

致郭偉強及立法會《2019 年吸煙（公眾衛生）（修訂）條例草案》委員會

郭主席及委員們：

我想表達我的意見 - 請規管香港的其他煙草產品。


面對現實，香港現時有 60 萬名吸煙者。現在有一個更好的替代產品，我認為沒有理由禁止它。政府不應該從吸煙者那裡剝奪選擇。

我無法理解政府禁止它們的理論基礎。煙民有需求，我們無法阻止這一點，那麼為甚麼不允許吸煙者轉向更好的替代產品呢？

我促請香港政府監管香港的其他煙草產品！

祝，

身體健康



姓名：

日期：11/8/19.

致立法會《2019年吸煙（公眾衛生）（修訂）條例草案》委員會委員


立法會《2019年吸煙（公眾衛生）（修訂）條例草案》委員會委員：

我希望香港政府能夠規範其他煙草產品，例如加熱煙，而非禁令！

政府需要向公眾傳達正確的信息，說明這些產品不是針對非吸煙者，而是給吸煙人士的更好選擇，而不是繼續吸食傳統捲菸。

全面的法規和教育框架（如傳統捲菸所做的那樣）將幫助我們最大限度地發揮新產品的效益，並最大限度地降低與之相關的風險(如吸引年輕人)。

請在香港立法監管這些產品。



姓名: Ling Kit Yan

日期: 17th May 2019

致郭偉強主席

立法會《2019 年吸煙（公眾衛生）（修訂）條例草案》委員會


郭主席：


我反對禁令，並支持對替代煙草製品的全面監管。

煙草控制的目標是保護公眾健康，香港在傳統香煙的確做得很好。

新的煙草產品讓吸煙者有更好選擇。世界其他國家，如日本，韓國和亞洲的馬來西亞，已經在監管這些替代煙草產品，如加熱非燃燒煙產品。為何香港政府不能這樣做，並在香港落實規管呢？

敬上，





姓名：

日期： 11-5-2019

致郭偉強及立法會《2019 年吸煙（公眾衛生）（修訂）條例草案》委員會

郭偉強主席：

我支持這些替代煙草產品的監管！我不同意禁令！

對我來說，政府提出的禁令建議正在剝奪吸煙者的選擇，一個與傳統捲菸相比更好的選擇。

多項研究已經證明，與傳統香煙比，這些新型煙草產品的危害風險要低得多。但是，政府似乎忽略了這些事實。

我希望你能幫助我們糾正錯誤，幫助實施一個系統的監管框架，並在公共教育中做好規劃。

請聽取我們的意見，並在香港立法監管（不禁止）這些替代煙草產品。

僅致，



LAM WING KWAN

姓名：

日期： 11 May 2019

Hon. Kwok Wai Keung, Chairman of Bills Committee
2019 Smoking (Public Health) (Amendment) Ordinance
Legislative Council

Chairman Kwok and Bills Committee Members:


I am deeply disappointed by the news that the government is going to ban alternative tobacco products, such as e-cigarettes, and heat not burn products.

I urge the Hong Kong Government to regulate these products instead!

I saw some news about US FDA authorizing the IQOS device in the States. I wonder what's the response of the HKSAR government to that.

Formulate a proper set of rules and regulations to manage the device is the reasonable choice.

Thanks,



Name:

Chun Chun Hui

Date:

11 - 5 - 19


Attn. Mr. Kwok Wai-keung, JP and Bills Committee,
Bills Committee on 2019 Smoking (Public Health) (Amendment) Ordinance
Legislative Council Complex, Legislative Council

Dear Mr. Kwok and Bills Committee Members:

I would like to request the government to openly consider the needs of smokers in Hong Kong by regulating these alternative tobacco products such as Heat not Burn products. A regulation (not a ban) towards these products will provide a better alternative for smokers.

I can see the potential positive impact of these products which can improve the lives of smokers and even non-smokers nearby. These alternative tobacco products apparently have less lingering smell that will not upset people around us. I cannot believe the government is trying to force the people who has already switched to go back to smoking!

Kind regards,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to be 'Woo Renjie', written over a horizontal line. The signature is stylized with a large initial 'W' and a long, sweeping underline.

Woo Renjie

Name

Date: 11 May 2019


Attn. Mr. Kwok Wai-keung, JP and Bills Committee,
Bills Committee on 2019 Smoking (Public Health) (Amendment) Ordinance
Legislative Council Complex, Legislative Council


Dear Mr. Kwok and Bills Committee Members:

I would like to request the government to openly consider the needs of smokers in Hong Kong by regulating these alternative tobacco products such as Heat not Burn products. A regulation (not a ban) towards these products will provide a better alternative for smokers.

I can see the potential positive impact of these products which can improve the lives of smokers and even non-smokers nearby. These alternative tobacco products apparently have less lingering smell that will not upset people around us. I cannot believe the government is trying to force the people who has already switched to go back to smoking!

Kind regards,





Name

Date:

11-9-2019

致郭偉強及立法會《2019 年吸煙（公眾衛生）（修訂）條例草案》委員會

郭主席及委員們：

我想表達我的意見 - 請規管香港的其他煙草產品。


面對現實，香港現時有 60 萬名吸煙者。現在有一個更好的替代產品，我認為沒有理由禁止它。政府不應該從吸煙者那裡剝奪選擇。


我無法理解政府禁止它們的理論基礎。煙民有需求，我們無法阻止這一點，那麼為甚麼不允許吸煙者轉向更好的替代產品呢？

我促請香港政府監管香港的其他煙草產品！

祝，

身體健康





姓名：

日期： 11-5-2019


Attn. Mr. Kwok Wai-keung, JP and Bills Committee,
Bills Committee on 2019 Smoking (Public Health) (Amendment) Ordinance
Legislative Council Complex, Legislative Council

Dear Mr. Kwok and Bills Committee Members:

I would like to request the government to openly consider the needs of smokers in Hong Kong by regulating these alternative tobacco products such as Heat not Burn products. A regulation (not a ban) towards these products will provide a better alternative for smokers.

I can see the potential positive impact of these products which can improve the lives of smokers and even non-smokers nearby. These alternative tobacco products apparently have less lingering smell that will not upset people around us. I cannot believe the government is trying to force the people who has already switched to go back to smoking!

Kind regards,

A stylized handwritten signature in black ink, consisting of several overlapping loops and a long horizontal stroke at the end.A handwritten signature in black ink that reads "Samuel Sui" in a cursive script.

Name

Date: 2019.5.11.

致郭偉強及立法會《2019 年吸煙（公眾衛生）（修訂）條例草案》委員會

郭主席及委員們：

我想表達我的意見 - 請規管香港的其他煙草產品。

面對現實，香港現時有 60 萬名吸煙者。現在有一個更好的替代產品，我認為沒有理由禁止它。政府不應該從吸煙者那裡剝奪選擇。

我無法理解政府禁止它們的理論基礎。煙民有需求，我們無法阻止這一點，那麼為甚麼不允許吸煙者轉向更好的替代產品呢？

我促請香港政府監管香港的其他煙草產品！

祝，

身體健康



Ip Ka Hui Karmen

姓名：

日期：11-5-2019.

致郭偉強及立法會《2019 年吸煙（公眾衛生）（修訂）條例草案》委員會

郭主席及委員們：

我想表達我的意見 - 請規管香港的其他煙草產品。


面對現實，香港現時有 60 萬名吸煙者。現在有一個更好的替代產品，我認為沒有理由禁止它。政府不應該從吸煙者那裡剝奪選擇。

我無法理解政府禁止它們的理論基礎。煙民有需求，我們無法阻止這一點，那麼為甚麼不允許吸煙者轉向更好的替代產品呢？

我促請香港政府監管香港的其他煙草產品！

祝，

身體健康





姓名：

日期： 2019.5.11

致郭偉強及立法會《2019年吸煙（公眾衛生）（修訂）條例草案》委員會

尊敬的郭先生及條例草案委員會成員：


對替代煙草製品（如加熱煙產品）的監管是正確的做法！

我的一些朋友和同行已轉用新的煙草產品，作為非吸煙者，我認為新煙草產品的氣味較少，當他們在聚會中吸煙時，四周的空氣質量得到了很大改善。實施禁令，這是否意味著他們需要再次回歸傳統香煙？政府為何要從他們手中奪去這個選擇？

我真的希望政府聽取香港人的看法，並不要禁止這些替代煙草製品。

祝，

工作順利



Ken Fung

姓名：

日期： 11 May - 2019

致郭偉強及立法會《2019 年吸煙（公眾衛生）（修訂）條例草案》委員會

尊敬的郭先生及條例草案委員會成員：


對替代煙草製品（如加熱煙產品）的監管是正確的做法！

我的一些朋友和同行已轉用新的煙草產品，作為非吸煙者，我認為新煙草產品的氣味較少，當他們在聚會中吸煙時，四周的空氣質量得到了很大改善。實施禁令，這是否意味著他們需要再次回歸傳統香煙？政府為何要從他們手中奪去這個選擇？

我真的希望政府聽取香港人的看法，並不要禁止這些替代煙草製品。

祝，

工作順利



LAM REN CHOI

姓名：

日期： 11.5.19

致郭偉強主席

立法會《2019 年吸煙（公眾衛生）（修訂）條例草案》委員會


郭主席:

我反對禁令，並支持對替代煙草製品的全面監管。

煙草控制的目標是保護公眾健康，香港在傳統香煙的確做得很好。

新的煙草產品讓吸煙者有更好選擇。世界其他國家，如日本，韓國和亞洲的馬來西亞，已經在監管這些替代煙草產品，如加熱非燃燒煙產品。為何香港政府不能這樣做，並在香港落實規管呢？

敬上,



So Sik Hang

姓名:

日期: 2019/5/11

Attn. Mr. Kwok Wai-keung, JP and Bills Committee,
Bills Committee on 2019 Smoking (Public Health) (Amendment) Ordinance


Hello Chairman Kwok and Bills Committee Members:

I cannot agree on the government's proposal to ban heat-not-burn products, and all other tobacco products altogether.

I genuinely believe regulations is the better approach.

There are research and findings about how the new class of tobacco products are much less risky and will benefit smokers who decided to switch to them. I understand the concerns about adolescence use, but that exactly why you should regulate them! Please compose a comprehensive regulatory framework, so that both smokers and general public are protected.

Please give us a good choice.



Kwok Yan Y7

Name: 11/05/19

Date:

To:

Mr. Kwok Wai-keung, JP and Bills Committee,
Bills Committee on 2019 Smoking (Public Health) (Amendment) Ordinance
Legislative Council Complex,
Legislative Council,
Central, Hong Kong


Dear Mr. Kwok (Chairman) and Bills Committee Members:

I am writing this letter to express my concerns regarding the recently proposed bill to ban alternative tobacco products such as heat not burn products. I believe the government should consider a different approach and should regulate these products instead of banning them completely.

This ban is a bad act for Hong Kong's tobacco control. If you really want to protect public health, please protect the choices of smokers. We really should give them a better option and allow them to switch to it, banning it just doesn't help at all.

The Hong Kong Government should regulate the new products for everyone's best interest. Please regulate these new tobacco products for the protection of public health.

Regards,


_____

Name

Date: 11-5-2019

致立法會《2019年吸煙（公眾衛生）（修訂）條例草案》委員會委員


立法會《2019年吸煙（公眾衛生）（修訂）條例草案》委員會委員：

我希望香港政府能夠規範其他煙草產品，例如加熱煙，而非禁令！

政府需要向公眾傳達正確的信息，說明這些產品不是針對非吸煙者，而是給吸煙人士的更好選擇，而不是繼續吸食傳統捲菸。

全面的法規和教育框架（如傳統捲菸所做的那樣）將幫助我們最大限度地發揮新產品的效益，並最大限度地降低與之相關的風險（如吸引年輕人）。

請在香港立法監管這些產品。

 YUEN Kin Lai

姓名：

日期： 11 MAY, 19

To:

Mr. Kwok Wai-keung, JP and Bills Committee,
Bills Committee on 2019 Smoking (Public Health) (Amendment) Ordinance
Legislative Council Complex,
Legislative Council,
Central, Hong Kong


Dear Mr. Kwok (Chairman) and Bills Committee Members:

I am writing this letter to express my concerns regarding the recently proposed bill to ban alternative tobacco products such as heat not burn products. I believe the government should consider a different approach and should regulate these products instead of banning them completely.

This ban is a bad act for Hong Kong's tobacco control. If you really want to protect public health, please protect the choices of smokers. We really should give them a better option and allow them to switch to it, banning it just doesn't help at all.

The Hong Kong Government should regulate the new products for everyone's best interest. Please regulate these new tobacco products for the protection of public health.

Regards,





Name

Date: 11/05/19

致郭偉強主席

立法會《2019 年吸煙（公眾衛生）（修訂）條例草案》委員會


郭主席：

我反對禁令，並支持對替代煙草製品的全面監管。

煙草控制的目標是保護公眾健康，香港在傳統香煙的確做得很好。

新的煙草產品讓吸煙者有更好選擇。世界其他國家，如日本，韓國和亞洲的馬來西亞，已經在監管這些替代煙草產品，如加熱非燃燒煙產品。為何香港政府不能這樣做，並在香港落實規管呢？

敬上，



姓名：

David Tang, Tony Rur Luan

日期：

10th May.

Hon. Kwok Wai-keung, JP and Bills Committee,
Legislative Council Complex
Legislative Council
2019 Smoking (Public Health) (Amendment) Ordinance

Dear Mr. Kwok and the Bills Committee Members:


I would like to express my opinion – I disagree with the ban on alternative tobacco products.
The Government should regulate them instead!

From what I learnt about the alternative tobacco products, I understand these products can potentially reduce harmful chemicals than traditional cigarette smoke.

A blanket ban not only leaves smokers in Hong Kong the worse option of continuing smoking traditional cigarettes, it might also encourage more illicit trade as there are many other ways in which smokers can still get hold of the these products.

As such, please regulate these alternative tobacco products in Hong Kong.

Thank you and best regards,



Lo MEI CHI

Name

Date: 11/5/2019

Hon. Kwok Wai-keung, JP and Bills Committee,
Chairman of Bills Committee on 2019 Smoking (Public Health) (Amendment) Ordinance
Legislative Council Complex
Legislative Council

Dear Kwok Wai Keung and the Bills Comm Members:


I do not agree with the ban on alterative tobacco products and I support regulation for it!

Some of my friends and peers have switched to new tobacco products, as a non-smoker, I think new tobacco products has less smell and the air quality has so much improved when they smoke near myself in gathering activities. With the ban, does it mean that they will need to revert to the traditional cigarette again? Why is the Government taking this away this option from us?

Smokers in Hong Kong should have the choice of using something less harmful for themselves and people around them. Why is the Government taking away a better tobacco alternative that is available? We should be given a choice – both for the smoker and the non-smoker.

I urge you to take a role to help implement a more balanced approach and to regulate, not ban, the alternative tobacco products in Hong Kong.

Thank you and best regards,



LEUNG MING SANG

Name

Date: 11/8

Hon. Kwok Wai Keung, Chairman of Bills Committee
2019 Smoking (Public Health) (Amendment) Ordinance
Legislative Council

Chairman Kwok and Bills Committee Members:


I am deeply disappointed by the news that the government is going to ban alternative tobacco products, such as e-cigarettes, and heat not burn products.

I urge the Hong Kong Government to regulate these products instead!

I saw some news about US FDA authorizing the IQOS device in the States. I wonder what's the response of the HKSAR government to that.

Formulate a proper set of rules and regulations to manage the device is the reasonable choice.

Thanks,



Name: Lai Tat In
Date: 11 May, 2019.

