ban on e-cigarettes and alternative tobacco products. I believe that regulation is more effective and gives a balanced approach for HK Government and for HK Citizens.

I work at a bar in Kowloon area in Tsim Sha Tsui. Week on week there are different consumers visiting the area and also choose to smoke. However some of my consumers currently have already switched to use these alternative tobacco products. This has benefitted my working environment and also my staff which some are non-smokers


I'm not happy that The Government has not consulted us on the topic and then proposed a bill to ban all e-cigarettes and heated tobacco product. I was wondering if the Food & Health Bureau have considered views from the public or interested parties. I'm quite confused on the topic also because if it were regulated, these products would not be reachable by youth. I would kindly ask again for a consultation


We kindly ask for a consultation and not just a public hearing to share our views and ask for the Government to provide feedback on our views directly.

As a business owner in the hospitality industry, I am on the forefront of both the tourism sector and also hope to see Hong Kong evolve to give a better environment for its people to live and grow. As you have a position to represent the people I hope you can take the best action and support a balance approach for all parties in Hong Kong.

As such, we ask for regulation, not ban for alternative tobacco products for this balanced approach.

Thank you and best regards,


Caliente



[Redacted] @ caliente.hk

Tel: [Redacted]

Ref code = A484C8CC

Clerk to Bills Committee on Smoking (Public Health) (Amendment) Bill 2019
Legislative Council Secretariat
Legislative Council Complex
1 Legislative Council Road
Central, Hong Kong

Dear Chairman Kwok Wai Keung,

Re: Bills Committee: Regulate Alternative Tobacco Products Instead of Ban
Urging for a Proper Public Consultation

There has been heated discussion regarding the proposed ban for new tobacco products such as e-cigarettes and heat not burn products. This letter is to express my opinions towards this issue.

As a bar owner in Prince Edward district, I have noticed a growing trend of my customers and employees using new tobacco product during the past years, and not cigarettes anymore. I can see the potential positive impact of these products which can improve the lives of smokers and even non-smokers nearby. These alternative tobacco products apparently have less lingering smell that will not upset people around us.

I am a supporter of regulating these new tobacco products instead of a ban, and my concern about the current proposal is that the government did not disclose data comparing cigarette and these new tobacco products. There has not been a proper consultation to gather the public opinion neither. If something has to be regulated or banned, the public needs a holistic view with both positive and negative aspects to choose their stance.

I hope that as being one of the LegCo members representing the functional /geographic Constituency, you will help to support a neutral approach considering the wellbeing of the Hong Kong people and respect the consumers' freedom of choice.

I urge that Government should regulate these products in Hong Kong, not ban them. This is a solution that will address Youth Smoking concerns while providing a better alternative for Adult smokers in Hong Kong.

Most grateful for your kind attention.

Sincerely,

PENTHOUSE

Authorized Signature

Penthouse

Reference code: 78305F89

Mr. Ipp Wai Chiu

Clerk to Bills Committee on Smoking (Public Health) (Amendment) Bill 2019
Legislative Council Secretariat
Legislative Council Complex
1 Legislative Council Road
Central, Hong Kong

Dear Chairman,

Re: Bills Committee: Regulate Alternative Tobacco Products Instead of Ban
Urging for a Proper Public Consultation

There has been heated discussion regarding the proposed ban for new tobacco products such as heat-not-burn products. I want to take this opportunity to express my opinions towards this issue with this letter.

I am a bar owner in Causeway Bay district, and have heard a lot about the recently proposed ban. Although I am not a smoker, I see and experience the benefits of heat not burn products from my customers as well as my stuffs.


I have many concerns about the full ban which the government is proposing now rather than to regulate Heated Tobacco Products. If these products are properly regulated like how cigarettes are being regulated now, they would not be reachable by youth. There are also not much official documents letting us know how well or bad are these products compared to cigarettes. I hope the government can let us know if there are authorities releasing research results from other countries that we can learn from.

The government has yet to conduct a public consultation before proposing a Bill to ban these products. It seems logical to receive and review the public's opinion on the subject, and evaluate the products' pros and cons to public health.

I hope that as being one of the LegCo members representing Causeway Bay geographic Constituency, you will help to support a neutral approach considering the wellbeing of the Hong Kong people and respect the consumers' freedom of choice

Based on the above, I urge the Government to regulate, not ban these alternative products in HK.

Best regards*



Spirit Sports Bar

T: [REDACTED]

CHAN HING

T: [REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

@hotmail.com

Director

code: 27A5CE80

Clerk to Bills Committee on Smoking (Public Health) (Amendment) Bill 2019
Legislative Council Secretariat
Legislative Council Complex
1 Legislative Council Road
Central, Hong Kong

Dear Kr Kwok,

Re: Bills Committee: Regulate Alternative Tobacco Products Instead of Ban
Urging for a Proper Public Consultation

As a bar owner located in Tsim Sha Tsui, I am writing this letter to express my view towards the proposed ban on e-cigarettes and alternative tobacco products. I hope you will reconsider this proposed ban and take a better approach to the people of Hong Kong.

There are many bars located Tsim Sha Tsui. I believe these new products can benefit my customers and those around them by providing a lower risk option. If more people change to these new products, there will be less smell which affects the non-smokers around the premises.

We were never given a chance to express our opinions to the government, and I believe Legco should listen to the opinion from all angles. At the same time, we should be informed regarding the positive and negative aspects of banning vs. regulating these new tobacco products. The government should make decisions after considering the opinion of smokers and non-smokers and achieve a balanced decision.

My opinion to the topic is that regulating is a better option compare to banning. Regulating is the best approach to ensure minors do not have access to these products. Meanwhile, banning the product will promote illegal sales of these products and minors will have access to them via unsafe channels.

I really hope that a consultation will be carried out by the government, from a neutral angle. This will provide concrete results for Legco members to make sound decisions for the people of Hong Kong.

I urge the Hong Kong Government to regulate alternative tobacco products (not ban) as this is a solution that will address Government's concerns while provide Adult Smokers a better smoking alternative.

Thank you for your attention,

Sincerely,



LULU BAR & RESTAURANT

[Redacted signature block]

[Redacted signature block]

REF CODE: 5E6D0E4

Clerk to Bills Committee on Smoking (Public Health) (Amendment) Bill 2019
Legislative Council Secretariat
Legislative Council Complex,
1 Legislative Council Road,
Central, HK

Dear KWOK Wai-keung,

**Re: Bills Committee: Regulate Alternative Tobacco Products Instead of
Ban Urging for a Proper Public Consultation**

I am writing to request the Bills Committee to reconsider the proposed ban on heat not burn products and alternative tobacco products. I believe that regulation is more balanced approach for the Hong Kong Government and for the Hong Kong Citizens.


There is a heated discussion about this proposed ban in the community where I belong. As I am a bar owner of Causeway Bay District and being a smoker myself, I can see and experience the benefits of the heat not burn product I am using, and of course from my customers who smoke. But more importantly, customers that visit my places who do not smoke, also express their appreciation of such switching, as there are less smell and pose less unpleasant effect to them.

I am a supporter of regulating these new tobacco products instead of a ban, and my concern about the current proposal is that the government did not disclose data comparing cigarette and these new tobacco products. There has not been a proper consultation to gather the public opinion neither. If something has to be regulated or banned, the public needs a holistic view with both positive and negative aspects to choose their stance.

It is clear the public has doubt if the Food & Health Bureau have considered views from the public or any interested parties. Besides, Hong Kong has a proven success on regulating of cigarette, which only adults can legally buy cigarettes. We kindly ask for a consultation and not just a public hearing to share our views and ask for the Government to provide feedback on our views directly.