Hon. Kwok Wai-keung, JP and Bills Committee,
Chairman of Bills Committee on 2019 Smoking (Public Health) (Amendment) Ordinance
Legislative Council Complex
Legislative Council

Dear Kwok Wai Keung and the Bills Comm Members:


I do not agree with the ban on alternative tobacco products and I support regulation for it!

Some of my friends and peers have switched to new tobacco products, as a non-smoker, I think new tobacco products has less smell and the air quality has so much improved when they smoke near myself in gathering activities. With the ban, does it mean that they will need to revert to the traditional cigarette again? Why is the Government taking this away this option from us?

Smokers in Hong Kong should have the choice of using something less harmful for themselves and people around them. Why is the Government taking away a better tobacco alternative that is available? We should be given a choice – both for the smoker and the non-smoker.

I urge you to take a role to help implement a more balanced approach and to regulate, not ban, the alternative tobacco products in Hong Kong.

Thank you and best regards,



Isa Leung
Name Leung Shuk Ching Isa

Date: 11 MAY 2019

Attn. Mr. Kwok Wai-keung, JP and Bills Committee,
Bills Committee on 2019 Smoking (Public Health) (Amendment) Ordinance
Legislative Council Complex, Legislative Council

Dear Mr. Kwok and Bills Committee Members:

I would like to request the government to openly consider the needs of smokers in Hong Kong by regulating these alternative tobacco products such as Heat not Burn products. A regulation (not a ban) towards these products will provide a better alternative for smokers.

I can see the potential positive impact of these products which can improve the lives of smokers and even non-smokers nearby. These alternative tobacco products apparently have less lingering smell that will not upset people around us. I cannot believe the government is trying to force the people who has already switched to go back to smoking!

Kind regards,



Name *Lee Petchpailin*

Date: *11/5/2019.*

To:

Mr. Kwok Wai-keung, JP and Bills Committee,
Bills Committee on 2019 Smoking (Public Health) (Amendment) Ordinance
Legislative Council Complex,
Legislative Council,
Central, Hong Kong

Dear Mr. Kwok (Chairman) and Bills Committee Members:

I am writing this letter to express my concerns regarding the recently proposed bill to ban alternative tobacco products such as heat not burn products. I believe the government should consider a different approach and should regulate these products instead of banning them completely.

This ban is a bad act for Hong Kong's tobacco control. If you really want to protect public health, please protect the choices of smokers. We really should give them a better option and allow them to switch to it, banning it just doesn't help at all.

The Hong Kong Government should regulate the new products for everyone's best interest. Please regulate these new tobacco products for the protection of public health.

Regards,



Fong Chi Lai

Name

Date: 11/5/2019

Attn. Mr. Kwok Wai-keung, JP and Bills Committee,
Bills Committee on 2019 Smoking (Public Health) (Amendment) Ordinance
Legislative Council Complex, Legislative Council

Dear Mr. Kwok and Bills Committee Members:

I would like to request the government to openly consider the needs of smokers in Hong Kong by regulating these alternative tobacco products such as Heat not Burn products. A regulation (not a ban) towards these products will provide a better alternative for smokers.

I can see the potential positive impact of these products which can improve the lives of smokers and even non-smokers nearby. These alternative tobacco products apparently have less lingering smell that will not upset people around us. I cannot believe the government is trying to force the people who has already switched to go back to smoking!

Kind regards,



CHAN YIK TSAM.

Name

Date: 11 MAY 2019

To:

Mr. Kwok Wai-keung, JP and Bills Committee,
Bills Committee on 2019 Smoking (Public Health) (Amendment) Ordinance
Legislative Council Complex,
Legislative Council,
Central, Hong Kong


Dear Mr. Kwok (Chairman) and Bills Committee Members:

I am writing this letter to express my concerns regarding the recently proposed bill to ban alternative tobacco products such as heat not burn products. I believe the government should consider a different approach and should regulate these products instead of banning them completely.

This ban is a bad act for Hong Kong's tobacco control. If you really to protect public health, please protect the choices of smokers. We really should give them a better option and allow them to switch to it, banning it just doesn't help at all.

The Hong Kong Government should regulate the new products for everyone's best interest. Please regulate these new tobacco products for the protection of public health.

Regards,


_____

Name

Date: 11 MAY 2019.

Hon. Kwok Wai Keung, Chairman of Bills Committee
2019 Smoking (Public Health) (Amendment) Ordinance
Legislative Council

Chairman Kwok and Bills Committee Members:

I am deeply disappointed by the news that the government is going to ban alternative tobacco products, such as e-cigarettes, and heat not burn products.

I urge the Hong Kong Government to regulate these products instead!

I saw some news about US FDA authorizing the IQOS device in the States. I wonder what's the response of the HKSAR government to that.

Formulate a proper set of rules and regulations to manage the device is the reasonable choice.

Thanks,


Name: *Huen Ngai King*

Date: *11 May 2019*

致郭偉強及立法會《2019 年吸煙（公眾衛生）（修訂）條例草案》委員會

尊敬的郭先生及條例草案委員會成員：


對替代煙草製品（如加熱煙產品）的監管是正確的做法！

我的一些朋友和同行已轉用新的煙草產品，作為非吸煙者，我認為新煙草產品的氣味較少，當他們在聚會中吸煙時，四周的空氣質量得到了很大改善。實施 禁令，這是否意味著他們需要再次回歸傳統香煙？政府為何要從他們手中奪去這個選擇？

我真的希望政府聽取香港人的看法，並不要禁止這些替代煙草製品。

祝，

工作順利



KONG SAN CHENG

姓名：

日期： 11-5-2019 .

致郭偉強及立法會《2019 年吸煙（公眾衛生）（修訂）條例草案》委員會

郭主席及委員們:

我想表達我的意見 - 請規管香港的其他煙草產品。


面對現實，香港現時有 60 萬名吸煙者。現在有一個更好的替代產品，我認為沒有理由禁止它。政府不應該從吸煙者那裡剝奪選擇。

我無法理解政府禁止它們的理論基礎。煙民有需求，我們無法阻止這一點，那麼為甚麼不允許吸煙者轉向更好的替代產品呢？

我促請香港政府監管香港的其他煙草產品!

祝,

身體健康



姓名: Lam Ho-chi

日期: 2019-5-11


Attn. Mr. Kwok Wai-keung, JP and Bills Committee,
Bills Committee on 2019 Smoking (Public Health) (Amendment) Ordinance
Legislative Council Complex, Legislative Council

Dear Mr. Kwok and Bills Committee Members:

I would like to request the government to openly consider the needs of smokers in Hong Kong by regulating these alternative tobacco products such as Heat not Burn products. A regulation (not a ban) towards these products will provide a better alternative for smokers.

I can see the potential positive impact of these products which can improve the lives of smokers and even non-smokers nearby. These alternative tobacco products apparently have less lingering smell that will not upset people around us. I cannot believe the government is trying to force the people who has already switched to go back to smoking!

Kind regards,



Yuet Fung Jeffrey Wan

Name

Date:

11/05/2019

Bills Committee on 2019 Smoking (Public Health) (Amendment) Ordinance

Legislative Council Complex, Legislative Council

Central, Hong Kong

Attn. Mr. Kwok Wai-keung, JP and Bills Committee,

Dear Chairman Kwok and Bills Committee Members:

I disagree with the government's decision to pose a ban on other alternative tobacco products.

I am a supporter of regulating these new tobacco products instead of a ban, and my concern about the current proposal is that the government did not disclose data comparing cigarette and these new tobacco products. If something has to be regulated or banned, the public needs a holistic view with both positive and negative aspects to choose their stance.

Right now, all I see is evidence that the new products are a better choice to smokers, and no evidence showing the introduction would encourage youth uptake.

I strongly oppose the ban, and believe regulation is the way out.

Thanks,

Sam

Chiu Ming

Name

Date:

11/5/2019.

To:

Mr. Kwok Wai-keung, JP and Bills Committee,
Bills Committee on 2019 Smoking (Public Health) (Amendment) Ordinance
Legislative Council Complex,
Legislative Council,
Central, Hong Kong


Dear Mr. Kwok (Chairman) and Bills Committee Members:

I am writing this letter to express my concerns regarding the recently proposed bill to ban alternative tobacco products such as heat not burn products. I believe the government should consider a different approach and should regulate these products instead of banning them completely.

This ban is a bad act for Hong Kong's tobacco control. If you really to protect public health, please protect the choices of smokers. We really should give them a better option and allow them to switch to it, banning it just doesn't help at all.

The Hong Kong Government should regulate the new products for everyone's best interest. Please regulate these new tobacco products for the protection of public health.

Regards,

 Li Chak To.
Name

Date: 12/5/2019.

Attn. Mr. Kwok Wai-keung, JP and Bills Committee,
Bills Committee on 2019 Smoking (Public Health) (Amendment) Ordinance
Legislative Council Complex, Legislative Council

Dear Mr. Kwok and Bills Committee Members:

I would like to request the government to openly consider the needs of smokers in Hong Kong by regulating these alternative tobacco products such as Heat not Burn products. A regulation (not a ban) towards these products will provide a better alternative for smokers.

I can see the potential positive impact of these products which can improve the lives of smokers and even non-smokers nearby. These alternative tobacco products apparently have less lingering smell that will not upset people around us. I cannot believe the government is trying to force the people who has already switched to go back to smoking!

Kind regards,

Francesca.

Dr. Chiu Sze.

Name

Date: 11 May 2019.


Attn. Mr. Kwok Wai-keung, JP and Bills Committee,
Bills Committee on 2019 Smoking (Public Health) (Amendment) Ordinance
Legislative Council Complex,
1 Legislative Council Road

Bills Committee Members and Chairman Kwok,

Please **regulate alternative tobacco products, do not ban them**. I mean both e-cigarettes and Heated Tobacco Products.

We should balance the benefits of both sides, the public and the 600 thousand existing smokers. Banning the alternative tobacco products is taking away a better choice from the smoking population.

The government should allow smokers access to the better alternatives, it's the government's job to work out a set of effective regulations and balance the pro con for the good of public health.



Name:

He Kayee

Date:

11/8/19

致郭偉強主席

立法會《2019 年吸煙（公眾衛生）（修訂）條例草案》委員會

郭主席：

我反對禁令，並支持對替代煙草製品的全面監管。

煙草控制的目標是保護公眾健康，香港在傳統香煙的確做得很好。

新的煙草產品讓吸煙者有更好選擇。世界其他國家，如日本，韓國和亞洲的馬來西亞，已經在監管這些替代煙草產品，如加熱非燃燒煙產品。為何香港政府不能這樣做，並在香港落實規管呢？

敬上，



姓名: SHINGAI WAI KI

日期: 11 MAY 2019

致郭偉強主席

立法會《2019 年吸煙（公眾衛生）（修訂）條例草案》委員會


郭主席：

我反對禁令，並支持對替代煙草製品的全面監管。

煙草控制的目標是保護公眾健康，香港在傳統香煙的確做得很好。

新的煙草產品讓吸煙者有更好選擇。世界其他國家，如日本，韓國和亞洲的馬來西亞，已經在監管這些替代煙草產品，如加熱非燃燒煙產品。為何香港政府不能這樣做，並在香港落實規管呢？

敬上，



PANG MIZ KAN.

姓名：

日期： 11 / 5 / 2019 .

致郭偉強及立法會《2019 年吸煙（公眾衛生）（修訂）條例草案》委員會

郭偉強主席：

我支持這些替代煙草產品的監管！我不同意禁令！


對我來說，政府提出的禁令建議正在剝奪吸煙者的選擇，一個與傳統捲菸相比更好的選擇。

多項研究已經證明，與傳統香煙比，這些新型煙草產品的危害風險要低得多。但是，政府似乎忽略了這些事實。

我希望你能幫助我們糾正錯誤，幫助實施一個系統的監管框架，並在公共教育中做好規劃。

請聽取我們的意見，並在香港立法監管（不禁止）這些替代煙草產品。

僅致，



Felicia Lo

姓名：

日期： 10 May 2019

致郭偉強及立法會《2019 年吸煙（公眾衛生）（修訂）條例草案》委員會

郭主席及委員們：

我想表達我的意見 - 請規管香港的其他煙草產品。


面對現實，香港現時有 60 萬名吸煙者。現在有一個更好的替代產品，我認為沒有理由禁止它。政府不應該從吸煙者那裡剝奪選擇。

我無法理解政府禁止它們的理論基礎。煙民有需求，我們無法阻止這一點，那麼為甚麼不允許吸煙者轉向更好的替代產品呢？

我促請香港政府監管香港的其他煙草產品！

祝，

身體健康

A large, stylized handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to be 'Silvia Tang', written over a horizontal line.

Silvia Tang.

姓名：

日期：11 May 2019.

致立法會《2019 年吸煙（公眾衛生）（修訂）條例草案》委員會委員


立法會《2019 年吸煙（公眾衛生）（修訂）條例草案》委員會委員：

我希望香港政府能夠規範其他煙草產品，例如加熱煙，而非禁令！

政府需要向公眾傳達正確的信息，說明這些產品不是針對非吸煙者，而是給吸煙人士的更好選擇，而不是繼續吸食傳統捲菸。

全面的法規和教育框架（如傳統捲菸所做的那樣）將幫助我們最大限度地發揮新產品的效益，並最大限度地降低與之相關的風險(如吸引年輕人)。

請在香港立法監管這些產品。



姓名：

日期：11-5-2019

2019 年吸煙（公眾衛生）（修訂）條例草案委員會

立法會大樓

郭偉強議員及條例草案委員會，

尊敬的郭議員及法案委員會成員：

我反對政府建議的替代煙草產品禁令。

在科技界，我們相信科學，尊重創新。現在，市場上出了一項新技術，沒有了解背後的科研，就僅僅根據其性質禁止產品是一種天真的行為。

我們應該對這些其他煙草產品更加開放，並參考日本，韓國和英國等其他國家的決定。我看到人們轉向新產品後，他們的生活得到了改善。

名稱：


蕭偉民

日期：

Attn: Chairman Kwok

Bills Committee on 2019 Smoking (Public Health) (Amendment) Ordinance

Legislative Council Complex,

1 Legislative Council Road

Attn. Mr. Kwok Wai-keung, JP and Bills Committee,

Dear Mr. Kwok Wai Keung & Bills Committee Members:

I fully support regulation for the Government to regulate alternative tobacco products in Hong Kong!

I see no reasons for the government to ban these products. There is scientific evidence that we are presented to a class of products that is a better choice for smokers.

I want to believe in a Hong Kong that encourages innovation and technological development. I believe regulating the new technology with requirements in quality and technical specifications can help smokers get access to a better product, yet, protect the public.

Regulate, not Ban!

Best



Name: Adrian Siu

Date: 10-May-2019

致 2019 年吸煙（公眾衛生）（修訂）條例草案委員


法案委員會成員：

政府擬議的替代煙草產品禁令非常令人沮喪。

科技日新月異，立令禁止它而不嘗試理解科學原理，對香港科研來說非常可怕。

政府應該以更全面的視角了解情況，請根據事實和科學做出判斷，讓我們相信政府關心創新。

請積極監管替代煙草產品。



姓名： 梁佩兒

日期： 10-5-2019

致 2019 年吸煙（公眾衛生）（修訂）條例草案委員

法案委員會成員：

政府擬議的替代煙草產品禁令非常令人沮喪。

科技日新月異，立令禁止它而不嘗試理解科學原理，對香港科研來說非常可怕。

政府應該以更全面的視角了解情況，請根據事實和科學做出判斷，讓我們相信政府關心創新。


請積極監管替代煙草產品。



姓名：



日期：



致立法會《2019 年吸煙（公眾衛生）（修訂）條例草案》委員會委員


立法會《2019 年吸煙（公眾衛生）（修訂）條例草案》委員會委員：

我希望香港政府能夠規範其他煙草產品，例如加熱煙，而非禁令！

政府需要向公眾傳達正確的信息，說明這些產品不是針對非吸煙者，而是給吸煙人士的更好選擇，而不是繼續吸食傳統捲菸。

全面的法規和教育框架（如傳統捲菸所做的那樣）將幫助我們最大限度地發揮新產品的效益，並最大限度地降低與之相關的風險(如吸引年輕人)。

請在香港立法監管這些產品。



姓名: Tom Chung

日期: 11-MAY-2019

致郭主席及立法會《2019 年吸煙（公眾衛生）（修訂）條例草案》委員會全體委員

尊敬的郭主席和法案委員會成員：


監管才是處理新煙草產品的正確方向。

擬議的禁令將是不合理的，不利於公共衛生。吸煙人士應該可以選擇比傳統吸煙更好的產品。這項禁令將限制吸煙人士繼續吸食更有害的捲煙。

如果落實監管，政府當局將有資源和權力檢查或調查銷售渠道是否符合法律規定。我相信店主不願意非法向青少年銷售，因為他們不想承擔法律風險。因此，監管將使青少年更難獲得另類煙草產品。

請落實全面監管。

獻上最好的祝福，



Handwritten signature of Chan Pui Chun, with the name written in English below it.

姓名：

日期： 11/8

致立法會《2019 年吸煙（公眾衛生）（修訂）條例草案》委員會委員


立法會《2019 年吸煙（公眾衛生）（修訂）條例草案》委員會委員：

我希望香港政府能夠規範其他煙草產品，例如加熱煙，而非禁令！

政府需要向公眾傳達正確的信息，說明這些產品不是針對非吸煙者，而是給吸煙人士的更好選擇，而不是繼續吸食傳統捲菸。

全面的法規和教育框架（如傳統捲菸所做的那樣）將幫助我們最大限度地發揮新產品的效益，並最大限度地降低與之相關的風險(如吸引年輕人)。

請在香港立法監管這些產品。



Chan Sun Ching

姓名：

日期： 11 - 5 - 2019

致郭偉強主席

立法會《2019 年吸煙（公眾衛生）（修訂）條例草案》委員會

郭主席：

我反對禁令，並支持對替代煙草製品的全面監管。

煙草控制的目標是保護公眾健康，香港在傳統香煙的確做得很好。

新的煙草產品讓吸煙者有更好選擇。世界其他國家，如日本，韓國和亞洲的馬來西亞，已經在監管這些替代煙草產品，如加熱非燃燒煙產品。為何香港政府不能這樣做，並在香港落實規管呢？

敬上，



姓名: LO KA WAI

日期: 11/5/19

Bills Committee on 2019 Smoking (Public Health) (Amendment) Ordinance

Legislative Council Complex, Legislative Council

Attn. Mr. Kwok Wai-keung, JP and Bills Committee,

Dear Kwok Wai Keung and Bills Committee Members:

I heard about the Public Hearings in April and I would also like to voice out my opinion here.


I agree with Regulation of these alternative tobacco products (such as Heated Tobacco Products) – banning them is not the right approach!

Many of my friends are smokers.

In a free market like Hong Kong, the government should not intervene the market and should trust their own citizens and let them make their own choices.

Support Regulation of Heated Tobacco Products in Hong Kong!





Name:

Date: 12-05-2019

Attn. to Chairman Kwok & Bills Committee

2019 Smoking (Public Health) (Amendment) Ordinance

Legislative Council Complex

Legislative Council, Central, Hong Kong

Dear Chairman Kwok and Bills Committee Members:

I support and believe that regulating new tobacco products (such as Heat not Burn products) is a more sensible approach for the Hong Kong Government.

I first knew the new tobacco products from word-of-mouth, there are many discussions going on, both online and offline channels. It's evident that these products are better choices to smokers, and to their families. I think youth uptake is the greatest concern now, and regarding that, it's the government's job to regulate and make sure these products are not accessible to youth, with proper education, regulation, and enforcement of laws.

I am not convinced that a ban is the resolution, instead, it just takes away a better choice for smokers.

Sincerely,



FELIX HUI

Name

Date: May 9, 2019

致郭偉強主席



立法會《2019 年吸煙（公眾衛生）（修訂）條例草案》委員會

郭主席及委員會委員：

我反對政府提倡的禁令，並且支持全面落實對替代煙草製品（例如加熱非燃燒產品）的監管措施。

新的煙草產品讓吸煙者有更好選擇。世界其他亞洲國家，如日本，韓國和馬來西亞，已經在監管這些替代煙草產品，例如加熱非燃燒煙產品。為何香港政府不能這樣做，並在香港落實規管呢？

小市民上，



姓名：

日期：



致郭偉強主席

立法會《2019 年吸煙（公眾衛生）（修訂）條例草案》委員會

郭主席及委員會委員：

我反對政府提倡的禁令，並且支持全面落實對替代煙草製品（例如加熱非燃燒產品）的監管措施。

新的煙草產品讓吸煙者有更好選擇。世界其他亞洲國家，如日本，韓國和馬來西亞，已經在監管這些替代煙草產品，例如加熱非燃燒煙產品。為何香港政府不能這樣做，並在香港落實規管呢？

小市民上，



黃凱麟.

姓名：

日期： 12 / 4 / 2019.

致郭偉強及立法會《2019 年吸煙（公眾衛生）（修訂）條例草案》委員會

郭主席及委員們：

請規管香港的其他煙草產品！


請認清現實，香港 60 萬名煙民。政府不應該從吸煙者那裡剝奪選擇更好替代品的權利。

我無法理解政府禁止它們的原因。煙民有吸煙的需求，我們無法阻止這一點，那麼為甚麼不允許吸煙者轉向更好的替代產品呢？

我促請香港政府監管香港的其他煙草產品，保障煙民的權利！

祝，

身體健康



姓名：馮成康

日期：12 MAY 19

致郭偉強及立法會《2019年吸煙（公眾衛生）（修訂）條例草案》委員會

郭偉強主席：

我支持這些替代煙草產品的監管！我不同意禁令！


對我來說，政府提出的禁令建議正在剝奪吸煙者的選擇，一個與傳統捲菸相比更好的選擇。

多項研究已經證明，與傳統香煙比，這些新型煙草產品的危害風險要低得多。但是，政府似乎忽略了這些事實。

我希望你能幫助我們糾正錯誤，幫助實施一個系統的監管框架，並在公共教育中做好規劃。

請聽取我們的意見，並在香港立法監管（不禁止）這些替代煙草產品。

僅致，

A handwritten signature in black ink, consisting of several loops and a vertical line, positioned above the printed name.

TANG Pui See

姓名：

日期：10 MAY 2019

致郭偉強主席及立法會《2019 年吸煙（公眾衛生）（修訂）條例草案》委員會全體委員：


親愛的郭主席和法案委員會委員：

請不要禁止替代煙草產品。政府應該對其進行監管（就像現實對傳統捲菸和酒精的做法一樣），並通過法律有效避免青少年接觸有關產品。

我不明白為什麼政府建議禁止，新產品對吸煙者來說是一個更好的選擇，政府應該積極教育吸煙人士，讓他們轉向更好的選擇，戒煙或轉用這些替代煙草製品。

監管將是青少年消費新一類煙草產品的更好方法，因為通過法規，政府和學校可以實施適當的課程和宣傳，以便在學校開始教育青少年在這個問題上的正確概念和態度。

我強烈反對禁止替代煙草製品，並認為監管才是出路。



Lo Wing Chai

姓名：

日期： 10 May 2019

立法會《2019年吸煙（公眾衛生）（修訂）條例草案》委員會

香港 中環

立法會綜合大樓

致郭偉強主席

郭主席和委員會成員：

我不同意政府決定禁止其他替代煙草產品。


我支持監管這些新煙草產品而不是實施禁令，我對目前方案的擔憂是：政府沒有披露對比香煙和這些新煙草產品的數據。如果必須對某些事情進行監管或禁止，公眾有權知道政府考慮的因素，做出方面全面考慮，以選擇自己的立場。

現在，我所看到的只是有證據表明新產品對吸煙者來說是更好的選擇，並且沒有證據表明引進會鼓勵年輕人開始吸煙。

我強烈反對禁令，並深信監管才是正確選擇。

祝

工作愉快



SEWA KUN CUBANG SHING.

姓名：

日期： 10/5/2019.

Chairman of Bills Committee on 2019 Smoking (Public Health) (Amendment) Ordinance

Legislative Council Complex / Legislative Council

To: Hon. Kwok Wai-keung, JP and Bills Committee,

Dear Chairman Kwok and Bills Committee Members:

As a young professional, I believe everyone should have their rights to choose between things. Everyone should be able to make their own decision instead of the government helping us to choose.

The government should not ban these new alternative tobacco products. Banning is not the way out, **REGULATION** is the solution. So people can choose the best product/ product the fits them if they decided not to quit smoking.

I truly hope the Government would listen to what the Hong Kong people think about the importance of having freedom of choice and stop banning these alternative tobacco products. Giving back the rights to choose to your people.

Thank you



VIVIAN CHAN

Name

12 MAY 19

Date:

致郭偉強議員及立法會《2019 年吸煙（公眾衛生）（修訂）條例草案》委員會


尊敬的郭先生及條例草案委員會成員：

請對替代煙草製品（如加熱煙產品）立法監管！

我的朋友和同行已轉用新的煙草產品，作為非吸煙人士，我明顯感受到新煙草產品的氣味較少，四周的空氣質量得到了很大改善。政府提出實施無理禁令，這是否意味著他們需要再次吸食傳統香煙？政府為何要取締這個選擇？

我真的希望政府尊重香港人的看法，並不要禁止這些替代煙草製品。

小市民敬上



姓名: Wong kit ching

日期: 12/5/2019


Attn. Mr. Kwok Wai-keung, JP and Bills Committee,
Bills Committee on 2019 Smoking (Public Health) (Amendment) Ordinance
Legislative Council Complex, Legislative Council


Dear Mr. Kwok and Bills Committee Members:

I would like to request the government to openly consider the needs of smokers in Hong Kong by regulating these alternative tobacco products such as Heat not Burn products. A regulation (not a ban) towards these products will provide a better alternative for smokers.

I can see the potential positive impact of these products which can improve the lives of smokers and even non-smokers nearby. These alternative tobacco products apparently have less lingering smell that will not upset people around us. I cannot believe the government is trying to force the people who has already switched to go back to smoking!

Kind regards,




Name

Date: 10. May. 19

Hon. Kwok Wai Keung, Chairman of Bills Committee
2019 Smoking (Public Health) (Amendment) Ordinance
Legislative Council

Chairman Kwok and Bills Committee Members:

I am deeply disappointed by the news that the government is going to ban alternative tobacco products, such as e-cigarettes, and heat not burn products.

I urge the Hong Kong Government to regulate these products instead!


I saw some news about US FDA authorizing the IQOS device in the States. I wonder what's the response of the HKSAR government to that.

Formulate a proper set of rules and regulations to manage the device is the reasonable choice.


Thanks,



Name:



Date:



Bills Committee on 2019 Smoking (Public Health) (Amendment) Ordinance

Legislative Council Complex, Legislative Council

Central, Hong Kong

Attn. Mr. Kwok Wai-keung, JP and Bills Committee,

Dear Chairman Kwok and Bills Committee Members:

I disagree with the government's decision to pose a ban on other alternative tobacco products.

I am a supporter of regulating these new tobacco products instead of a ban, and my concern about the current proposal is that the government did not disclose data comparing cigarette and these new tobacco products. If something has to be regulated or banned, the public needs a holistic view with both positive and negative aspects to choose their stance.

Right now, all I see is evidence that the new products are a better choice to smokers, and no evidence showing the introduction would encourage youth uptake.

I strongly oppose the ban, and believe regulation is the way out.

Thanks,



POOSA KUMAR

Name

Date: 10 / 05 / 2019.

Attn. Mr. Kwok Wai-keung, JP and Bills Committee,
Bills Committee on 2019 Smoking (Public Health) (Amendment) Ordinance
Legislative Council Complex
Legislative Council
Central, Hong Kong

Dear Chairman Kwok and Bills Committee Members:


Don't ban alternative tobacco products. The government should regulate it (just like what they do with traditional cigarettes and alcohol) and put things under control with laws and rules.

I don't see why the government propose to ban, I see the that the new product is a much better option for smokers, and the government should be actively engaging the smokers to allow them to make a better choice and quit or switch to these alternative tobacco products.

Regulating would be a better approach to teenagers' consumption of the new class of tobacco products, because through regulations, government and schools can implement suitable content of classes and activities to educate teens at school the right concept and attitude when it comes to this issue.

I strongly oppose the ban of alternative tobacco products, and truly stand by that regulation is the way out.

Best Regards,



PALA SUBBIAH

Name

Date: 10/05/2019

致郭偉強及立法會《2019 年吸煙（公眾衛生）（修訂）條例草案》委員會

郭主席及委員們：

我想表達我的意見 - 請規管香港的其他煙草產品。


面對現實，香港現時有 60 萬名吸煙者。現在有一個更好的替代產品，我認為沒有理由禁止它。政府不應該從吸煙者那裡剝奪選擇。

我無法理解政府禁止它們的理論基礎。煙民有需求，我們無法阻止這一點，那麼為甚麼不允許吸煙者轉向更好的替代產品呢？

我促請香港政府監管香港的其他煙草產品！

祝，

身體健康



姓名: ESTOK LEE

日期: 10-8-2019

致郭偉強及立法會《2019年吸煙（公眾衛生）（修訂）條例草案》委員會

尊敬的郭先生及條例草案委員會成員：


對替代煙草製品（如加熱煙產品）的監管是正確的做法！

我的一些朋友和同行已轉用新的煙草產品，作為非吸煙者，我認為新煙草產品的氣味較少，當他們在聚會中吸煙時，四周的空氣質量得到了很大改善。實施禁令，這是否意味著他們需要再次回歸傳統香煙？政府為何要從他們手中奪去這個選擇？

我真的希望政府聽取香港人的看法，並不要禁止這些替代煙草製品。

祝，

工作順利



Tam Siu Kei

姓名：

日期：10-5-2019

致郭主席及立法會《2019年吸煙（公眾衛生）（修訂）條例草案》委員會全體委員

尊敬的郭主席和法案委員會成員：


監管才是處理新煙草產品的正確方向。

擬議的禁令將是不合理的，不利於公共衛生。吸煙人士應該可以選擇比傳統吸煙更好的產品。
這項禁令將限制吸煙人士繼續吸食更有害的捲煙。

如果落實監管，政府當局將有資源和權力檢查或調查銷售渠道是否符合法律規定。我相信店主不願意非法向青少年銷售，因為他們不想承擔法律風險。因此，監管將使青少年更難獲得另類煙草產品。

請落實全面監管。

獻上最好的祝福，



姓名: Lam Tsz Lung

日期: 10/5/2019

立法會《吸煙(公眾衛生)(修訂)條例草案》委員會

各位立法會議員：

吸煙有害，係人都知，但是全禁電子煙和加熱煙只會將問題掃入地毯下面，等於無解決到問題。政府父母官，不能做鴛鴦，更不能斷人生計又當睇唔到，黑市走私犯人哈哈笑又當聽唔到！