Since you are a LegCo member in the Bills Committee, please speak up to the government to conduct a public consultation for the public to express their opinions. I hope you will support a balanced approach to this issue.

Sincerely,



Dynamic Culinary Concept Ltd.

Tel: [REDACTED]

code: C271D6E

[REDACTED] @ heat-hk.com

Fung Jerry Nicholas

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

General Manager

[REDACTED] @ gmail.com

Clerk to Bills Committee on Smoking (Public Health) (Amendment) Bill 2019
Legislative Council Secretariat
Legislative Council Complex
1 Legislative Council Rd
Central, Hong Kong

Dear Chairman Kwok,

Re: Regulate Alternative Tobacco Products for the Smoking (Public Health) (Amendment) Bill 2019:
To Bills Committee:

There has been heated discussion regarding the proposed ban for new tobacco products such as e-cigarettes and heat not burn products. This letter is to express my opinions towards this issue.

As a bar manager of the Price Edward district, I have noticed a growing trend of my customers and employees using new tobacco product during the past years, and not smoking cigarettes anymore. I can see the potential positive impact of these products to the lives of smokers and even non-smokers nearby. These alternative tobacco products apparently have less lingering smell that will not upset people around us. This is a big difference to our customers and staff who are non-smokers.

It seems like the government did not disclosed the pros and cons of these new tobacco products. There has not been a consultation to gather the opinions of the people in Hong Kong. The government should also address the positive and negative aspects if these new tobacco products are regulated or banned. The government has yet to conduct a public consultation before proposing a Bill to ban these products. It seems logical to receive and review the public's opinion on the subject, and evaluate the products 'pros and cons to public health.

I hope that you as being the Chairman of Bills Committee, you will help to support a neutral approach considering the wellbeing of the Hong Kong people and respect the consumers' freedom of choice.

I urge that Government should regulate these products in Hong Kong, not ban them. This is a solution that will address Youth Smoking concerns while providing a better alternative for Adult smokers in Hong Kong.

Sincerely,

Point

[Redacted]

[Redacted] @ gmail . com

Yung Ning Tsz

[Redacted]

C8D9E1F6



Handwritten signature.

致立法會秘書處

〈2019年吸煙(公眾衛生)(修訂)條例草案
委員會秘書

地址 = 香港中區立法會道1號立法會綜合大樓
立法會秘書處

參考編號 = 6670C60

致啟部主席 =

此禁令將增加走私煙，我們的業務和利潤受到強烈打擊。我們強烈要求公眾諮詢，不單為舉行公聽會，分享我們的意見，並要求政府回應我們的意見。我們對政府上星期提交的吸煙條例修訂，感到極度失望和遺憾。



致 = 立法會秘書處

<2019年吸煙(公眾衛生)(修訂)條例草案>
委員會秘書

地址 = 香港中區立法會道1號立法會綜合大樓
立法會秘書處

參考編號 = DPA0062A

親愛的郭先生 =

政府沒有就這個議題諮詢我們。我們這些小商戶經營數十年，一直向政府投訴，堅拒售賣任何數類型狀煙草產品給18歲以下的青少年。此禁令將增加走私煙，我們的業務和利潤受到強烈打擊。



2019 吸煙 (公眾衛生) 修訂) 條例草案 委員會秘書
香港中區立法會1號立法會綜合大樓立法會秘書處
參考編號 395FA90E

我是報檔一間店舖東主係荃灣區

開業20年一向生意都不錯

賣下煙, 賣下報紙, 一向都幾好。

自從有左不合發加熱香港吸食同
不合發向香港售賣

令到我的生意一落千象

我們強烈要求公眾諮詢而不僅僅

公聽會, 分享我們的意見

並要求政府直接回應我們的意見。

政府無視民意, 剝奪吸煙者自由選擇的權利
無視合法小商人的權益。

反對全禁加熱煙, 要求政府收回草案。

撤回禁售加熱煙產品的建議 改為規管



立法會秘書處

2019年吸煙公衆衛生修訂條例草案)委員會秘書處

香港中區立法會1號立法會綜合大樓立法會秘書處
參考編號 3B29FF61

香港營商環境已經非常困難，我們這些小商戶
正在掙扎求存，全禁加熱煙只會令合法營商的小
商戶石上加斤，實在是睇逆民意。

已經有好多煙民轉用左加熱煙，我們因無合法
途徑提供加熱煙產品而流失大量客人。

嚴重影響我們生計。

懇求議員根准政府加熱煙條例草案。

要政府公衆諮詢，多謝議員。

反對全禁加熱煙，懇請政府收回草案。

撤回禁售加熱煙產品的建議，改為規管。



致：立法會秘書處：

2019年吸煙（公眾衛生）修訂條例草案，委員會秘書處
香港中區立法會1號立法會綜合大樓立法會秘書處：

致：郭主席：

參考編號 48DT8BA9.

我是李先生我在觀塘區經營土多十多年。

我對政府上星期提交的吸煙條例修訂，
感到極度失望及遺憾。

請政府讓我們這些小商戶能為有意使用加熱煙
的煙民提供一條合法渠道購買。

政府應該諮詢業界意見，不要閉門造車，
黑箱作業！！

反對全禁加熱煙，請政府收回草案。
撤回禁售加熱煙產品的建議，改為規管。



致：立法會秘書處

立法會CB(2)1214/18-19(732)號文件

LC Paper No. CB(2)1214/18-19(732)

《2019年吸煙(公眾衛生)(修訂)條例草案》委員會秘書

地址：香港中區立法會1號立法會綜合大樓立法會秘書處

參考編號：45C910F2

致郭主席

我是祥記報社開

在街市中的檔位。我在祖堯村，已開業很久，眼見報社的生意由盛轉衰，以私煙的問題又日益嚴重，而近年興起的加熱煙很多報導也說明有害物質較少，為何政府又要全禁呢，反正全禁只會令加熱煙吸客轉去私煙市場，現在我們的生意很差，報紙及煙仔已減少，因為有些客已轉去連鎖店去買報紙及香煙，又有客轉用加熱煙，大生意有所影響利潤，而私煙又犯濫。自上加斤。所以現政府全禁加熱煙出售，因而會導致私煙更犯濫。政府無視民意，無視合法小商戶的權益及剝奪吸煙者的自由選擇權利，所以政府能撤回禁售加熱煙產品的建議，改為規管加熱煙。



祥記報社

啟

致：立法會秘書處：


2019年吸煙(公眾衛生)(修訂)條例草案,委員會秘書:
香港中國立法會1號立法會綜合大樓立法會秘書處:

致：郭主席：

參考編號 F27A1011

本人起觀塘經營報檔有十五年，一直為政府
把關重來要買任何類型煙草產品給
18歲以下青少年。

香港而家做生意已經非常困難
我地D小商戶要掙扎求存，全禁加熱
煙只會令合法營商D小商戶百上加斤
實在是悖逆民意。



反對全禁加熱煙，請政府收回草案！
撤回禁售加熱煙產品的建議，
改為規管

致：立法會秘書處：

立法會CB(2)1214/18-19(734)號文件
LC Paper No. CB(2)1214/18-19(734)


2019年吸煙(公眾衛生)修訂條例草案 賴會秘書
香港中區立法會1號立法會綜合大樓立法會秘書處
參考編號 970C5D9C
致：

本人是概生我在煙經營士多已經十年。

我對政府上星期提交的吸煙條例修訂
感到極度失望和遺憾。

此禁令將增加走私煙，對我們業界的
業務及利潤必會帶來重大打擊！

全力支持政府禁止未成年人士購買任何
煙草產品政策和措施，本人亦願意
協助控煙辦公室職員和執法人員
把關。



反對全禁加熱煙，請政府收回草案！
撤回禁售加熱煙產品建議，改為規管。

敬：立法會秘書處

立法會CB(2)1214/18-19(735)號文件
LC Paper No. CB(2)1214/18-19(735)