全禁，只有姿勢

規管，先至實際

請各位立法會議員為民把關，拒絕全禁，支持規管！

陸世德

日期：10-5-19

親愛的郭偉強主席：

政府只顧博取一時的掌聲，對於報販多年來處於水深火熱的狀態不聞不問！

各位立法會議員是民意代表，本人懇請議員體恤報販多年來的苦況，不要讓走私人士奪走我們的生路！不要全禁，要有規管！

謝謝！

陸梅珍

日期：10/5

親愛的郭偉強主席：

政府只顧博取一時的掌聲，對於報販多年來處於水深火熱的狀態不聞不問！

各位立法會議員是民意代表，本人懇請議員體恤報販多年來的苦況，不要讓走私人士奪走我們的生路！不要全禁，要有規管！

謝謝！



日期：10-5-19

立法會秘書處

致立法會全體議員：

本人有聆聽早前立法會就全禁電子煙和加熱煙的公聽會，聽到主席鼓勵未出席而又想表達意見的市民繼續向立法會提交意見書。

本人早前因工作而未能出席立法會公聽會，特此向各位議員表達本人反對政府全禁電子煙和加熱煙的建議，因為全禁不合邏輯，亦不顧現實，只會殃及無辜的報販。政府不應浪費精力在全禁的措施，而應該集中資源在教育，使青年人不會吸煙，才是正確的做法。



日期：

10/5

各位尊貴的立法會議員：

請各位尊貴的議員不要忽略我們基層報販，為一個根本不能達到的目標而犧牲我們的生計。

反對全禁，支持規管加熱煙，杜絕黑市，保護報販生計。



日期：10-5-19

立法會秘書處

郭主席：

立法會公聽會的民意已經很清楚：

過半反對全禁

本人要求政府尊重民意，立即改為規管加熱煙！

馮惠琼

日期：10/5

立法會秘書處

致立法會全體議員：

本人有聆聽早前立法會就全禁電子煙和加熱煙的公聽會，聽到主席鼓勵未出席而又想表達意見的市民繼續向立法會提交意見書。

本人早前因工作而未能出席立法會公聽會，特此向各位議員表達本人反對政府全禁電子煙和加熱煙的建議，因為全禁不合邏輯，亦不顧現實，只會殃及無辜的報販。政府不應浪費精力在全禁的措施，而應該集中資源在教育，使青年人不會吸煙，才是正確的做法。

麥淑端

日期：

10/5

立法會秘書處

各位議員：

公聽會的民意明確，過半人反對政府全禁電子煙的方案。請各位議員體察報販的生計問題，不要為了一時的掌聲而犧牲報販賴以為生的收入來源，改以規管加熱煙的方法解決問題，謝謝！



日期： 10/5

立法會《吸煙(公眾衛生)(修訂)條例草案》委員會

郭偉強議員主席尊鑒：

政府一意孤行，漠視我地呢啲守法小商戶的權益，實在豈有此理！

本人懇請所有立法會議員站在小商販一邊，合理規管加熱煙，拒絕假大空全禁！



日期： 10-5-19

立法會《吸煙(公眾衛生)(修訂)條例草案》委員會

郭偉強議員主席尊鑒：

政府一意孤行，漠視我地呢啲守法小商戶的權益，實在豈有此理！

本人懇請所有立法會議員站在小商販一邊，合理規管加熱煙，拒絕假大空全禁！



日期: 10-5-19

致郭偉強及立法會《2019 年吸煙（公眾衛生）（修訂）條例草案》委員會

郭主席及委員們：

我想表達我的意見 - 請規管香港的其他煙草產品。

面對現實，香港現時有 60 萬名吸煙者。現在有一個更好的替代產品，我認為沒有理由禁止它。政府不應該從吸煙者那裡剝奪選擇。

我無法理解政府禁止它們的理論基礎。煙民有需求，我們無法阻止這一點，那麼為甚麼不允許吸煙者轉向更好的替代產品呢？

我促請香港政府監管香港的其他煙草產品！

祝，

身體健康



姓名：

李世庭

日期：

10. MAY 19

致立法會《2019年吸煙（公眾衛生）（修訂）條例草案》委員會委員


立法會《2019年吸煙（公眾衛生）（修訂）條例草案》委員會委員：

我希望香港政府能夠規範其他煙草產品，例如加熱煙，而非禁令！


政府需要向公眾傳達正確的信息，說明這些產品不是針對非吸煙者，而是給吸煙人士的更好選擇，而不是繼續吸食傳統捲菸。

全面的法規和教育框架（如傳統捲菸所做的那樣）將幫助我們最大限度地發揮新產品的效益，並最大限度地降低與之相關的風險（如吸引年輕人）。

請在香港立法監管這些產品。



姓名：



日期：

致立法會《2019年吸煙（公眾衛生）（修訂）條例草案》委員會委員


立法會《2019年吸煙（公眾衛生）（修訂）條例草案》委員會委員：

我希望香港政府能夠規範其他煙草產品，例如加熱煙，而非禁令！

政府需要向公眾傳達正確的信息，說明這些產品不是針對非吸煙者，而是給吸煙人士的更好選擇，而不是繼續吸食傳統捲菸。

全面的法規和教育框架（如傳統捲菸所做的那樣）將幫助我們最大限度地發揮新產品的效益，並最大限度地降低與之相關的風險（如吸引年輕人）。

請在香港立法監管這些產品。



So Hoi Fung

姓名：

日期： 10 May 2019

致郭偉強及立法會《2019年吸煙（公眾衛生）（修訂）條例草案》委員會

郭偉強主席：

我支持這些替代煙草產品的監管！我不同意禁令！


對我來說，政府提出的禁令建議正在剝奪吸煙者的選擇，一個與傳統捲菸相比更好的選擇。

多項研究已經證明，與傳統香煙比，這些新型煙草產品的危害風險要低得多。但是，政府似乎忽略了這些事實。

我希望你能幫助我們糾正錯誤，幫助實施一個系統的監管框架，並在公共教育中做好規劃。

請聽取我們的意見，並在香港立法監管（不禁止）這些替代煙草產品。

僅致，



姓名: Yip Wai-lam
日期: 10/5/19.

致郭偉強主席

立法會《2019 年吸煙（公眾衛生）（修訂）條例草案》委員會

郭主席：

我反對禁令，並支持對替代煙草製品的全面監管。

煙草控制的目標是保護公眾健康，香港在傳統香煙的確做得很好。

新的煙草產品讓吸煙者有更好選擇。世界其他國家，如日本，韓國和亞洲的馬來西亞，已經在監管這些替代煙草產品，如加熱非燃燒煙產品。為何香港政府不能這樣做，並在香港落實規管呢？

敬上，



CHUANG SAITI YEN.
Chuang

姓名：

日期：10/5/2019.

致郭偉強及立法會《2019 年吸煙（公眾衛生）（修訂）條例草案》委員會

尊敬的郭先生及條例草案委員會成員：

對替代煙草製品（如加熱煙產品）的監管是正確的做法！

我的一些朋友和同行已轉用新的煙草產品，作為非吸煙者，我認為新煙草產品的氣味較少，當他們在聚會中吸煙時，四周的空氣質量得到了很大改善。實施禁令，這是否意味著他們需要再次回歸傳統香煙？政府為何要從他們手中奪去這個選擇？

我真的希望政府聽取香港人的看法，並不要禁止這些替代煙草製品。

祝，

工作順利



姓名: Michael Wu

日期: 14/05/2019

致郭偉強及立法會《2019 年吸煙（公眾衛生）（修訂）條例草案》委員會

郭主席及委員們：

請規管香港的其他煙草產品！


請認清現實，香港 60 萬名煙民。政府不應該從吸煙者那裡剝奪選擇更好替代品的權利。

我無法理解政府禁止它們的原因。煙民有吸煙的需求，我們無法阻止這一點，那麼為甚麼不允許吸煙者轉向更好的替代產品呢？

我促請香港政府監管香港的其他煙草產品，保障煙民的權利！

祝，

身體健康



Perry Wong

姓名：

日期： 14 / 05 / 2019

致郭偉強及立法會《2019 年吸煙（公眾衛生）（修訂）條例草案》委員會

郭主席及委員們：

請規管香港的其他煙草產品！


請認清現實，香港 60 萬名煙民。政府不應該從吸煙者那裡剝奪選擇更好替代品的權利。

我無法理解政府禁止它們的原因。煙民有吸煙的需求，我們無法阻止這一點，那麼為甚麼不允許吸煙者轉向更好的替代產品呢？

我促請香港政府監管香港的其他煙草產品，保障煙民的權利！

祝，

身體健康



姓名: William Ching

日期: 14/05/19

致郭偉強及立法會《2019 年吸煙（公眾衛生）（修訂）條例草案》委員會

郭偉強主席：

我支持這些替代煙草產品的監管！我不同意禁令！


對我來說，政府提出的禁令建議正在剝奪吸煙者的選擇，一個與傳統捲菸相比更好的選擇。

多項研究已經證明，與傳統香煙比，這些新型煙草產品的危害風險要低得多。但是，政府似乎忽略了這些事實。

我希望能幫助我們糾正錯誤，幫助實施一個系統的監管框架，並在公共教育中做好規劃。

請聽取我們的意見，並在香港立法監管（不禁止）這些替代煙草產品。

僅致,



姓名: Maryellen Ko

日期: 13/05/19

致郭偉強及立法會《2019 年吸煙（公眾衛生）（修訂）條例草案》委員會

郭偉強主席：

我支持這些替代煙草產品的監管！我不同意禁令！

對我來說，政府提出的禁令建議正在剝奪吸煙者的選擇，一個與傳統捲菸相比更好的選擇。

多項研究已經證明，與傳統香煙比，這些新型煙草產品的危害風險要低得多。但是，政府似乎忽略了這些事實。

我希望能幫助我們糾正錯誤，幫助實施一個系統的監管框架，並在公共教育中做好規劃。

請聽取我們的意見，並在香港立法監管（不禁止）這些替代煙草產品。

僅致，



姓名: Jason Li

日期: 14-5-2019

立法會CB(2)1515/18-19(1286)號文件
LC Paper No. CB(2)1515/18-19(1286)

Hon. Kwok Wai-keung, JP and Bills Committee,
Chairman of Bills Committee on 2019 Smoking (Public Health) (Amendment) Ordinance
Legislative Council Complex
Legislative Council

Dear Kwok Wai Keung and the Bills Comm Members:

I do not agree with the ban on alternative tobacco products and I support regulation for it!

Some of my friends and peers have switched to new tobacco products, as a non-smoker, I think new tobacco products has less smell and the air quality has so much improved when they smoke near myself in gathering activities. With the ban, does it mean that they will need to revert to the traditional cigarette again? Why is the Government taking this away this option from us?

Smokers in Hong Kong should have the choice of using something less harmful for themselves and people around them. Why is the Government taking away a better tobacco alternative that is available? We should be given a choice – both for the smoker and the non-smoker.

I urge you to take a role to help implement a more balanced approach and to regulate, not ban, the alternative tobacco products in Hong Kong.

Thank you and best regards,



Name ELIZADETH LEBRUN

Date: 5/10/19

2019 Smoking (Public Health) (Amendment) Ordinance

Legislative Council Complex/Legislative Council

To: Chairman Kwok & Bills Committee

Dear Chairman Kwok and Bills Committee Members:

I hope the HKSAR government would not ban the new tobacco products such as heat not burn products, but regulate it for the good of society.

The government always say they'll work hard on tobacco control and target to reduce smoking population to certain percent by when, but they ignore the current smokers out there! What about them? Do you think simply ruling out options for them to choose those new tobacco products would help them? I have friends that have switch to use heat not burn products, and it improved their quality of lives, and not only that, also to people who interact with them.

There is evidence that these new products are helping smokers, and I cannot understand why and how the government came up with the thought that banning them is the way to go. Committee, please bring us a proper regulatory framework, and make a difference.

Thank you,



Name *Caleb Chan*

Date: *May 9, 2019*

To:

Chairman Kwok & Bills Committee

Legislative Council Complex

Legislative Council

Relating to: 2019 Smoking (Public Health) (Amendment) Ordinance

Dear Chairman Kwok & Bills Committee Members:

I oppose to the ban proposed by HKSAR government on new tobacco products, like heat-not-burn, and others.

I still see many smokers in Hong Kong, after the ban on indoor smoking, smokers are more visible on the streets, especially in the smoking areas (sometimes the small streets) around office buildings. I usually avoid pass through those areas, but nevertheless, you will smell the smoke here and there on the Hong Kong streets.

From my personal experience, the new tobacco product doesn't smell, and this greatly improve my experience walking on the streets.

I think these kinds of new products would be welcomed by the general public, both smoker and non-smokers. I suggest the government to regulate these products and not try to ban it to avoid it.

Sincerely,



Name NATHAN KHAN

Date: MAY 12, 2019

Hon. Kwok Wai-keung, JP and Bills Committee,

Chairman of Bills Committee on 2019 Smoking (Public Health) (Amendment) Ordinance

Legislative Council Complex

Legislative Council

Central, Hong Kong

Dear Kwok Wai Keung and the Bills Comm Members:

I heard that Chairman Kwok invited us to add further comments and submissions even after the public hearing.

I support regulation of these alternative tobacco products, not ban!

In my point of view, the Government's decision has completely taken away smokers' choice of a better alternative comparing to traditional cigarettes in Hong Kong. Multiple researches have already proven the fact that the risk of harm of these new tobacco products are much reduced compared to traditional combustible products. However, the Government seems to be ignoring these facts. If public health is truly a matter of concern for the Government, a balanced solution should be sought.

I urge you to take a role to help implement a more balanced approach and to regulate, not ban, of the alternative tobacco products in Hong Kong.

Thank you and best regards,



Name *Julienne Hsu*

Date: *May 9, 2019*

Attn: Chairman Kwok & Bills Committee
2019 Smoking (Public Health) (Amendment) Ordinance
Legislative Council Complex

Dear Chairman Kwok (along with Bills Committee Members):


I advise the HKSAR government to regulate new tobacco products and I disagree on the proposed ban.

New tobacco products are proven to be a better alternative to cigarettes, we should acknowledge this new class of products, and harness it for the good of public health. Everything has two sides and using it in the right way is what HK government should be doing. If you think there's concern in attracting teenagers, then study it, analyze it, and manage it. Shutting it out (by a ban) is not what we should be doing.

Bill Committee, please help us make a sensible decision and good use of this new class of products, manage them for the public.

Regulate these new tobacco products for the better of Hong Kong! Regulations is more appropriate to keep things on the right track, not a BAN!

Thank you,


Selina Cheung Name
May 9, 2019 Date:

Hon. Kwok Wai-keung, JP and Bills Committee,
Chairman of Bills Committee on 2019 Smoking (Public Health) (Amendment) Ordinance
Legislative Council Complex
Legislative Council
Central, Hong Kong

Dear Kwok Wai Keung and the Bills Comm Members:


I heard that Chairman Kwok invited us to add further comments and submissions even after the public hearing.

I support regulation of these alternative tobacco products, not ban!

In my point of view, the Government's decision has completely taken away smokers' choice of a better alternative comparing to traditional cigarettes in Hong Kong. Multiple researches have already proven the fact that the risk of harm of these new tobacco products are much reduced compared to traditional combustible products. However, the Government seems to be ignoring these facts. If public health is truly a matter of concern for the Government, a balanced solution should be sought.

I urge you to take a role to help implement a more balanced approach and to regulate, not ban, of the alternative tobacco products in Hong Kong.

Thank you and best regards,


Name CHARMAINE CHUNG

Date: 11/5/2019

致郭偉強議員及立法會《2019 年吸煙（公眾衛生）（修訂）條例草案》委員會

尊敬的郭先生及條例草案委員會成員：


請對替代煙草製品（如加熱煙產品）立法監管！

我的朋友和同行已轉用新的煙草產品，作為非吸煙人士，我明顯感受到新煙草產品的氣味較少，四周的空氣質量得到了很大改善。政府提出實施無理禁令，這是否意味著他們需要再次吸食傳統香煙？政府為何要取締這個選擇？

我真的希望政府尊重香港人的看法，並不要禁止這些替代煙草製品。

小市民敬上





姓名：

日期： 12/4/2019.

Chairman of Bills Committee on 2019 Smoking (Public Health) (Amendment) Ordinance

Legislative Council Complex / Legislative Council

To: Hon. Kwok Wai-keung, JP and Bills Committee,


Dear Chairman Kwok and Bills Committee Members:

As a young professional, I believe everyone should have their rights to choose between things. Everyone should be able to make their own decision instead of the government helping us to choose.

The government should not ban these new alternative tobacco products. Banning is not the way out, **REGULATION** is the solution. So people can choose the best product/ product the fits them if they decided not to quit smoking.

I truly hope the Government would listen to what the Hong Kong people think about the importance of having freedom of choice and stop banning these alternative tobacco products. Giving back the rights to choose to your people.

Thank you



14/5/19

Berinda Au Name

Date:

立法會CB(2)1515/18-19(1294)號文件
LC Paper No. CB(2)1515/18-19(1294)

Hon. Kwok Wai-keung, JP and Bills Committee,
Chairman of Bills Committee on 2019 Smoking (Public Health) (Amendment) Ordinance
Legislative Council Complex
Legislative Council

Dear Kwok Wai Keung and the Bills Comm Members:

I do not agree with the ban on alternative tobacco products and I support regulation for it!

Some of my friends and peers have switched to new tobacco products, as a non-smoker, I think new tobacco products has less smell and the air quality has so much improved when they smoke near myself in gathering activities. With the ban, does it mean that they will need to revert to the traditional cigarette again? Why is the Government taking this away this option from us?

Smokers in Hong Kong should have the choice of using something less harmful for themselves and people around them. Why is the Government taking away a better tobacco alternative that is available? We should be given a choice – both for the smoker and the non-smoker.

I urge you to take a role to help implement a more balanced approach and to regulate, not ban, the alternative tobacco products in Hong Kong.

Thank you and best regards,



MYSKE-DALEDA

Name

Date: 12-05-2019.

Bills Committee on 2019 Smoking (Public Health) (Amendment) Ordinance

Legislative Council Complex, Legislative Council

Central, Hong Kong

To: Chairman Kwok Wai-keung, JP and Bills Committee,


Dear Chairman Kwok and Bills Committee Members:

Please regulate the new alternative tobacco products instead of banning them.

I smell cigarette everyday on the streets, I want to avoid them, but I just cannot escape. Hong Kong is so densely populated. Only takes one smoker in the crowd, and the whole group nearby suffers from the secondhand smoke. I notice the new tobacco products do not release the annoying smoke and smell, I found it revolutionary, I am deeply disappointed when the government proposed a ban.

I urge the committee to re-visit all the evidence and voices of the public and come up with good Regulation that can accommodate the public needs and just ban these products.

Thank you and regards,



CHRISTOPHER LO .

Name

Date: 12/5/2019

Chairman of Bills Committee on 2019 Smoking (Public Health) (Amendment) Ordinance

Legislative Council Complex / Legislative Council

To: Hon. Kwok Wai-keung, JP and Bills Committee,

Dear Chairman Kwok and Bills Committee Members:

As a young professional, I believe everyone should have their rights to choose between things. Everyone should be able to make their own decision instead of the government helping us to choose.

The government should not ban these new alternative tobacco products. Banning is not the way out, **regulation** is the solution. So people can choose the best product/ product the fits them if they decided not to quit smoking.

I truly hope the Government would listen to what the Hong Kong people think about the importance of having freedom of choice and stop banning these alternative tobacco products. Giving back the rights to choose to your people.

Thank you



EDDIE CHAN

Name

Date: MAY 12, 2019.

致郭偉強及立法會《2019 年吸煙（公眾衛生）（修訂）條例草案》委員會

郭主席及委員們：

請規管香港的其他煙草產品！

請認清現實，香港 60 萬名煙民。政府不應該從吸煙者那裡剝奪選擇更好替代品的權利。

我無法理解政府禁止它們的原因。煙民有吸煙的需求，我們無法阻止這一點，那麼為甚麼不允許吸煙者轉向更好的替代產品呢？

我促請香港政府監管香港的其他煙草產品，保障煙民的權利！

祝，

身體健康



姓名: Fao Tsz Wing

日期: 14-5-2019

致郭偉強議員及立法會《2019 年吸煙（公眾衛生）（修訂）條例草案》委員會


尊敬的郭先生及條例草案委員會成員：

請對替代煙草製品（如加熱煙產品）立法監管！

我的朋友和同行已轉用新的煙草產品，作為非吸煙人士，我明顯感受到新煙草產品的氣味較少，四周的空氣質量得到了很大改善。政府提出實施無理禁令，這是否意味著他們需要再次吸食傳統香煙？政府為何要取締這個選擇？

我真的希望政府尊重香港人的看法，並不要禁止這些替代煙草製品。

小市民敬上



黃子壘

姓名：

日期：五月十二號

致郭偉強及立法會《2019 年吸煙（公眾衛生）（修訂）條例草案》委員會

郭主席及委員們：

請規管香港的其他煙草產品！

請認清現實，香港 60 萬名煙民。政府不應該從吸煙者那裡剝奪選擇更好替代品的權利。

我無法理解政府禁止它們的原因。煙民有吸煙的需求，我們無法阻止這一點，那麼為甚麼不允許吸煙者轉向更好的替代產品呢？

我促請香港政府監管香港的其他煙草產品，保障煙民的權利！

祝，

身體健康



姓名: Alan Chow

日期: 14-5-2019

致郭偉強及立法會《2019 年吸煙（公眾衛生）（修訂）條例草案》委員會

郭主席及委員們：

請規管香港的其他煙草產品！

請認清現實，香港 60 萬名煙民。政府不應該從吸煙者那裡剝奪選擇更好替代品的權利。

我無法理解政府禁止它們的原因。煙民有吸煙的需求，我們無法阻止這一點，那麼為甚麼不允許吸煙者轉向更好的替代產品呢？

我促請香港政府監管香港的其他煙草產品，保障煙民的權利！

祝，

身體健康



姓名: 鄧鳳光.

日期: 14/5/19.

Hon. Kwok Wai Keung, Chairman of Bills Committee
2019 Smoking (Public Health) (Amendment) Ordinance
Legislative Council

Chairman Kwok and Bills Committee Members:


I am deeply disappointed by the news that the government is going to ban alternative tobacco products, such as e-cigarettes, and heat not burn products.

I urge the Hong Kong Government to regulate these products instead!

I saw some news about US FDA authorizing the IQOS device in the States. I wonder what's the response of the HKSAR government to that.

Formulate a proper set of rules and regulations to manage the device is the reasonable choice.

Thanks,



Name: CONNIE CHOI

Date: 15th May 2019.

致郭偉強主席

立法會《2019 年吸煙（公眾衛生）（修訂）條例草案》委員會


郭主席：

我反對禁令，並支持對替代煙草製品的全面監管。

煙草控制的目標是保護公眾健康，香港在傳統香煙的確做得很好。

新的煙草產品讓吸煙者有更好選擇。世界其他國家，如日本，韓國和亞洲的馬來西亞，已經在監管這些替代煙草產品，如加熱非燃燒煙產品。為何香港政府不能這樣做，並在香港落實規管呢？

敬上，



Yung Chi Kuen

姓名：

日期： *11 May 2019*


Attn. Mr. Kwok Wai-keung, JP and Bills Committee,
Bills Committee on 2019 Smoking (Public Health) (Amendment) Ordinance
Legislative Council Complex, Legislative Council


Dear Mr. Kwok and Bills Committee Members:

I would like to request the government to openly consider the needs of smokers in Hong Kong by regulating these alternative tobacco products such as Heat not Burn products. A regulation (not a ban) towards these products will provide a better alternative for smokers.

I can see the potential positive impact of these products which can improve the lives of smokers and even non-smokers nearby. These alternative tobacco products apparently have less lingering smell that will not upset people around us. I cannot believe the government is trying to force the people who has already switched to go back to smoking!

Kind regards,





Name

Date:

11/15/2019

Hon. Kwok Wai Keung, Chairman of Bills Committee
2019 Smoking (Public Health) (Amendment) Ordinance
Legislative Council

Chairman Kwok and Bills Committee Members:

I am deeply disappointed by the news that the government is going to ban alternative tobacco products, such as e-cigarettes, and heat not burn products.

I urge the Hong Kong Government to regulate these products instead!

I saw some news about US FDA authorizing the IQOS device in the States. I wonder what's the response of the HKSAR government to that.

Formulate a proper set of rules and regulations to manage the device is the reasonable choice.

Thanks,


Name: Wong Kwok Keung

Date: 11-5-2019

Hon. Kwok Wai Keung, Chairman of Bills Committee
2019 Smoking (Public Health) (Amendment) Ordinance
Legislative Council

Chairman Kwok and Bills Committee Members:

I am deeply disappointed by the news that the government is going to ban alternative tobacco products, such as e-cigarettes, and heat not burn products.

I urge the Hong Kong Government to regulate these products instead!

I saw some news about US FDA authorizing the IQOS device in the States. I wonder what's the response of the HKSAR government to that.

Formulate a proper set of rules and regulations to manage the device is the reasonable choice.

Thanks,



Name: Chan Chi Yan

Date: 17 May 2019.

致郭偉強及立法會《2019 年吸煙（公眾衛生）（修訂）條例草案》委員會

郭主席及委員們：

我想表達我的意見 - 請規管香港的其他煙草產品。

面對現實，香港現時有 60 萬名吸煙者。現在有一個更好的替代產品，我認為沒有理由禁止它。政府不應該從吸煙者那裡剝奪選擇。

我無法理解政府禁止它們的理論基礎。煙民有需求，我們無法阻止這一點，那麼為甚麼不允許吸煙者轉向更好的替代產品呢？

我促請香港政府監管香港的其他煙草產品！

祝，

身體健康



姓名: Ho KA HEI

日期: 11. MAY. 2019.

致立法會《2019 年吸煙（公眾衛生）（修訂）條例草案》委員會委員


立法會《2019 年吸煙（公眾衛生）（修訂）條例草案》委員會委員：

我希望香港政府能夠規範其他煙草產品，例如加熱煙，而非禁令！

政府需要向公眾傳達正確的信息，說明這些產品不是針對非吸煙者，而是給吸煙人士的更好選擇，而不是繼續吸食傳統捲菸。

全面的法規和教育框架（如傳統捲菸所做的那樣）將幫助我們最大限度地發揮新產品的效益，並最大限度地降低與之相關的風險(如吸引年輕人)。

請在香港立法監管這些產品。



姓名：

日期： 11/5/19

To:

Mr. Kwok Wai-keung, JP and Bills Committee,
Bills Committee on 2019 Smoking (Public Health) (Amendment) Ordinance
Legislative Council Complex,
Legislative Council,
Central, Hong Kong


Dear Mr. Kwok (Chairman) and Bills Committee Members:


I am writing this letter to express my concerns regarding the recently proposed bill to ban alternative tobacco products such as heat not burn products. I believe the government should consider a different approach and should regulate these products instead of banning them completely.

This ban is a bad act for Hong Kong's tobacco control. If you really to protect public health, please protect the choices of smokers. We really should give them a better option and allow them to switch to it, banning it just doesn't help at all.

The Hong Kong Government should regulate the new products for everyone's best interest. Please regulate these new tobacco products for the protection of public health.

Regards,





Name

Date: 2019/5/11

Bills Committee on 2019 Smoking (Public Health) (Amendment) Ordinance

Legislative Council Complex,

Legislative Council

Attn. Bills Committee and Secretary,


Make regulation, Not ban


To all Bills Committee Members:

I cannot believe the Hong Kong Government is trying to enforce a total ban on other tobacco products. Regulation should be the way out.

New tobacco products are already used by a portion of the smoking population in Hong Kong. There is evidence that the new products are a better alternative to continue smoking, and if you observe smokers on the streets, we are significant number of smokers using new tobacco products already.

I think that Government should regulate these products in Hong Kong, not ban them. This is a solution that will address Youth Smoking concerns while providing a better alternative for Adult smokers in Hong Kong.



Name: 
Date: 11/5/2019

Chairman of Bills Committee on 2019 Smoking (Public Health) (Amendment) Ordinance

Legislative Council Complex / Legislative Council

To: Hon. Kwok Wai-keung, JP and Bills Committee,



Dear Chairman Kwok and Bills Committee Members:

As a young professional, I believe everyone should have their rights to choose between things. Everyone should be able to make their own decision instead of the government helping us to choose.


The government should not ban these new alternative tobacco products. Banning is not the way out, **regulation** is the solution. So people can choose the best product/ product the fits them if they decided not to quit smoking.

I truly hope the Government would listen to what the Hong Kong people think about the importance of having freedom of choice and stop banning these alternative tobacco products. Giving back the rights to choose to your people.

Thank you



Name



Date:


Attn. Mr. Kwok Wai-keung, JP and Bills Committee,
Bills Committee on 2019 Smoking (Public Health) (Amendment) Ordinance
Legislative Council Complex,
1 Legislative Council Road

Bills Committee Members and Chairman Kwok,

Please **regulate alternative tobacco products, do not ban them**. I mean both e-cigarettes and Heated Tobacco Products.

We should balance the benefits of both sides, the public and the 600 thousand existing smokers. Banning the alternative tobacco products is taking away a better choice from the smoking population.

The government should allow smokers access to the better alternatives, it's the government's job to work out a set of effective regulations and balance the pro con for the good of public health.



Name: CHAN Ching Wah
Date: 11/5/2018

Bills Committee on 2019 Smoking (Public Health) (Amendment) Ordinance

Legislative Council Complex,

Legislative Council

Attn. Bills Committee and Secretary,


Make regulation, Not ban

To all Bills Committee Members:

I cannot believe the Hong Kong Government is trying to enforce a total ban on other tobacco products. Regulation should be the way out.

New tobacco products are already used by a portion of the smoking population in Hong Kong. There is evidence that the new products are a better alternative to continue smoking, and if you observe smokers on the streets, we are significant number of smokers using new tobacco products already.

I think that Government should regulate these products in Hong Kong, not ban them. This is a solution that will address Youth Smoking concerns while providing a better alternative for Adult smokers in Hong Kong.



Name: So Tin Yau

Date: 11 May 2019

致郭偉強及立法會《2019 年吸煙（公眾衛生）（修訂）條例草案》委員會

郭偉強主席：

我支持這些替代煙草產品的監管！我不同意禁令！


對我來說，政府提出的禁令建議正在剝奪吸煙者的選擇，一個與傳統捲菸相比更好的選擇。

多項研究已經證明，與傳統香煙比，這些新型煙草產品的危害風險要低得多。但是，政府似乎忽略了這些事實。

我希望能幫助我們糾正錯誤，幫助實施一個系統的監管框架，並在公共教育中做好規劃。

請聽取我們的意見，並在香港立法監管（不禁止）這些替代煙草產品。

僅致，



姓名: *LAM SUET YEE MEMBER*

日期: *11/8/2019.*

立法會CB(2)1515/18-19(1314)號文件
LC Paper No. CB(2)1515/18-19(1314)

Attn. Mr. Kwok Wai-keung, JP and Bills Committee,
Bills Committee on 2019 Smoking (Public Health) (Amendment) Ordinance


Hello Chairman Kwok and Bills Committee Members:


I cannot agree on the government's proposal to ban heat-not-burn products, and all other tobacco products altogether.

I genuinely believe regulations is the better approach.