2019年吸煙公眾衛生(修訂)條例草案委員會秘書
香港中區立法會道1号立法會綜合大樓
立法會秘書處

參考編號：95492B3C

給郭主席：

關於政府禁止加熱煙於香港售賣，本人深表遺憾，私煙問題與日俱增，而現今轉食加熱煙的人數亦日見上升，如加熱煙產品一直未能讓煙民從合法途徑購買，倒頭來只會使私煙市場日益擴大，如此一來便是政府將市民推向犯法之路，而為了讓市民／煙民有更多自由選擇權利，我們願意協助執法人員把關，全力支持政府禁止未成年人士購買任何煙草產品的政策。

因此希望政府收回全禁加熱煙草案，而改為規管最為適當。

日報社

致：立法會秘書處：

2019年吸煙(公眾衛生)(修訂)條例草案委員會秘書：
香港中區立法會1號立法會綜合大樓立法會秘書處：

致：郭主席

參考編號 9C4D6B1

我哋係觀塘區嘅零售商，觀塘區大約有60家報檔、士多等等零售商。我哋要求讓我啲小商戶可以比口想用加熱煙嘅煙民提供一條合法渠道購買「全禁」加熱煙草產品，等於將吸煙人仕推向私煙市場，嚴重地影響我哋生計及剝削消費者的選擇權和保障。

反對全禁加熱煙，懇請政府
收回草案。

撤回禁售加熱煙產品的建議。
改為規管！



立法會秘書處

2019年吸煙公衆衛生修訂條例草案) 委員會秘書

香港中區立法會1號立法會綜合大樓立法會秘書處
參考編號 F33EE561

我是一個吸煙愛好者，但好上食少的煙，但無法戒煙。
如果憲法有合法加熱煙售賣，我就轉食加熱煙。

加熱煙對人影響也較少。

避免了我的家人聞到我的二手煙。

我希望政府收回上星期提交的吸煙條例修訂。
感到極度失望/遺憾。

全禁加熱煙草產品等於將吸煙人士推向私煙市場。
嚴重地影響我們估計及剝削消費者的選擇權和保障。

反對全禁加熱煙，懇請政府收回案。

撤回禁售加熱煙產品的建議，改為規管。



立法會秘書處

立法會CB(2)1214/18-19(738)號文件

LC Paper No. CB(2)1214/18-19(738)

2019年吸煙(公眾衛生)修訂條例草案委員會秘書
香港中區立法會1號立法會綜合大樓立法會秘書處
參考編號 96515E14

我是一間士多店主近共有不合法加熱煙何香港
信賣同吸食。

令到香港經營環境非常困難
我們這些小商戶正正在爭扎求存全禁加熱
煙只會令合法營商的小商戶上加介
實左是睇逆民意。

政府應該聽從業界聲音 不要盲目地製造車
黑箱作業。

熱烈地聽從業界的聲音希望議員懇請政府
收回草案。

撤回禁售加熱煙產品建議改為規管

反對全禁加熱煙 要求政府收回草案
撤回禁售加熱煙產品的建議,改為規管



致：立法會秘書處

《2019年吸煙(公眾衛生)(修訂)條例草案》委員會秘書

地址：香港中區立法會道1號立法會綜合大樓立法會秘書處

參考編號：5B92CC29

郭主席

本人是富華書報便利店之負責人，在油麻地區經營多年，已經歷各種大大小小之事情，唯讀今次本人認為政府禁止加熱煙，將會令我們的生意大受打擊。

現在香港營商環境已非常困難，我們這些小商戶正在掙扎求存，若然政府現在全禁加熱煙只會令合法經營的小商戶百上加斤，水貨市場亦只會日益狀大。

政府現在無視民意，剝奪吸煙者自由選擇的權利，無視合法小商戶的權益

因此本人將反對全禁加熱煙，亦懇請政府儘快收回草案。



致：立法會秘書處

2019年吸煙(公眾衛生)(修訂)條倒草案委員會秘書

地址：香港中區立法會道1號立法會綜合大樓立法會秘書處

參考編號：354D2AB1


給主席 郭偉強

我是莉莉士多的負責人薛先生，在佐敦吳松街已營運多年，其間認識不少以往常來買傳統煙的吸客轉食了加熱煙，亦知道政府正通過禁止加熱煙去減少吸煙率，但我認為這不是最有效的方法。

此禁令一出只會增加吸客對私煙的需求，我們的業務和利潤亦會因此受到強烈打擊。

我們這些小商戶經營多年，一直都為政府把關，堅拒售賣任何類型的煙草產品予18歲以下的青少年。

因此希望政府對有關法例進行修訂，讓我們這些小商戶能為有意使用加熱煙的煙民提供一條合法之渠道購買。



致=立法會秘書處

《2019年吸煙(公眾衛生)(修訂)條例草案》委員會秘書

地址=香港中區立法會道1號立法會綜合大樓立法會秘書處

參考編號: 10486B1F

致郭偉強主席:

主席你好。我是一名在東區經營多年的士多檔主。我地呢口小商戶經營咗咁耐，一直為政府把關，從來沒有售賣任何煙草產品予18歲以下的青少年。對於政府上星期提交的吸煙條例修訂，感到非常失望。現在很多煙民已轉食加熱煙，政府此舉一來無視民意，剥夺吸煙者的自由，無視商戶的權益。二來，全禁增加了私煙的出現，打擊我們現有的生意。再者，加熱煙確實比傳統香煙的危害降低，為什麼我們要斷絕煙民及商家的一個選擇。因此我強烈反對全禁，希望政府能收回草案。規管加熱煙將會是比較好的選擇。謝謝。



致：立法會秘書處

立法會CB(2)1214/18-19(742)號文件
LC Paper No. CB(2)1214/18-19(742)

(2019年吸煙)(公眾衛生)(修訂)條例草案委員會秘書

地址：香港中區立法層道1號立法層綜合大樓立法層秘書處

參考編號：877COA3B

致 鄧主席：你好，我是在沙田一間辦館的老闆，就最近政府打算立例禁止香港售賣加熱煙一事，本人十分氣憤，因政府沒有諮詢民意，且一意孤行令我們這些小商戶經營非常困難，因煙民很多已轉食加熱煙，他們轉去買走私煙，令我們生意額一路下跌，嚴重影響收入，煩請鄧主席幫幫我們發聲，反對禁賣加熱煙，改為規管！



謝謝！

曾佳

致：立法會秘書處：

2019年吸煙(公眾衛生)修訂條例草案，委員會秘書：
香港中區立法會1號立法會綜合大樓立法會秘書處
參考編號：4CC210FC
致：郭主席

我是一間報檔係尖沙咀已經幾十年了。

在這年幾之間我發覺好多我的客人都轉食了加熱煙，我們這些小商戶因無合法途徑提供加熱煙產品而流失大量客人嚴重影響我們小商戶生計！

全禁加熱煙等於將吸煙人士推向私煙市場

請政府應該諮詢業界意見，盡快舉行正式公眾諮詢！

反對全禁加熱煙，收回草案！
撤回禁售加熱煙產品改為規管！

致：立法會秘書處

立法會CB(2)1214/18-19(744)號文件
LC Paper No. CB(2)1214/18-19(744)