There are research and findings about how the new class of tobacco products are much less risky and will benefit smokers who decided to switch to them. I understand the concerns about adolescence use, but that exactly why you should regulate them! Please compose a comprehensive regulatory framework, so that both smokers and general public are protected.

Please give us a good choice.





Name:

Date:

11
May 11 2019

立法會CB(2)1515/18-19(1315)號文件
LC Paper No. CB(2)1515/18-19(1315)

Attn. Mr. Kwok Wai-keung, JP and Bills Committee,
Bills Committee on 2019 Smoking (Public Health) (Amendment) Ordinance

Hello Chairman Kwok and Bills Committee Members:

I cannot agree on the government's proposal to ban heat-not-burn products, and all other tobacco products altogether.

I genuinely believe regulations is the better approach.

There are research and findings about how the new class of tobacco products are much less risky and will benefit smokers who decided to switch to them. I understand the concerns about adolescence use, but that exactly why you should regulate them! Please compose a comprehensive regulatory framework, so that both smokers and general public are protected.

Please give us a good choice.



Name: *Lee Ming Ho*

Date: *11-5-2019* -

立法會CB(2)1515/18-19(1316)號文件
LC Paper No. CB(2)1515/18-19(1316)

Attn. Mr. Kwok Wai-keung, JP and Bills Committee,
Bills Committee on 2019 Smoking (Public Health) (Amendment) Ordinance


Hello Chairman Kwok and Bills Committee Members:

I cannot agree on the government's proposal to ban heat-not-burn products, and all other tobacco products altogether.

I genuinely believe regulations is the better approach.

There are research and findings about how the new class of tobacco products are much less risky and will benefit smokers who decided to switch to them. I understand the concerns about adolescence use, but that exactly why you should regulate them! Please compose a comprehensive regulatory framework, so that both smokers and general public are protected.

Please give us a good choice.



Lam Tai Kong.
Name:

Date: 11/5/2019

Attn. Mr. Kwok Wai-keung, JP and Bills Committee,
Bills Committee on 2019 Smoking (Public Health) (Amendment) Ordinance
Legislative Council Complex, Legislative Council

Dear Mr. Kwok and Bills Committee Members:

I would like to request the government to openly consider the needs of smokers in Hong Kong by regulating these alternative tobacco products such as Heat not Burn products. A regulation (not a ban) towards these products will provide a better alternative for smokers.

I can see the potential positive impact of these products which can improve the lives of smokers and even non-smokers nearby. These alternative tobacco products apparently have less lingering smell that will not upset people around us. I cannot believe the government is trying to force the people who has already switched to go back to smoking!

Kind regards,




Name

So Hot Der Rebecca

Date:

11/5/2019.

To:


Mr. Kwok Wai-keung, JP and Bills Committee,
Bills Committee on 2019 Smoking (Public Health) (Amendment) Ordinance
Legislative Council Complex,
Legislative Council,
Central, Hong Kong



Dear Mr. Kwok (Chairman) and Bills Committee Members:

I am writing this letter to express my concerns regarding the recently proposed bill to ban alternative tobacco products such as heat not burn products. I believe the government should consider a different approach and should regulate these products instead of banning them completely.

This ban is a bad act for Hong Kong's tobacco control. If you really to protect public health, please protect the choices of smokers. We really should give them a better option and allow them to switch to it, banning it just doesn't help at all.

The Hong Kong Government should regulate the new products for everyone's best interest. Please regulate these new tobacco products for the protection of public health.

Regards,


Name 
Date: 11 / 5 / 2019

致郭偉強及立法會《2019 年吸煙（公眾衛生）（修訂）條例草案》委員會

郭偉強主席：

我支持這些替代煙草產品的監管！我不同意禁令！


對我來說，政府提出的禁令建議正在剝奪吸煙者的選擇，一個與傳統捲菸相比更好的選擇。

多項研究已經證明，與傳統香煙比，這些新型煙草產品的危害風險要低得多。但是，政府似乎忽略了這些事實。

我希望你能幫助我們糾正錯誤，幫助實施一個系統的監管框架，並在公共教育中做好規劃。

請聽取我們的意見，並在香港立法監管（不禁止）這些替代煙草產品。

僅致，



Mian Waseem

姓名：

日期：11-5-2019

Hon. Kwok Wai Keung, Chairman of Bills Committee
2019 Smoking (Public Health) (Amendment) Ordinance
Legislative Council

Chairman Kwok and Bills Committee Members:


I am deeply disappointed by the news that the government is going to ban alternative tobacco products, such as e-cigarettes, and heat not burn products.

I urge the Hong Kong Government to regulate these products instead!

I saw some news about US FDA authorizing the IQOS device in the States. I wonder what's the response of the HKSAR government to that.

Formulate a proper set of rules and regulations to manage the device is the reasonable choice.

Thanks,


Name: Pang Hui Lam Emily.
Date: 11 May 19

致郭偉強主席

立法會《2019 年吸煙（公眾衛生）（修訂）條例草案》委員會


郭主席：

我反對禁令，並支持對替代煙草製品的全面監管。

煙草控制的目標是保護公眾健康，香港在傳統香煙的確做得很好。

新的煙草產品讓吸煙者有更好選擇。世界其他國家，如日本，韓國和亞洲的馬來西亞，已經在監管這些替代煙草產品，如加熱非燃燒煙產品。為何香港政府不能這樣做，並在香港落實規管呢？

敬上，



姓名: Chan, Ho Siu Andrew

日期: May 11, 2018

To:

Mr. Kwok Wai-keung, JP and Bills Committee,
Bills Committee on 2019 Smoking (Public Health) (Amendment) Ordinance
Legislative Council Complex,
Legislative Council,
Central, Hong Kong


Dear Mr. Kwok (Chairman) and Bills Committee Members:

I am writing this letter to express my concerns regarding the recently proposed bill to ban alternative tobacco products such as heat not burn products. I believe the government should consider a different approach and should regulate these products instead of banning them completely.

This ban is a bad act for Hong Kong's tobacco control. If you really to protect public health, please protect the choices of smokers. We really should give them a better option and allow them to switch to it, banning it just doesn't help at all.

The Hong Kong Government should regulate the new products for everyone's best interest. Please regulate these new tobacco products for the protection of public health.

Regards,


_____

Name

Date: 11-5-2019

Hon. Kwok Wai Keung, Chairman of Bills Committee
2019 Smoking (Public Health) (Amendment) Ordinance
Legislative Council

Chairman Kwok and Bills Committee Members:

I am deeply disappointed by the news that the government is going to ban alternative tobacco products, such as e-cigarettes, and heat not burn products.

I urge the Hong Kong Government to regulate these products instead!

I saw some news about US FDA authorizing the IQOS device in the States. I wonder what's the response of the HKSAR government to that.

Formulate a proper set of rules and regulations to manage the device is the reasonable choice.

Thanks,

 Cuh.
Name: Woo Wing Sheng
Date: 11 May 2019

致立法會《2019 年吸煙（公眾衛生）（修訂）條例草案》委員會委員

立法會《2019 年吸煙（公眾衛生）（修訂）條例草案》委員會委員：

我希望香港政府能夠規範其他煙草產品，例如加熱煙，而非禁令！

政府需要向公眾傳達正確的信息，說明這些產品不是針對非吸煙者，而是給吸煙人士的更好選擇，而不是繼續吸食傳統捲菸。

全面的法規和教育框架（如傳統捲菸所做的那樣）將幫助我們最大限度地發揮新產品的效益，並最大限度地降低與之相關的風險(如吸引年輕人)。

請在香港立法監管這些產品。



姓名：

日期：

20.9.11/5.

致郭偉強及立法會《2019 年吸煙（公眾衛生）（修訂）條例草案》委員會

郭偉強主席：

我支持這些替代煙草產品的監管！我不同意禁令！

對我來說，政府提出的禁令建議正在剝奪吸煙者的選擇，一個與傳統捲菸相比更好的選擇。

多項研究已經證明，與傳統香煙比，這些新型煙草產品的危害風險要低得多。但是，政府似乎忽略了這些事實。

我希望能幫助我們糾正錯誤，幫助實施一個系統的監管框架，並在公共教育中做好規劃。

請聽取我們的意見，並在香港立法監管（不禁止）這些替代煙草產品。

僅致，



姓名: Roy Tam

日期: 11-5-2019.

Bills Committee on 2019 Smoking (Public Health) (Amendment) Ordinance

Legislative Council Complex, Legislative Council


Attn. Mr. Kwok Wai-keung, JP and Bills Committee,

Dear Chairman Kwok and Bills Committee Members:

I am writing to urge the government to regulate the alternative tobacco products but not banning on all them. I believe these are better products than traditional cigarettes.

As a non-smoker, these alternative tobacco products have less effects on me when compared to traditional cigarettes. It has less smelly smoke given out and that really helps a lot given that I am very sensitive to cigarette smoke. So this ban doesn't make sense to me, I just don't understand the logic.

Please conduct a proper consultation session and meet with all parties that would like to express their opinion, you cannot ignore any party!



Name: Rasma Yulawati

Date: 11.05.2019

立法會CB(2)1515/18-19(1327)號文件
LC Paper No. CB(2)1515/18-19(1327)

Attn: Chairman Kwok & Bills Committee
2019 Smoking (Public Health) (Amendment) Ordinance
Legislative Council Complex

Dear Chairman Kwok (along with Bills Committee Members):

I would like to say that I disagree on the proposed ban on alternative tobacco products.

Regulations is more appropriate to keep things on the right track, not by a BAN!


Everything has two sides and using it in the right way is what a government is responsible for. New tobacco products are proven to be a better alternative to cigarettes. We should acknowledge this new class of products.


If there's concern in attracting teenagers, then take a look at examples in countries that launched those products such as Japan, Korea, Canada, etc.

Shutting it out (by a ban) is not the proper way to handle the issue in the right way.

Regulate these new tobacco products for the better of Hong Kong!

Sincerely,



 Name
05/3/2019 Date:

立法會CB(2)1515/18-19(1328)號文件
LC Paper No. CB(2)1515/18-19(1328)


Attn. Mr. Kwok Wai-keung, JP and Bills Committee,
Bills Committee on 2019 Smoking (Public Health) (Amendment) Ordinance
Legislative Council Complex,
1 Legislative Council Road

Bills Committee Members and Chairman Kwok,

Please **regulate alternative tobacco products, do not ban them**. I mean both e-cigarettes and Heated Tobacco Products.

We should balance the benefits of both sides, the public and the 600 thousand existing smokers. Banning the alternative tobacco products is taking away a better choice from the smoking population.

The government should allow smokers access to the better alternatives, it's the government's job to work out a set of effective regulations and balance the pro con for the good of public health.


Name: Fauzi A.

Date: 05/4/2019


Attn. Mr. Kwok Wai-keung, JP and Bills Committee,
Bills Committee on 2019 Smoking (Public Health) (Amendment) Ordinance
Legislative Council Complex,
1 Legislative Council Road

Bills Committee Members and Chairman Kwok,

Please **regulate alternative tobacco products, do not ban them**. I mean both e-cigarettes and Heated Tobacco Products.

We should balance the benefits of both sides, the public and the 600 thousand existing smokers. Banning the alternative tobacco products is taking away a better choice from the smoking population.

The government should allow smokers access to the better alternatives, it's the government's job to work out a set of effective regulations and balance the pro con for the good of public health.



Name: HANAFI

Date: 12 - 05 - 2019.

立法會CB(2)1515/18-19(1330)號文件
LC Paper No. CB(2)1515/18-19(1330)

Bills Committee on 2019 Smoking (Public Health) (Amendment) Ordinance


Legislative Council Complex,

1 Legislative Council Road

Attn. Mr. Kwok Wai-keung, JP and Bills Committee,

Dear Mr. Kwok & Bills Committee Members:

The ban is unnecessary, and we should be more open to these kinds of other tobacco products. I've seen people switching to the new products and it really improved their quality of life. Who are we preventing people from choosing a better alternative?



Name: B. WUWUNGAN

Date: 12 MAY 2019

Bills Committee on 2019 Smoking (Public Health) (Amendment) Ordinance


Legislative Council Complex,

1 Legislative Council Road

Attn. Mr. Kwok Wai-keung, JP and Bills Committee,

Dear Mr. Kwok & Bills Committee Members:

The ban is unnecessary, and we should be more open to these kinds of other tobacco products. I've seen people switching to the new products, and it really improved their quality of life. Who are we to stop people from choosing a better alternative?



Name: Desta Budiono

Date: 13/05/2019

立法會CB(2)1515/18-19(1332)號文件
LC Paper No. CB(2)1515/18-19(1332)

Bills Committee on 2019 Smoking (Public Health) (Amendment) Ordinance

Legislative Council Complex,

Legislative Council

Attn. Bills Committee and Secretary,

Make regulation, Not ban

To all Bills Committee Members:

I cannot believe the Hong Kong Government is trying to enforce a total ban on other tobacco products. Regulation should be the way out.

New tobacco products are already used by a portion of the smoking population in Hong Kong. There is evidence that the new products are a better alternative to continue smoking, and if you observe smokers on the streets, we are significant number of smokers using new tobacco products already.

I think that Government should regulate these products in Hong Kong, not ban them. This is a solution that will address Youth Smoking concerns while providing a better alternative for Adult smokers in Hong Kong.



Name: E. Tjuu

Date:

Attn. to Chairman Kwok & Bills Committee
2019 Smoking (Public Health) (Amendment) Ordinance
Legislative Council Complex
Legislative Council, Central, Hong Kong


Dear Chairman Kwok and Bills Committee Members:

I support and believe that regulating new tobacco products (such as Heat not Burn products) is a more sensible approach for the Hong Kong Government.

There are many discussions going on, both online and offline channels, about the ban on new tobacco products. It's evident that these products are better choices to smokers, and to their families and friends (who are non-smokers).

I think youth uptake is the greatest concern for the public now, and regarding that, it's the government's job to regulate and make sure these products are not accessible to youth. This can be achieved with proper education, regulation, and enforcement of laws.

Sincerely,



Name *Melina*

Date: *10.05.2019*

To:

Chairman Kwok & Bills Committee

Legislative Council Complex

Legislative Council

Relating to: 2019 Smoking (Public Health) (Amendment) Ordinance

Dear Chairman Kwok & Bills Committee Members:


I oppose Hong Kong Government's proposal to the ban on new tobacco products, it does not make sense.

How can the government ignore the fact that there are 600 thousand smokers in Hong Kong? They deserve a better choice! If you got to the street, you will smell the smoke here and there on the Hong Kong streets.

In my experience, the new tobacco product does not leave the strong smell of traditional cigarettes, and this means a lot to the public. This makes the street of Hong Kong more pleasant to walk on!

Both smoker and non-smokers would benefit from the new products. I would like the Government to regulate these products.

Sincerely,



Name | Rayn Hendri B.

Date:

12/5/19

Bills Committee on 2019 Smoking (Public Health) (Amendment) Ordinance

Legislative Council Complex, Legislative Council


Attn. Mr. Kwok Wai-keung, JP and Bills Committee,

Dear Kwok Wai Keung and Bills Committee Members:

I have listened to the public hearing happened last week and I would also like to voice out my opinion here through this submission.

I don't smoke, but I do respect people who make their decision to take up the smoking habit. I believe people have their own freedom of choice and the others have no rights to take away from them. I know there are a lot of smoking products available in the market, some may want traditional ones and some may prefer the new tobacco products. The government now proposed a complete ban on the new tobacco products does not really make sense to me. Smokers have their own rights to choose that is best for them, the government should not babysit people and pre-choose certain type of products for them

In a free market like Hong Kong, the government should not intervene the market and let the supply and demand goes with the natural flow and should trust their own citizens and let them make their own choice.



Name: Rendai Ruaw

Date: 12 Mei 2019

立法會CB(2)1515/18-19(1336)號文件
LC Paper No. CB(2)1515/18-19(1336)

Bills Committee on 2019 Smoking (Public Health) (Amendment) Ordinance

Legislative Council Complex,

1 Legislative Council Road

Attn. Mr. Kwok Wai-keung, JP and Bills Committee,

Dear Mr. Kwok & Bills Committee Members:

The proposed ban on alternative tobacco products is not right.

I strongly urge the HK Government to regulate the alternative tobacco products such as Heat not Burn products in Hong Kong.

We should be more open to these kinds of other tobacco products and reference what other countries such as Japan, Korea and UK are doing. I've seen people switching to the new products, and it really improved their quality of life.

Who are we to stop people from choosing a better alternative tobacco product? This is their choice!



Name: LAM MAN HE.

Date:

To the Attention of Mr. Kwok Wai-keung, JP and Bills Committee,
Bills Committee on 2019 Smoking (Public Health) (Amendment) Ordinance
Legislative Council Complex
Legislative Council

Dear Chairman WK Kwok and Bills Committee Members:

I am a concerned citizen who would like to take this chance to voice out my opinion regarding to the Smoking (Public Health) (Amendment) Ordinance.

I support regulation (not ban) of these alternative tobacco products in Hong Kong.

I am a non-smoker and I don't like the smell of traditional cigarette smoke. I saw in the news that the Hong Kong Government is now proposing a ban on the alternative tobacco products and this does not make sense to me. I know there are a lot of smoking products available in the market, some may want traditional ones, and some may prefer the alternative tobacco products.

The Government should not intervene the selling of these alternative tobacco products and let the smokers "who choose to continue to smoke", the option which is better off for non-smokers like me.

Name:



Date:

立法會CB(2)1515/18-19(1338)號文件
LC Paper No. CB(2)1515/18-19(1338)

Hon. Kwok Wai-keung, JP and Bills Committee,
Bills Committee on 2019 Smoking (Public Health) (Amendment) Ordinance
Legislative Council Complex
Legislative Council


Dear Chairman Kwok and respective Bills Committee Members:

I support regulating alternative tobacco products such as heated tobacco products (not ban). These products are already being sold in many countries around the world.

I am no experts in the science or technologies, but from my personal experience with friends that uses the new tobacco devices, I feel much more comfortable interacting with them without that cigarette smell and no second-hand smoke. I don't know if you have experience it, but the difference is huge.

Please study all the evidence and listen to voices of smokers, their non-smoker friends, and their families. Regulate heated tobacco products in Hong Kong!

Thanks a lot,



Name

Luke Tin Ming

Date:

11/5/2019.

Bills Committee on 2019 Smoking (Public Health) (Amendment) Ordinance

Legislative Council Complex,

Legislative Council

Attn. Mr. Kwok Wai-keung, JP and Bills Committee,

Dear Chairman Kwok and Bills Committee Members:

I demand the government to regulate the alternative tobacco products rather than imposing a complete ban.

Alternative tobacco products supposedly a better alternative for people to choose to continue smoking. New inventions like these should be embraced rather than being suppressed. I think that Government should regulate these products in Hong Kong, but not ban them.

Please take a look on the reports especially the recent one which is done by the FDA before making irrational decisions.

Best,

Name:



Date:

11/5/2019

立法會CB(2)1515/18-19(1340)號文件
LC Paper No. CB(2)1515/18-19(1340)

Bills Committee on 2019 Smoking (Public Health) (Amendment) Ordinance

Legislative Council Complex, Legislative Council

Attn. Mr. Kwok Wai-keung, JP and Bills Committee,

Dear Kwok Wai Keung and Bills Committee Members:


I have listened to the public hearing happened last week and I would also like to voice out my opinion here through this submission.

Regulation of these alternative tobacco products (such as Heated Tobacco Products) is the more appropriate approach!

I don't smoke, but I do respect people who make their decision to take up the smoking habit. I believe people have their own freedom of choice and the others have no rights to take away from them. I know there are a lot of smoking products available in the market, some may want traditional ones and some may prefer the new tobacco products.

In a free market like Hong Kong, the government should not intervene the market and let the supply and demand goes with the natural flow and should trust their own citizens and let them make their own choice.

Support Regulation of Heated Tobacco Products in Hong Kong!



Name: Kwan Chin Man

Date: 11-5-2019

致郭偉強及立法會《2019 年吸煙（公眾衛生）（修訂）條例草案》委員會

郭偉強主席：

我支持這些替代煙草產品的監管！我不同意禁令！


對我來說，政府提出的禁令建議正在剝奪吸煙者的選擇，一個與傳統捲菸相比更好的選擇。

多項研究已經證明，與傳統香煙比，這些新型煙草產品的危害風險要低得多。但是，政府似乎忽略了這些事實。

我希望你能幫助我們糾正錯誤，幫助實施一個系統的監管框架，並在公共教育中做好規劃。

請聽取我們的意見，並在香港立法監管（不禁止）這些替代煙草產品。

此致，

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'J. Chen', written over a horizontal line.

姓名: CHEN MAN NG

日期: 10/5/2019

立法會《2019 年吸煙（公眾衛生）（修訂）條例草案》委員會

反對禁令，支持監管


郭主席和委員會成員：

我要求政府重新考慮香港吸煙者的需求，監管這些替代煙草產品，例如加熱煙產品。
對這些產品的立法規管（而非禁絕）將為吸煙者提供更好的選擇。

我可以看到這些產品的潛在正面影響，可以改善吸煙者以及附近非吸煙者的生活。這些替代煙草產品顯然具有較少氣味，不會讓周圍的人感到不適。我無法相信政府，試圖立法迫使那些已經不再吸煙的人回去吸煙！

祝

安康



姓名: JEFFREY CHING HANG WONG.

日期: 14/5/2019

致立法會《2019 年吸煙（公眾衛生）（修訂）條例草案》委員會


委員會委員：

我希望香港政府能夠規範其他煙草產品，例如加熱煙，而非禁令！

政府需要向公眾傳達正確的信息，說明這些產品不是針對非吸煙者，而是給吸煙人士的更好選擇，而不是繼續吸食傳統捲煙。

全面的法規和教育框架（如傳統捲煙所做的那樣）將幫助我們最大限度地發揮新產品的效益，並最大限度地降低與之相關的風險(如吸引年輕人)。

請在香港立法監管這些產品。


姓名: 呂新榮

日期: 2019-11-10

致郭偉強及立法會《2019 年吸煙（公眾衛生）（修訂）條例草案》委員會

尊敬的郭先生及條例草案委員會成員：

對替代煙草製品（如加熱煙產品）的監管是正確的做法！

我的一些朋友和同行已轉用新的煙草產品，作為非吸煙者，我認為新煙草產品的氣味較少，當他們在聚會中吸煙時，四周的空氣質量得到了很大改善。實施禁令，這是否意味著他們需要再次回歸傳統香煙？政府為何要從他們手中奪去這個選擇？

我真的希望政府聽取香港人的看法，並不要禁止這些替代煙草製品。

祝

工作順利

何

姓名:

何蘇

日期:

10/5/2019

香港添馬添美道2號

政府總部東翼18樓

食物及衛生局局長

陳肇始教授，JP

及

香港中區立法會道1號

立法會綜合大樓

2019年吸煙(公眾衛生)(修訂)條例草案委員會主席

郭偉強議員，JP

尊敬的陳肇始局長及郭偉強主席：

本人觀看立法會就《2019年吸煙(公眾衛生)(修訂)條例草案》舉行的公聽會時，得知郭主席呼籲仍然需要發表意見的市民可向委員會提交意見書。有鑒於此，本人謹此向委員會提交意見書，亦請郭主席容許委員會委員傳閱此信。

我不是一個吸煙者，在社會上工作多年，而且日常工作上及跟朋友之間的溝通，間中都會受二手煙的影響，如果有加熱煙產品可用，或許它能對我較少影響，但我看到新聞，政府對加熱煙產品不批准買賣，對此感到失望，而且政府並沒有諮詢市民，特此來信，希望政府能多聽市民聲音，謝謝。

二零一九年五月九日

香港一個小市民

陸偉財 上

聯絡號碼：[REDACTED]



立法會《2019 年吸煙（公眾衛生）（修訂）條例草案》委員會

反對禁令，支持監管

郭主席和委員會成員：

我要求政府重新考慮香港吸煙者的需求，監管這些替代煙草產品，例如加熱煙產品。對這些產品的立法規管（而非禁絕）將為吸煙者提供更好的選擇。


我可以看到這些產品的潛在正面影響，可以改善吸煙者以及附近非吸煙者的生活。這些替代煙草產品顯然具有較少氣味，不會讓周圍的人感到不適。我無法相信政府，試圖立法迫使那些已經不再吸煙的人回去吸煙！

祝

安康

姓名:

日期:


黃拾仔
10/5/2019

致郭偉強及立法會《2019 年吸煙（公眾衛生）（修訂）條例草案》委員會

郭偉強主席：

我支持這些替代煙草產品的監管！我不同意禁令！


對我來說，政府提出的禁令建議正在剝奪吸煙者的選擇，一個與傳統捲菸相比更好的選擇。

多項研究已經證明，與傳統香煙比，這些新型煙草產品的危害風險要低得多。但是，政府似乎忽略了這些事實。

我希望你能幫助我們糾正錯誤，幫助實施一個系統的監管框架，並在公共教育中做好規劃。

請聽取我們的意見，並在香港立法監管（不禁止）這些替代煙草產品。

僅致，


姓名：賴秀英

日期：10/5/2019

致郭偉強及立法會《2019 年吸煙（公眾衛生）（修訂）條例草案》委員會

郭主席及委員們：

我想表達我的意見 - 請規管香港的其他煙草產品。

面對現實，香港現時有 60 萬名吸煙者。現在有一個更好的替代產品，我認為沒有理由禁止它。政府不應該從吸煙者那裡剝奪選擇。

我無法理解政府禁止它們的理論基礎。煙民有需求，我們無法阻止這一點，那麼為甚麼不允許吸煙者轉向更好的替代產品呢？

我促請香港政府監管香港的其他煙草產品！

祝，

身體健康

姓名：

日期：

鄭素英
10/5/2019

致郭主席及立法會《2019年吸煙（公眾衛生）（修訂）條例草案》委員會全體委員

尊敬的郭主席和法案委員會成員：

監管才是處理新煙草產品的正確方向。

擬議的禁令將是不合理的，不利於公共衛生。吸煙人士應該可以選擇比傳統吸煙更好的產品。
這項禁令將限制吸煙人士繼續吸食更有害的捲煙。

如果落實監管，政府當局將有資源和權力檢查或調查銷售渠道是否符合法律規定。我相信店主不願意非法向青少年銷售，因為他們不想承擔法律風險。因此，監管將使青少年更難獲得另類煙草產品。

請落實全面監管。

獻上最好的祝福，



姓名: WONG CHING YAN

日期: 11/5/2019

立法會《2019 年吸煙（公眾衛生）（修訂）條例草案》委員會

反對禁令，支持監管


郭主席和委員會成員：

我要求政府重新考慮香港吸煙者的需求，監管這些替代煙草產品，例如加熱煙產品。對這些產品的立法規管（而非禁絕）將為吸煙者提供更好的選擇。

我可以看到這些產品的潛在正面影響，可以改善吸煙者以及附近非吸煙者的生活。這些替代煙草產品顯然具有較少氣味，不會讓周圍的人感到不適。我無法相信政府，試圖立法迫使那些已經不再吸煙的人回去吸煙！

祝

安康



WONG PAK YUNG

姓名：

日期： 11-5-19

致郭偉強及立法會《2019 年吸煙（公眾衛生）（修訂）條例草案》委員會

尊敬的郭先生及條例草案委員會成員：


對替代煙草製品（如加熱煙產品）的監管是正確的做法！

我的一些朋友和同行已轉用新的煙草產品，作為非吸煙者，我認為新煙草產品的氣味較少，當他們在聚會中吸煙時，四周的空氣質量得到了很大改善。實施 禁令，這是否意味著他們需要再次回歸傳統香煙？政府為何要從他們手中奪去這個選擇？

我真的希望政府聽取香港人的看法，並不要禁止這些替代煙草製品。

祝,

工作順利



姓名:

CHENG KA HO

日期:

11 / 5 / 2019

致立法會《2019 年吸煙（公眾衛生）（修訂）條例草案》委員會委員

立法會《2019 年吸煙（公眾衛生）（修訂）條例草案》委員會委員：


我希望香港政府能夠規範其他煙草產品，例如加熱煙，而非禁令！

政府需要向公眾傳達正確的信息，說明這些產品不是針對非吸煙者，而是給吸煙人士的更好選擇，而不是繼續吸食傳統捲菸。

全面的法規和教育框架（如傳統捲菸所做的那樣）將幫助我們最大限度地發揮新產品的效益，並最大限度地降低與之相關的風險(如吸引年輕人)。

請在香港立法監管這些產品。





姓名：

日期：

11 / 5 / 2018

致郭偉強主席

立法會《2019 年吸煙（公眾衛生）（修訂）條例草案》委員會


郭主席：

我反對禁令，並支持對替代煙草製品的全面監管。

煙草控制的目標是保護公眾健康，香港在傳統香煙的確做得很好。

新的煙草產品讓吸煙者有更好選擇。世界其他國家，如日本，韓國和亞洲的馬來西亞，已經在監管這些替代煙草產品，如加熱非燃燒煙產品。為何香港政府不能這樣做，並在香港落實規管呢？

敬上，



姓名: 楊熾
日期: 10/5/2019

致立法會《2019 年吸煙（公眾衛生）（修訂）條例草案》委員會

委員會委員：

我希望香港政府能夠規範其他煙草產品，例如加熱煙，而非禁令！

政府需要向公眾傳達正確的信息，說明這些產品不是針對非吸煙者，而是給吸煙人士的更好選擇，而不是繼續吸食傳統捲煙。

全面的法規和教育框架（如傳統捲煙所做的那樣）將幫助我們最大限度地發揮新產品的效益，並最大限度地降低與之相關的風險(如吸引年輕人)。

請在香港立法監管這些產品。

姓名:



余錦輝

日期:

10/5/2019

致郭主席及立法會《2019 年吸煙（公眾衛生）（修訂）條例草案》委員會全體委員

尊敬的郭主席和法案委員會成員：


監管才是處理新煙草產品的正確方向。

擬議的禁令將是不合理的，不利於公共衛生。吸煙人士應該可以選擇比傳統吸煙更好的產品。這項禁令將限制吸煙人士繼續吸食更有害的捲煙。

如果落實監管，政府當局將有資源和權力檢查或調查銷售渠道是否符合法律規定。我相信店主不願意非法向青少年銷售，因為他們不想承擔法律風險。因此，監管將使青少年更難獲得另類煙草產品。

請落實全面監管。

獻上最好的祝福，



姓名: CHU SUI WAI
日期: 10/5/2019

香港添馬添美道 2 號

政府總部東翼 18 樓

食物及衛生局局長

陳肇始教授，JP

及

香港中區立法會道 1 號

立法會綜合大樓

2019 年吸煙(公眾衛生)(修訂)條例草案委員會主席

郭偉強議員，JP


尊敬的陳肇始局長及郭偉強主席：

本人觀看立法會就《2019 年吸煙(公眾衛生)(修訂)條例草案》舉行的公聽會時，得知郭主席呼籲仍然需要發表意見的市民可向委員會提交意見書。有鑒於此，本人謹此向委員會提交意見書，亦請郭主席容許委員會委員傳閱此信。

我是非吸煙者，經常受二手煙影響，看過一些加熱煙的資訊知道加熱煙比傳統煙較少氣味及影響，希望政府給煙民多一個選擇，令非吸煙者少一點影響，政府應該規管合法買賣加熱煙。