(2019年吸煙)(公眾衛生)(修訂)條例草案, 委員會秘書

地址：香港中區立法會道一號立法會綜合大樓立法會秘書處

參考編號：9997196F

致郭主席：本人為葵涌一間什貨店的老闆，我想表達一下就香港法例禁止
售賣加熱煙一事，我知道好多煙民已轉食加熱煙，變相我生意
愈賣愈少，嚴重影響我收入，而煙民用非法途徑購買加熱煙，
只會讓走私煙愈禁愈嚴重，政府更沒有公眾諮詢，剝奪煙民
自由選擇的權利，我希望政府重新考慮加熱煙可以合法售賣，
收回禁賣加熱煙的草案，盡快舉行公眾諮詢！！ 謝謝



致：立法會秘書處

《2019年吸煙（公眾衛生）修訂條例草案委員會秘書
地址：香港中區立法會道1號立法會大樓立法會秘書處

給：郭偉強主席

我是眾記報檔，在中西區經營報檔多年，
我對政府上星期提交的吸煙條例修訂，
感到極度失望。此禁令將增加走私煙，我們
的業務和利潤受到強烈打擊。

要求政府盡快舉行正式公眾諮詢，分享我
們的意見。

我們全力支持政府禁止未成年人士購買任何
煙草產品的政策和措施，我們亦願意協助
控煙辦公室職員和執法人員把員。

要求撤回禁售加熱煙產品的建議，改為規管
極度反對全禁加熱煙。懇請政府盡快收回
草案！

編號：



(40F4B631)

致：立法會秘書處

立法會CB(2)1214/18-19(746)號文件
LC Paper No. CB(2)1214/18-19(746)

2019年吸煙(公眾衛生)修訂條例草案委員會秘書

參考編號：D7D0FFJ

郭先生：

我是葵沙咀區的報檔，

我們這些小商戶經營數十年，一直為政府把關，堅拒售賣任何
類型煙草產品比18歲以下的青少年。

點解政府要全禁加熱煙產品，此禁會增加走私煙，

我們生意有強烈打擊，

反對全禁加熱煙，改為規管。

李怡記報檔

致：立法會秘書處

《2019年吸煙（公眾衛生）（修訂）條例草案》委員會秘書

香港中區立法會道一號立法會綜合大樓

立法會秘書處

參考編號：86BA26AF

致郭主席：

本人於香港營商數十年，一直為政府把關，奉公守法，堅拒售賣任何類形煙草產品給18歲以下青少年。

奈何眼見現今越來越多人轉食加鹼煙，但我們卻因無合法途徑提供該類產品而令客人嚴重流失，並影響我們生計。

近年，香港營商環境每況越下，我們這些小商戶只能掙扎求存，全禁加鹼煙只會令我們百上加斤，而且更會令私煙問題日趨嚴重。

因此，希望政府能收回全禁加鹼煙草案，盡快舉行正式的公眾諮詢，撤回禁售加鹼煙草產品建議，將之改爲規管。



致：立法會秘書處

〈2019年吸煙(公眾衛生)(修訂)條例草案〉
委員會秘書


地址：香港中區立法會道1號立法會綜合大樓
立法會秘書處

參考編號：E744 635C

致：郭先生。

政府無視民意，剝奪吸煙者自由選擇的權利
無視合法小商戶的權益。

已經有好多煙民轉咗食加熱煙，我們因無合法途
徑提供加熱煙產品而流失大量人客，嚴重影響
我們生計。



立法會秘書處

2019 吸煙、公眾衛生修訂條例草案委員會秘書
香港中區立法會1號立法會綜合大樓

立法會秘書處

參考編號: 481E9E24

希望政府在規管加熱煙的法例通之前

推出臨時規管措施以堵塞任何法律的漏洞，

保障使用加熱人士的權益，

令到吸煙人士可以合法賣到新產品加熱煙

使到煙民可以有一個選擇。

盡快舉行正式的公眾諮詢

反對全禁加熱煙，請求政府收回草案

撤回禁售加熱煙產品的建議 改在規管



我係昇平報樓，係元朗區

參考編號: CB1D930D

我做左報樓二十幾年，如象市場好多人轉左加熱煙。

加熱煙不是電子煙，点解政府加熱煙同電子煙混淆左。
電子煙係尚未，加熱煙係用煙草，希望政府撤回禁
售加熱煙產品的建議，改為規管。

我哋全力支持政府禁止未成年人士購買任何煙草產品的

政策和措施，我哋好願意協助控煙辦公室職


員同執法人員把關。懇請政府收回草案

署，立法會秘書處。

地址香港中區立法會道1號立法會綠石大樓立法會秘書處

2019年吸煙公眾衛生修訂條例草案委員會秘書

給郭偉強主席



Product Source Marketing Ltd.

Telephone: (852) 2330-3325
Fax No. : (852) 2330-3405

E-mail: info@productsource.com.hk
Website: www.productsource.com.hk

Unit 404, 4th Floor, Hunghom Commercial Centre Block B, 37 Ma Tau Wai Road, Hunghom., Kowloon, Hong Kong.

Clerk to Bills Committee on Smoking (Public Health) (Amendment) Bill 2019
Legislative Council Secretariat
Legislative Council Complex
1 Legislative Council Road
Central, Hong Kong

Dear Chairman Kwok,

Re: Bills Committee: Regulate Alternative Tobacco Products
- Smoking (Public Health) (Amendment) Bill

I am writing on behalf of Product Source Marketing Ltd to urge the HKSAR government to consider regulate (not ban) Alternative Tobacco Products such as heated tobacco products.

We run a sourcing business in Hong Kong and China and we are aware that many of our smoking colleagues have already switched to using heated tobacco products. While we understand smoking is harmful, we fully respect their choice of lifestyle. However, since the benefits of switching to Alternative Tobacco Products are clear when compared to traditional cigarettes (such as less smell, much reduced fire safety issues due to lack of burning, etc.), we see no reason the government not giving smokers who choose not to quit smoking yet another choice that could potentially benefitting both smokers themselves, as well as non-smokers around them.

Our company places high priority in ensuring our employees' well-being, and we see the availability of Alternative Tobacco Products would represent an opportunity that could further improve the safety and comfort of the workplace for smoking and non-smoking colleagues alike.

We earnestly hope the Government would see the benefits that Alternative Tobacco Products could bring to Hong Kong people and allow the product to enter Hong Kong with the appropriate regulation.

Our voices should be heard properly and formally. We are asking for a real Public Consultation so that we can let the government officials understand public concerns and address what the public in Hong Kong wants.

We are asking that the HK Government to implement regulation, not a ban on these heated tobacco products in Hong Kong.

Thank you.

Yours sincerely,

Product Source Marketing Ltd

(Ref- EC1EA653)

Clerk to Bills Committee on Smoking (Public Health) (Amendment) Bill 2019
Legislative Council Secretariat
Legislative Council Complex
1 Legislative Council Road
Central, Hong Kong

Dear Mr Kwok,

Re: Bills Committee: Regulate Alternative Tobacco Products Instead of Ban
Urging for a Proper Public Consultation

Recently, there has been a lot of news regarding the proposed ban for new tobacco products such as e-cigarettes and heat not burn products. This letter is to express my views towards this issue.

I am a bar owner in Tsim Sha Tsui district, and a large percentage of my patrons and smokers are currently using new tobacco products. During the past years, I have noticed more and more customers are using these new tobacco products, and not cigarettes anymore. From my exposure of these new tobacco products, I can see the potential benefits of these products to improve the lives of smokers and those around them.

At this point, it seems like the government has not disclosed the strengths and weaknesses of these new tobacco products. There has not been a consultation to gather the opinions of the people in Hong Kong. The government should also address the positive and negative aspects if these new tobacco products are regulated or banned.

Since I am a bar owner, there are smokers around me during work. Since heat not burn products do not have a strong smell, there is no lingering tobacco smell on my body when I return home. My family and those around me benefitted by having this new tobacco product.

Since you are the LegCo member, I hope that you will help support a neutral and balanced approach to the wellbeing of the consumers' freedom of choice.

I also request that you to request the government to conduct a public consultation where the public and consumers can share their concerns and viewpoints towards this issue.

Based on the above, we strongly ask the Government to regulate, not ban these alternative tobacco products in Hong Kong.

Thank you for your attention.

Sincerely,

Man Li

THE OLD MONK BAR & GRILL
4 HART AVENUE TST
[REDACTED]

REF: 169ECBD2



Clerk to Bills Committee on Smoking (Public Health) (Amendment) Bill 2019
Legislative Council Secretariat
Legislative Council Complex
1 Legislative Council Road
Central, Hong Kong

Dear Chairman,

Re: Bills Committee: Regulate Alternative Tobacco Products
Instead of Ban
Urging for a Proper Public Consultation

I would like to request the government to openly consider the needs of smokers in Hong Kong by regulating e-cigarettes and alternative tobacco products. The recently proposed ban will strongly harm current tobacco users, not only their health but also to non-smokers. A regulation towards these products will provide a better alternative for smokers. Please allow me to share my thoughts.


As a current smoker and a bar/restaurant owner in Tsim Sha Tsui district, I routinely come in contact with many smokers. After being exposed to heat not burn products, I believe these new tobacco products can provide a better alternative to those who choose to smoke.

The government has not initiated a consultation on the topic before proposing a final ban on new tobacco products. I believe the government should reconsider this decision and openly initiate a public consultation. A public consultation allows the government to fully understand the benefits of these products, and consumers can voice their opinion on this topic. Regulation ensures that minors do not have access to these new tobacco products, while a full ban will encourage illegal sales without any form of regulation.

Since you are a Legco member in the Bills Committee, I request that you to support a neutral & balanced approach to protect public health. Also, I hope that the government will initiate a public consultation to ensure all voices and opinions are heard.

The Government should regulate, not ban these alternative tobacco products in Hong Kong.

Sincerely,



The big tree pub.

[Redacted signature block]

Tel: 852- [Redacted]
Code: 82189AB1

Clerk to Bills Committee on Smoking (Public Health) (Amendment) Bill 2019
Legislative Council Secretariat
Legislative Council Complex
1 Legislative Council Road
Central, Hong Kong

Dear Chairman,

Re: Bills Committee: Regulate Alternative Tobacco Products
Instead of Ban
Urging for a Proper Public Consultation

I would like to request the government to openly consider the needs of smokers in Hong Kong by regulating alternative tobacco products. The recently proposed ban will strongly harm current tobacco users, not only their health but also to non-smokers. A regulation towards these products will provide a better alternative for smokers. Please allow me to share my thoughts.

As a current smoker and a bar owner in Central district, I routinely come in contact with many smokers. After being exposed to heat not burn products, I believe these new tobacco products can provide a better alternative to those who choose to smoke.

The government has not initiated a consultation on the topic before proposing a final ban on new tobacco products. I believe the government should reconsider this decision and openly initiate a public consultation. A public consultation allows the government to fully understand the benefits of these products, and consumers can voice their opinion on this topic. Regulation ensures that minors do not have access to these new tobacco products, while a full ban will encourage illegal sales without any form of regulation.

Since you are a LegCo member in the Bills Committee, I request that you to support a neutral & balanced approach to protect public health. Also, I hope that the government will initiate a public consultation to ensure all voices and opinions are heard.

The Government should regulate, not ban these alternative tobacco products in Hong Kong.

Sincerely,



Cassio



@ cassio.com



BF8 B5BB7

邵儀強主席

我反對全面禁止加熱煙，我使用了
3年，感覺自己不會影響其他人，請政府
再重新考慮

梁仲權

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED] @ hkphab.org.hk

To: Bills Committee Chairman Kwok Wai Keung

I am the user of heat not burn product (IQOS),
I think I have the right to choose product (cigarette) in
Hong Kong. Pls consider again what HK citizen need.
& let the heat-not-burn product available in HK.

Thank You

~~Lam~~ Lam Tung Yu

[REDACTED]


[REDACTED]@yahoo.com.hk

郭偉強主席：

本人是加熱煙用家，覺得政府禁制加熱煙對我們
十分不公平，我們應該有權選擇，現要求政府重新考慮
加熱煙國家要求，否則禁制後只可選擇傳統煙草

產品。

郭美華 郭榮美華

 @t6hotmail.com



To: Bills Committee Chairman Kwok Wai Keung

I am strongly disagree government ban on heat-not-burn products. Hong Kong is a modern city, and accept the diversity of culture. I have the right to choose less harm product. I strongly urge the government to reconsider and let us have a freedom to choose.

Harry Chan Hong Henry


[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]@gmail.com

郭偉強 主席

本人已使用 IQOS 加熱煙兩年，我非常反對全面禁止
加熱煙，~~我希望~~因為我認為加熱煙遠比傳統煙傷害小，
加少我們應該有選擇權利，希望政府可重新考慮規管
加熱煙

梁仲權



敬 事 貴 的 郭 偉 強 主 席

本人為煙民一名，現已轉食加熱煙，自己覺得戒煙遙不可及，只是希望能減低對身邊家人及朋友的影響，因為煙味的確比傳統煙少。

我堅決反對政府全面禁止售賣加熱煙，因為此舉只會助長私煙，對煙民來說亦沒有保障，希望政府儘快收回草案，回應市民/市場訴求，儘快引入加熱煙！

煙民 孔嘉偉
上

注：網上登記參考號碼 —

Dear Mr. Kwok Wai Keung,

Regarding the ban of e-cigarettes, I am writing to express my concerns on the proposed ban of e-cigarettes and other alternative tobacco products.

As a member of HKICPA, I believe that evidence to support assumptions are always fundamental in all aspects, in which the proposed ban do not show enough supporting evidence to the proposal. There has been numerous international studies proving alternative tobacco products are significantly less harmful to traditional conventional cigarette smoking. Some of the other jurisdictions even use these products as a way to quit smoking. I believe that the Government does not take enough consideration from these examples for the ban towards e-cigarettes.

As a non-smoker, we all have friends who are smokers and are wishing to switch to alternative tobacco products. Not only if they prefer these products due to less odour and nuisance caused to others, but also less harm will be made to their families. By offering an alternative product would not be harmful, but in other way a better choice for these smokers and their families.

I believe that proper regulation could aid the process of smoke banning and the Government should take more consideration from the reference from the counterparts in other jurisdictions.

Yours sincerely,

Maggie Ngai (membership id: A46023)



To: Bills Committee Chairman Kwok Wai Keung

My name is Lee Ka Yiu. Regarding the Gov. on proceeding on implementing a ban on heat-not-burn products, I am writing to express my disagreement of banning this product and support to regulatory measure instead.

①. The public only knew about the ban from the news, and no proper consultation was conducted, which is not fair.

I believe that regulation and education are indeed better ways to prevent youth smoking initiation. I urge the government to reconsider, i.e. to regulate rather than to ban, and respect consumer rights and freedom to choose.

Full name: Lee Ka Yiu

Mobile no: [REDACTED]

email: [REDACTED]@gmail.com

To: Bills Committee Chairman Kwok Wai Keung

My Name is Alexander SHPONKO. Regarding the
Government/Legislative Council's proceedings on implement
on heat-not-burn products, I AM writing to EXPRESS MY
disagreement of banning this product and support to
Regulatory measures instead

1. Either you BAN smoking of any kind at all or
you allow alternative way of inhaling tobacco
products. By banning heat-not-burn products
you are introducing Double Standards!!

SHPONKO Alexander

+852- [REDACTED]

[REDACTED]@yahoo.com

To: Bills Committee

My name is Louis WENG TAN. Regarding
the Government Legislative Council's proceedings on
implementing a ban on heat-not-burn products.

I am writing to express my disagreement of
banning this products and support to
regulatory measures instead.

Reduced harmful substance in heat-not-
burn product compared to conventional
cigarettes

I believe that regulations and education are
included better ways to prevent youth smoking
initiation. I urge the government to reconsider
to regulate rather than to ban, and
respect consumer rights and freedom to choose

Fullname: Louis WENG TAN

mobile no:

Email:

@yahoo.com.hk.

To Bills Committee Chairman
Kwok Wai Keung.

My name is Cheung Wai Keung

① The right to use heat-non-
~~to~~ burn products.

I believe that regulation and
education are indeed ~~the~~ better
ways to prevent youth smoking
initiation.

Cheung Wai Keung

~~60300000~~

~~keny@cheung~~@gmail.com.

鄧偉強主席:

My name is Pang Mo Ching. Regarding the Government/Legislative Council's proceedings on implementing a ban on heat-not-burn products, I am writing to express my disagreement of banning this product and support to regulatory measures instead.

The right to use heat-not-burn products

I believe that regulation and education are indeed better ways to prevent youth smoking initiation. I urge the government to reconsider, i.e. to regulate rather than to ban, and respect consumer rights and freedom to choose.

Pang Mo Ching.

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]@hotmail.com.

30/03/19.

To: Bills Committee Chairman Kwok Wai Keung.

My name is CHAN LUK MING. Regarding the Government / Legislative Council's proceeding on implementing a ban on heat-not-burn products, I am writing to express my disagreement of banning this product and support to regulatory measures instead.

1. The right to use heat-not-burn products.

I believe that regulation and education are indeed better ways to prevent youth smoking initiation. I urge the government to reconsider, i.e. to regulate rather than to ban, and respect consumer right and freedom to choose.

Full name: CHAN LUK MING.

Mobile no: [REDACTED]

Email: [REDACTED] @Yahoo.com.hk.

To: Bills Committee Chairman
Kwok Wai Kening

Legalise 1Q05!

Grace So.

[REDACTED]@gmail.com

[REDACTED]

郭偉強主席：

本人是 Raymond Tsang, 得知政府正立法
全禁加熱煙, 所以寫信給你, 表達
我們反對全禁加熱煙。

1. 對環境好啲
2. 比煙民多個選擇

本人認為政府應重新考慮監管化
整全禁。

Tsang Man Fai Raymond

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]@yahoo.com

My name is Laa Chun Yau Xaver. I am writing to express my disagreement
of banning ~~this~~ hot - not burn products.

we should ^{have} ~~have~~ the freedom of ~~what~~ ~~we want~~ for choosing what we want. I think regard.
~~it is~~ ~~is~~ is a better way.

LAA CHUN YAU XAUION.

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED] @gmail.com.

鄧偉強主席：

本人是林先生，

得知政府全禁加熱煙


本人支持規管，而不是禁示！

市民要有自己選擇權利。

所以規管代替全禁！！

林先生

Thun



郭偉強主席：

立法會CB(2)1214/18-19(772)號文件
LC Paper No. CB(2)1214/18-19(772)

本人是 陳天明 反對全面禁止電子煙，希望政府可以聽聽我們的聲音。我們是真正用家，我們知道加熱煙及電子煙的好處是什麼，因此改轉吸加熱煙，亦正因此，希望政府重新考慮。

陳天明

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]@hotmail.com

郭偉強 律師:

本人是劉嘉欣 反對全面禁止加熱煙。

我們有自由選擇自己喜歡的煙草產品。希望政府
可以重新考慮。

劉嘉欣

[REDACTED]@gmail.com

[REDACTED]

郭偉強主席:

立法會CB(2)1214/18-19(774)號文件

LC Paper No. CB(2)1214/18-19(774)

本人是馮可欣，現特以此信表達自己對政府
全面禁止加熱煙這議案的不滿，我認為應以
規管煙草政策取代禁止進口或售賣或使用。

馮可欣

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]@hotmail.com

To: Bills Committee Chairman ~~Kwok~~ Kwok Wai Keung

My Name is Kong Long To. ~~Re:ar~~ Regarding the Government/
Legislative Council's proceedings on implementing a ban on heat-not-burn
products, I am writing to express my disagreement of banning this
product and support to regulatory measures instead.

1. I hope to ^{have} the right to use heat-not-burn products

I believe that regulation and education are indeed better ways
to prevent youth smoking & initiation. I urge the government to
reconsider, i.e. to regulate rather than to ban, and respect
consumer rights and freedom to choose.

Full name: Kong Long To

Mobile Number: [REDACTED]

Email: [REDACTED]@yahoo.com.hk

郭偉強

本人陳耀雄，是違反禁令而禁止加蓋煙

— 加蓋煙比傳統煙少害處

希望以規管取代全禁

Dear Sir
陳耀雄



致：立法會秘書處

《2019年吸煙(公眾衛生)(修訂)條例草案》
委員會秘書

地址：香港中區立法會道1號立法會綜合大樓立法會
秘書處

參考編號：411E3BF7

給郭偉強主席

我是氹氹地 我來自元朗區

本人對政府全禁加熱煙的決定極為失望，
因為在每況越下的營商環境下，加熱煙
是我們一個增加收入、改善生意的工具，可是
政府卻一意孤行，不問各界意見就要全禁，
這樣豈不是令我們失去一個希望、機會？

致：立法會秘書處

2019年吸煙(公眾衛生)修訂條例草案委員會秘書

參考編號：713 69862

郭先生：

我是旺角文記書報社檔主

鄰近的時，南韓已批准合法買賣加熱煙，越和越多犯罪集團從外地走私未完稅煙，影響我們正當經營生意。
政府沒有就這個議題諮詢我們，

反對全禁加熱煙，改為規管。

文記書報社

致：立法會秘書處

以 2019 年吸煙（公眾衛生）修訂條例草案委員會秘書 77.

地址：香港中區立法會道 1 號立法會綜合大樓立法會秘書處

參考編號：38F26005

給：郭偉強主席。

我在釐煙區，順利辦館負責人，在順利那三十多年。
希望政府在提管加熱煙的法例通過之前，推出臨時規
管措施以堵塞任何法律的漏洞，保障使用加熱煙
人士的權益，政府應該諮詢業界意見，不要閉門
造車，黑箱作業，我是順利辦館負責人反對全禁
加熱煙，懇請政府收回草案。



順利辦館

順利辦館上

2019年

致：立法會秘書處

2019年吸煙(公眾衛生)(修訂)條例

草案委員會秘書

參考編號：CBSD6948

郭偉強主席

我是旺角區售賣報紙小商戶

近年有許多煙民轉用左加熱

煙，我們因無合法途徑提供

俾食加熱煙人仕，影響我們生

計，為何其他鄰近地方有售賣但

我哋香港沒有得買希望政府

聽取我們聲音，撤回禁售，改

為規管。

此致。

雄記報檔




立法會秘書處

<2019 年吸煙 (公眾衛生) (修訂) 條例草案>委員會秘書

地址: 香港中區立法會道 1 號立法會綜合大樓立法會秘書處

郭主席你好:

我是西區一名報販檔主，開店幾十年，見證香港發展，香港的小店生存空間愈來愈困難，逐漸被連鎖超市 / 便利店取代。我們只能依靠薄利多銷及相熟的顧客幫襯來維持生計，而其中香煙佔我們生意份額不少。但是一些熟客近來已經沒有幫襯，據他們說，政府不容許加熱煙於正常合法渠道售賣，他們只能轉去私煙市場。政府沒有稅收；商戶收入減少；市民鋌而走險，政府全禁的決定實在是 <三輸> 之局面。懇請政府再度三思，開放公眾諮詢，了解實際市場所需，實行 <三贏> 之策。



(7E57ED99)

致：立法會秘書處


《2019年吸煙(公眾衛生)(修訂)條例草案》委員會秘書

地址：香港中區立法會15立法會綜合大樓立法會秘書處

參考編號：20B44F3C

給鄭偉強主席：

主席你好，我係陳麗媚，我對全禁加熱煙草有一些個人意見希望政府會關注，我在葵青區做左報檔已經好耐，亦一路守規不賣香煙於18歲以下人仕，但眼見私煙問題猖獗，如果全禁加熱煙只會令問題惡，而且很多的報導也說加熱煙的有害物質較少，為何唔比加熱煙雅，我覺得如果推出，對政府，吸煙人仕和散販也有好處，而且政府也須要公眾諮詢才合理，反對全禁加熱煙，懇請政府收回草案，撤回全禁改為規管不是更得民心嗎？


陳麗媚

致：立法會秘書處

(2019年吸煙(公眾衛生)(修訂)條例草案)委員會秘書

地址：香港中區立法會道1號立法會大樓立法會秘書處

code: 15BA9742

郭偉強主席：

我係一個不吸煙的人，我都不喜歡吸人二手煙。

如果加熱煙產品可以減少煙味，對人嘅影響也較少。

點解唔可以用加熱煙代替，政府重要無諮詢公眾。

就全禁加熱煙，簡直就係埋箱作業，希望政府。

收回草案！要求公眾諮詢，



趙仲康

致：立法會秘書處

<2019年吸煙(公眾衛生)(修訂)條例草案>委員會秘書

地址：香港中區立法會道1號立法會綜合大樓立法會秘書處

參考編號： 6267 DBD 9

郭主席：
作為小商戶，我們感到很失望，
政府轉轉太全禁加熱煙，另到很多香煙吸
客，走向私煙市場我們經營困難，
希望政府給多一條生路我們，懇請政府
收回草案，把全禁改為規管！多謝

區彩
上

致：立法會秘書處 <2019年吸煙(公眾衛生)(修訂)條例草案>
委員會

地址：香港中區立法會道，號立法會大樓立法會秘書處

郭主席你好，本人是中西區一商販，特意來信表達意見，懇請主席及各議員可以聆聽我們小市民意見。政府在沒有諮詢公眾下突然飛表「一刀禁」的吸煙修訂條例，無視商戶及煙民所需，剝削煙民選擇危害較少的產品的權利。對於商戶而言，眼見私煙愈來愈猖獗，政府「禁賣唔禁食」的政策只會倡使煙民走向私煙市場，既不能收取稅收，甚至助長市民鋌而走險。對於我們商戶而言，利益只會日漸減少。望政府能垂啟公眾諮詢，聆聽市民意見，重新考慮修訂條例草案。感謝

謝明記

編號：5066BD122

致：立法會秘書處：

2019年吸煙公衆衛生(修訂)條例草案委員會秘書
香港中區立法會1號立法會綜合大樓立法會秘書處
參考編號：A2E90337
致：

本店名叫文記在九龍區經營士多，解館都有十幾年了，
近排香港營商環境十分困難樣樣都差
例如香煙我覺得起街上好多人(我的客人)
都轉左食加熱煙這樣增加走私煙，
必定把我哋的業務和利潤受到強烈
打擊！政府無視民意，剝奪吸煙者自由選擇
權利，無視合法小商戶的權益！

反對全禁加熱煙，懇請政府收回草案！
撤回禁售加熱煙產品建議改為規管



致：立法會秘書處

(2019年吸煙)(公眾衛生)(修訂)條例草案，委員會秘書

地址：香港中區立法會道一號立法會綜合大樓立法會秘書處

參考編號：EE03E759

致郭主席：本人為葵涌區一間書報社的負責人，近日知道政府打算立例禁止售賣加熱煙，本人非常關注，因我知道有很多煙民已轉食加熱煙，他們走向私煙市場購買，嚴重影響我生意額，收入減少，其實東南亞有很多國家可以合法售賣，點解香港唔可以，另外政府又沒有諮詢民意，竟一刀切立例禁止售賣，絕對唔合理，我希望政府可以舉行公眾諮詢，收回全禁加熱煙的草案！！



立法會秘書處
立法會道 1 號
立法會綜合大樓

Reference number is: **587BA6CB**

致：《2019年吸煙(公眾衛生)(修訂)條例草案》委員會主席 郭偉強議員

反對禁售電子煙及加熱煙

每當我在電視上看見由政府公民教育委員會製作的廣告，教育香港廣大市民如何【尊重不同價值，包容不同觀點】時，我就馬上聯想起近期社會發生一連串的事情，當中有正在探討和審議的議題；全面禁止進口、製造、售賣、分發和宣傳另類吸煙產品。

這件事對我來說沒有甚麼利害關係亦不藉得討論因為我不是吸煙人仕；反之，我對政府及持份者在不同場合各執一辭不肯雙讓的態度使我聯想到在推行公民教育時政府有否以身作側，還是講一套做一套。

要知道做任何事情都有兩面，譬如很多食物、運動有其益處亦有其弊處視乎多與少，吸煙也是。對吸煙人士來說可以提神可以減壓等等，但亦對其健康有所傷害，那就是使用者如何看待和理解它。持份者甚至政府在處理任何事都需要得到各方面的平衡。既然特首要為青年人把關打從這個切入點去教育青少年人吸煙的禍害，提高買賣電子煙的門檻至 21 歲或以上，擴大禁煙範圍，甚至重罰商戶若買賣電子煙給青少年等等。讓使用電子煙或加熱煙的吸煙人仕對自己選擇吸煙的風險為自己負責任。這是自由社會人民的權利。

如雙方能夠【尊重不同價值，包容不同觀點】來看待電子煙及加熱煙，那就達致政府以身作則的成效，那麼公民教育便可說是成功。讓成年吸煙人仕對他們的自由和選擇並向自己對吸煙的風險負責任吧。

此致

LI KWOK YIN

立法會秘書處

〈2019年吸煙公眾衛生修訂條例草案〉委員會秘書

地址：香港中區立法會道1號立法會大樓立法會秘書處

致郭主席：

懇請政府收回草案，重新考慮草案修訂，規管新型減害產品，容許商戶合法售賣給18歲以上成年吸煙人士，讓煙民多一選擇。鄰近國家如日本、韓國，甚至其他先進國家如歐洲多國已承認加熱煙的這產品，讓當地市民合法買賣，為何香港作為另一先進大都會反而全禁？這是剝削各方的權益，請政府開放公眾諮詢，聆聽市民的意見，重新考慮更好的條例修訂草案，不要一刀切的全禁。



編號：BED CIEA7

致：立法會秘書處

2019年吸煙(公眾衛生)修訂條例草案委員會秘書

參考編號：3DAD7BAD

郭偉強主席

我是旺角區高華報檔，
我對政府提交的吸煙條例修訂，感到極度失望，
此禁令將增加走私煙，我們的業務和利潤受到強烈打擊。
我希望政府撤回禁售加熱煙產品的建議，改為規管。



致：立法會秘書處


(2019年吸煙)(公眾衛生)(修訂)條例草案, 委員會秘書

地址：香港中區立法會道一號立法會綜合大樓立法會秘書處

參考編號：CA4D9A2F

致：郭主席：我是在沙田區經營報紙檔，在沙田區經營超過三十年。我想表達最近政府立例禁賣加熱煙一事，本人感到十分失望，全禁加熱煙，不但令我這些小商戶生意大受打擊，而且會令走私煙問題增加，所以本人希望政府可以撤回全禁加熱煙方案，要有公眾諮詢

謝謝！



致：立法會秘書處。

(2019年吸煙)(公眾衛生)(修訂)條例草案，委員會秘書。


地址：香港中區立法會1號立法會綜合大樓立法會秘書處。

參考編號：C202EBC4

致郭主席：

本人為葵涌一家書報社的老闆，對於近日政府提出的全禁，加熱煙方案，本人有幾個意見想在此表達。加熱煙於近年開始流行，比起傳統煙草的氣味及煙灰比較少，而且危害較少。近年政府推出了不少政策去打擊煙草，但平心而論成效不大。本人認為更可取的方法為循序漸進，給煙民有更健康的（加熱煙）的選擇，此舉不但對環境的危害減少，而且我們書報社的生意亦不會有太大的影響。所以，本人建議撤回禁售加熱煙產品的建議，但改為規管，讓煙民可以有更健康的選擇！

路華書報社



致立法會秘書處。

(2019吸煙)(公眾衛生)(修訂)條例草案，委員會秘書。

地址：香港中區立法會1號立法會綜合大樓立法會秘書處

參考編號：B4DFCF28

致郭主席：

本人是安蔭邨一家書報社的老闆，得知政府近日就全面禁售加熱煙提出了新方案，特來信表達一下意見。近年來本人聽到不少的顧客~~轉~~由傳統煙草轉食了加熱煙，原因大多因為可以減少對家人二手煙的影響。坦白說，若然「一刀切」要一名煙民戒煙是極為困難的事情，若然戒不了，政府何不給煙民一個更健康，對環境更少傷害的方法呢？若然政府堅持「全禁」加熱煙，只會令煙民重新轉食傳統煙草，對環境及健康更差，^熱甚至會出現走私加熱煙的問題。所以本人希望政府可以撤回全禁加熱煙的方案，重新公眾諮詢。謝謝。

祝工作愉快



致：立法會秘書處：

2019年吸煙(公眾衛生)(修訂)條例草案委員會秘書：

香港中區立法會1號立法會綜合大樓立法會秘書處：
參考編號：B29 BE823


致：

我是董小姐我在旺角區經營士多店超過十年了。

我們強烈要求公眾諮詢，而不僅僅是舉行公聽會，
分享我們的意見，並要求政府直接回應我們的意見。
政府無視民意，剝奪吸煙者自由選擇的權利，
無視合法小商戶的權益。

政府應該諮詢業界意見，不要閉門造車黑箱作業。

反對全禁加熱煙，懇請政府收回草案！
撤回禁售加熱煙產品的建議，改為規管



致：立法會秘書處：

(2019年吸煙)(公眾衛生)(修訂)條例草案，委員會秘書

地址：香港中區立法會一號立法會綜合大樓立法會秘書處，

參考編號：332188FA

致郭主席：

本人是在沙田區一家士多，經營超過廿年，對於政府提出的全禁加熱煙方案，本人有少許意見，希望郭主席可以幫我們發聲，就近年加熱煙在東南亞區都可以發賣，為何香港唔可以，以我所知加熱煙比傳統香煙危害較少，污染環境亦可改善，為何政府沒有公眾諮詢要一刀切全禁，香港亦已經很多人轉食加熱煙，煙民被迫買走私煙，令我們小商戶生意額下跌，影響生計，盼郭主席幫我們要求公眾諮詢，反對全禁加熱煙，謝謝！！



林煒原

致：立法會秘書處

(2019年吸煙(公眾衛生)(修訂)條例草案)委員會秘書

地址：香港立法會道1號立法會綜合大樓立法會秘書處

參考編號：4196C8A6

劉鄧先生：

你好，我係戴富明，開左一個士多辦館係葵青區。大大話話都有十年八年，我一向支持政府，但今鋪真係睇唔過眼，政府全禁加熱煙一來無公眾諮詢，二來唔知加熱煙的好處，依隻加熱煙一來無火，二來氣味無咁影響人，為乜要全禁，咁迫班加熱煙既吸客鋌而走險去買私煙，計我話規管就更加實際，全禁就等於斬胎暗避沙虫，我強烈要求公眾諮詢，反對全禁加熱煙，政府立刻收回草案挽回民心。

達昌士多

致郭偉強主席：

我是一個小商戶，之前政府提交的吸煙條例修訂，實令我極度遺憾。政府應該公眾諮詢，不但要舉行公聽會，分享市民意見，並要求政府直接回應煙民，商界既意見！

眾所周知加熱煙既危害較傳統煙少，氣味和煙灰亦較少，對人的影響也較少，避免了~~任何~~煙民及他們家人的傷害加大。我們小商戶亦會為政府把關，拒絕售賣任何煙草產品給十八歲以下的人。全禁加熱煙只會增加走私煙，我們的業務，生計將受到嚴重打擊，所以我們反對全禁加熱煙，請政府收回草案！

煩請郭主席，各議員，各官員，幫幫手，令政府重新考慮，撤回禁售加熱煙產品的建議，改為規管此類產品！麻煩各位！

參考編號= 377B8D32

張少新

致：立法會秘書處。

《2019年吸煙(公眾衛生)(修訂)條例草案》委員會秘書

地址：香港中區立法會1號立法會綜合大樓立法秘書處。

<71EB6507>

給郭主席：

我在沙田區的馬寶

言已報社，開在小区内商場，周邊環境重有些局
舖、士多、餐館，人流都可以，生意比較平均，不
過近上年起，生意都不穩定，因区内多了私煙及轉吸加熱煙。

現在做生意比以前非常困難，在我們小商店正在爭
扎求存，客人減少，自然生意不好。又因現在私煙非常多及有
些客轉吸加熱煙，因而大大有所影響，而現在政府又全
禁加熱煙不能售賣，無型中大大影響生計，而政府又不證
詢業界意見及盡快舉行正式公眾諮詢。不是黑箱作業！！
本人反對全禁加熱煙，請政府收回草案！改為合法
規管加熱煙。



馬寶記報社

啟。

致：立法會秘書處

((2019年吸煙(公眾衛生)(修訂)條例草案)委員會秘書

地址：香港中區立法會道1號立法會大樓立法會秘書處

參考編號：A2F4E8Ec

劉鄭先生：

我地係旺角區的零信商，區內有幾十間報紙檔，士多，
等零信商，有好多煙民已經專食加熱煙，我地因無合法
途徑提供加熱煙產品，令大量客人流失，嚴重影響我地
生計，希望政府諮詢業界意見，唔好閉門造車，我地所
有業界都反對全禁加熱煙，懇請政府收回草案！

報販™2007

珍記

香港中區

立法會道1號

立法會綜合大樓

立法會秘書處

《2019年吸煙(公眾衛生)(修訂)(條例草案)》委員會主席

郭偉強議員:

①我們是元朗區的零售商約50間。

②此禁令將增加走私煙，我們的業務和利潤受到強烈打擊。

③政府沒有就這個議題諮詢我們。

④我們強烈要求公眾諮詢，而不僅僅是舉行公聽會，分享我們的意見，並要求政府直接回應我們的意見。

⑤我們這些小商店經營幾十年，一直為政府把關，堅拒售賣任何類型煙草產品給18歲以下的青少年。

政府應該諮詢業界意見，不要閉門造車，黑箱作業！








我們強烈反對食物衛生局修例全禁加熱煙，懇請政府收回草案！ 2019年 劉永基

何君堯議員








亞寶報



吉利商店



日報社