姓名: 吳靜茵

電話: 



致郭偉強及立法會《2019 年吸煙（公眾衛生）（修訂）條例草案》委員會

郭偉強主席：

我支持這些替代煙草產品的監管！我不同意禁令！


對我來說，政府提出的禁令建議正在剝奪吸煙者的選擇，一個與傳統捲菸相比更好的選擇。

多項研究已經證明，與傳統香煙比，這些新型煙草產品的危害風險要低得多。但是，政府似乎忽略了這些事實。

我希望你能幫助我們糾正錯誤，幫助實施一個系統的監管框架，並在公共教育中做好規劃。

請聽取我們的意見，並在香港立法監管（不禁止）這些替代煙草產品。


僅致，





姓名：

日期：



致：立法會《2019年吸煙（公眾衛生）（修訂）條例草案》委員會

委員會成員

我執筆是為了表達我對最近提出的禁止替代煙草產品的法案的擔憂。我認為政府應該考慮採用不同的方法，並且應該對這些產品進行管理，而不是完全禁止它們。

這項禁令對香港的控煙工作不利。如果你真心要保護公眾健康，請保障吸煙者的選擇。我們應該給他們一個更好的選擇，禁止它根本於事無補。

香港政府應該為所有人的最佳利益監管新產品。請監管這些新的煙草製品，以保護公眾健康。

謝謝



姓名：蕭琮芳

日期：2019-5-10

致郭偉強及立法會《2019 年吸煙（公眾衛生）（修訂）條例草案》委員會

郭主席及委員們：

我想表達我的意見 - 請規管香港的其他煙草產品。

面對現實，香港現時有 60 萬名吸煙者。現在有一個更好的替代產品，我認為沒有理由禁止它。政府不應該從吸煙者那裡剝奪選擇。

我無法理解政府禁止它們的理論基礎。煙民有需求，我們無法阻止這一點，那麼為甚麼不允許吸煙者轉向更好的替代產品呢？

我促請香港政府監管香港的其他煙草產品！

祝，

身體健康



姓名: 袁文安

日期: 10-5-2019

致郭偉強及立法會《2019 年吸煙（公眾衛生）（修訂）條例草案》委員會

郭偉強主席：

我支持這些替代煙草產品的監管！我不同意禁令！


對我來說，政府提出的禁令建議正在剝奪吸煙者的選擇，一個與傳統捲菸相比更好的選擇。

多項研究已經證明，與傳統香煙比，這些新型煙草產品的危害風險要低得多。但是，政府似乎忽略了這些事實。

我希望你能幫助我們糾正錯誤，幫助實施一個系統的監管框架，並在公共教育中做好規劃。

請聽取我們的意見，並在香港立法監管（不禁止）這些替代煙草產品。

僅致，



姓名：林瑞婷

日期：5-10-2019.

立法會CB(2)1515/18-19(1361)號文件
LC Paper No. CB(2)1515/18-19(1361)

Smoking (Public Health) (Amendment) Bill 2019

Legislative Council Complex,

1 Legislative Council Road


Attn. Chairman Kwok Wai Keung, JP and Bills Committee,

Dear Chairman Kwok and the Bills Committee Members of the above Bill:

I am writing regarding to the proposed ban on alternative tobacco products. I ask all of you as the members of the Bills Committee to amend this bill and allow this better product (heated tobacco product) to be available in the market.

I am a non-smoker, but I really hate the smell of traditional cigarettes. These alternative tobacco products are giving out less smoke which has less irritation effects on me. And it is very unreasonable for the government to propose a ban on a better product. You are taking the choice for me as a non-smoker!

Please regulate the alternative tobacco products instead of banning them.


Name: Becky Fung

Date: 14/05/2019

致：立法會《2019 年吸煙（公眾衛生）（修訂）條例草案》委員會

委員會成員

我執筆是為了表達我對最近提出的禁止替代煙草產品的法案的擔憂。我認為政府應該考慮採用不同的方法，並且應該對這些產品進行管理，而不是完全禁止它們。

這項禁令對香港的控煙工作不利。如果你真心要保護公眾健康，請保障吸煙者的選擇。我們應該給他們一個更好的選擇，禁止它根本於事無補。

香港政府應該為所有人的最佳利益監管新產品。請監管這些新的煙草製品，以保護公眾健康。

謝謝



姓名：鍾廣高

日期：11-5-2019

立法會《2019年吸煙（公眾衛生）（修訂）條例草案》委員會

香港 中環

立法會綜合大樓

致郭偉強主席

郭主席和委員會成員：

我不同意政府決定禁止其他替代煙草產品。


我支持監管這些新煙草產品而不是實施禁令，我對目前方案的擔憂是：政府沒有披露對比香煙和這些新煙草產品的數據。如果必須對某些事情進行監管或禁止，公眾有權知道政府考慮的因素，做出方面全面考慮，以選擇自己的立場。

現在，我所看到的只是有證據表明新產品對吸煙者來說是更好的選擇，並且沒有證據表明引進會鼓勵年輕人開始吸煙。

我強烈反對禁令，並深信監管才是正確選擇。

祝

工作愉快


姓名：

日期： 10/5/19

立法會《2019 年吸煙（公眾衛生）（修訂）條例草案》委員會

反對禁令，支持監管


郭主席和委員會成員：

我要求政府重新考慮香港吸煙者的需求，監管這些替代煙草產品，例如加熱煙產品。對這些產品的立法規管（而非禁絕）將為吸煙者提供更好的選擇。

我可以看到這些產品的潛在正面影響，可以改善吸煙者以及附近非吸煙者的生活。這些替代煙草產品顯然具有較少氣味，不會讓周圍的人感到不適。我無法相信政府，試圖立法迫使那些已經不再吸煙的人回去吸煙！

祝

安康





姓名：

日期：



立法會CB(2)1515/18-19(1365)號文件
LC Paper No. CB(2)1515/18-19(1365)

Hon. Kwok Wai-keung, JP and Bills Committee,
Bills Committee on 2019 Smoking (Public Health) (Amendment) Ordinance
Legislative Council Complex
Legislative Council


Dear Chairman Kwok and respective Bills Committee Members:

I support regulating alternative tobacco products such as heated tobacco products (not ban). These products are already being sold in many countries around the world.

I am no experts in the science or technologies, but from my personal experience with friends that uses the new tobacco devices, I feel much more comfortable interacting with them without that cigarette smell and no second-hand smoke. I don't know if you have experience it, but the difference is huge.

Please study all the evidence and listen to voices of smokers, their non-smoker friends, and their families. Regulate heated tobacco products in Hong Kong!

Thanks a lot,



CHE NGA CHI

Name

Date: 6/5/2019

Attention to:

Hon. Kwok Wai-keung, JP and Bills Committee,
Bills Committee on 2019 Smoking (Public Health) (Amendment) Ordinance
Legislative Council Complex, Legislative Council
Central, Hong Kong

Dear Chairman Kwok and Bills Committee Members:

I disagree with the government's proposal to ban heated tobacco products.


Regulation is the most appropriate and fair approach!

In Hong Kong, the smoking incidence is low, but I still know a few smokers. Recently, they've switched to those heated tobacco products, and they're really positive about them. I am happy for their switch and it made interacting with them much more pleasant and less smelly!

I know that people might have concerns of youth uptake if other tobacco products are sold in HK. I think the opposite, regulating can reduce the chance of youth having access to these products since channels where these tobacco products are sold legally will not sell to them.

Regulate, not ban!!

Thanks a lot,



SHUN SATO

Name

Date:

10/05/19

Attn. Mr. Kwok Wai-keung, JP and Bills Committee,
Bills Committee on 2019 Smoking (Public Health) (Amendment) Ordinance
Legislative Council Complex, Legislative Council
Central, Hong Kong

Dear Mr. Kwok and Bills Committee Members:


I'm writing to express my concern on the proposed ban on heat not burn product.

Regulation is the key to proper tobacco control.

This ban is a step backward for Hong Kong's tobacco control. The ultimate goal for tobacco control is to protect public health, and Hong Kong has a very low smoking rate already. There are services that help smokers quit, but to be realistic, they're not successful for all smokers.

There are people that just chooses to smoke no matter what, know the health hazard and despite that cigarettes are expensive. The new tobacco products are better options for theses smokers, while giving them a choice from the more harmful cigarettes.

Best Regards,





Name

Date: 11 May 2019

To:

Hon. Kwok Wai-keung, JP and Bills Committee,

Bills Committee on 2019 Smoking (Public Health) (Amendment) Ordinance

Legislative Council Complex, Legislative Council

Dear Chairman Kwok and Bills Committee Members:


I disagree with the ban on heat not burn products. It should be regulated in Hong Kong in stead of a complete ban.

I have colleagues that are smokers and they are aware of the health risks related to smoking, but they decided to continue to smoke. My colleagues who have switched told me that they prefer these alternative tobacco products to smoking cigarettes.

I don't understand why the government would consider banning it if that is the case.

As consumer, they deserve a choice.

Thanks,


_____

Name

Date: 13/5/2019

致郭偉強及立法會《2019 年吸煙（公眾衛生）（修訂）條例草案》委員會

郭主席及委員們：

請規管香港的其他煙草產品！

請認清現實，香港 60 萬名煙民。政府不應該從吸煙者那裡剝奪選擇更好替代品的權利。

我無法理解政府禁止它們的原因。煙民有吸煙的需求，我們無法阻止這一點，那麼為甚麼不允許吸煙者轉向更好的替代產品呢？

我促請香港政府監管香港的其他煙草產品，保障煙民的權利！

祝，

身體健康



姓名: TANG KAI KIN

日期: 14/05/2019

Bills Committee on 2019 Smoking (Public Health) (Amendment) Ordinance

Legislative Council Complex, Legislative Council

Attn. Mr. Kwok Wai-keung, JP and Bills Committee,

Dear Kwok Wai Keung and Bills Committee Members:

I heard about the Public Hearings in April and I would also like to voice out my opinion here.

I agree with Regulation of these alternative tobacco products (such as Heated Tobacco Products) – banning them is not the right approach!

Many of my friends are smokers.

In a free market like Hong Kong, the government should not intervene the market and should trust their own citizens and let them make their own choices.

Support Regulation of Heated Tobacco Products in Hong Kong!



Name: FUNG MING YU PETER

Date:

13/5/2019

致郭偉強及立法會《2019 年吸煙（公眾衛生）（修訂）條例草案》委員會

郭主席及委員們：

請規管香港的其他煙草產品！


請認清現實，香港 60 萬名煙民。政府不應該從吸煙者那裡剝奪選擇更好替代品的權利。

我無法理解政府禁止它們的原因。煙民有吸煙的需求，我們無法阻止這一點，那麼為甚麼不允許吸煙者轉向更好的替代產品呢？

我促請香港政府監管香港的其他煙草產品，保障煙民的權利！

祝，

身體健康



姓名：

日期：12/5/2019

致郭偉強及立法會《2019 年吸煙（公眾衛生）（修訂）條例草案》委員會

郭主席及委員們:

請規管香港的其他煙草產品！


請認清現實，香港 60 萬名煙民。政府不應該從吸煙者那裡剝奪選擇更好替代品的權利。

我無法理解政府禁止它們的原因。煙民有吸煙的需求，我們無法阻止這一點，那麼為甚麼不允許吸煙者轉向更好的替代產品呢？

我促請香港政府監管香港的其他煙草產品，保障煙民的權利!

祝,

身體健康



姓名: 羅浚傑

日期: 14-5-2019

致郭偉強主席及立法會《2019 年吸煙（公眾衛生）（修訂）條例草案》委員會全體委員：

親愛的郭主席和法案委員會委員：

請不要禁止替代煙草產品。政府應該對其進行監管（就像現實對傳統捲菸和酒精的做法一樣），並通過法律有效避免青少年接觸有關產品。

我不明白為什麼政府建議禁止，新產品對吸煙者來說是一個更好的選擇，政府應該積極教育吸煙人士，讓他們轉向更好的選擇，戒煙或轉用這些替代煙草製品。

監管將是青少年消費新一類煙草產品的更好方法，因為通過法規，政府和學校可以實施適當的課程和宣傳，以便在學校開始教育青少年在這個問題上的正確概念和態度。

我強烈反對禁止替代煙草製品，並認為監管才是出路。



姓名: Elke Chin

日期: May 9, 19

Hon. Kwok Wai Keung, Chairman of Bills Committee
2019 Smoking (Public Health) (Amendment) Ordinance
Legislative Council

Chairman Kwok and Bills Committee Members:

I am deeply disappointed by the news that the government is going to ban alternative tobacco products, such as e-cigarettes, and heat not burn products.

I urge the Hong Kong Government to regulate these products instead!

I saw some news about US FDA authorizing the iQOS device in the States. I wonder what's the response of the HKSAR government to that.

Formulate a proper set of rules and regulations to manage the device is the reasonable choice.

Thanks,



Name: 

Date: 

Attn. Chairman Kwok &
Bills Committee on 2019 Smoking (Public Health) (Amendment) Ordinance
Legislative Council Complex
Legislative Council
Central, Hong Kong

Dear Chairman Kwok and Bills Committee Members:

I oppose a total ban on alternative tobacco products in Hong Kong and want a regulated approach.

I am a non-smoker and my friends around me are smokers. I support people to quit smoking. But not until I realize what this ban is taking away from my friends, where some of them have changed to using those new products instead of smoking. It is much more pleasant environment to me as a non-smoker when I am with them.

This ban will force them to choose traditional cigarettes again.

I see no reason to rule this option out for smokers, especially when there's observable difference in the impact to smokers and the non-smokers around them. Some people just can't quit, so they deserve a choice, a choice for a better alternative.

Thanks,



Kevin Hui

Name

Date: May 9, 2019

立法會CB(2)1515/18-19(1376)號文件
LC Paper No. CB(2)1515/18-19(1376)

Hon. Kwok Wai-keung, JP and Bills Committee,
Bills Committee on 2019 Smoking (Public Health) (Amendment) Ordinance
Legislative Council Complex
Legislative Council


Dear Chairman Kwok and respective Bills Committee Members:

I support regulating alternative tobacco products such as heated tobacco products (not ban). These products are already being sold in many countries around the world.

I am no experts in the science or technologies, but from my personal experience with friends that uses the new tobacco devices, I feel much more comfortable interacting with them without that cigarette smell and no second-hand smoke. I don't know if you have experience it, but the difference is huge.

Please study all the evidence and listen to voices of smokers, their non-smoker friends, and their families. Regulate heated tobacco products in Hong Kong!

Thanks a lot,


_____

Name

Date:

2019 Smoking (Public Health) (Amendment) Ordinance

Legislative Council Complex/Legislative Council

To: Chairman Kwok & Bills Committee


Dear Chairman Kwok and Bills Committee Members:

I hope the HKSAR government would not ban the new tobacco products such as heat not burn products, but regulate it for the good of society.

The government always say they'll work hard on tobacco control and target to reduce smoking population to certain percent by when, but they ignore the current smokers out there! What about them? Do you think simply ruling out options for them to choose those new tobacco products would help them? I have friends that have switch to use heat not burn products, and it improved their quality of lives, and not only that, also to people who interact with them.

There is evidence that these new products are helping smokers, and I cannot understand why and how the government came up with the thought that banning them is the way to go. Committee, please bring us a proper regulatory framework, and make a difference.

Thank you,



Name Estelle Renia

Date: 13/05/2019

立法會《2019 年吸煙（公眾衛生）（修訂）條例草案》委員會

反對禁令，支持監管


郭主席和委員會成員：

我要求政府重新考慮香港吸煙者的需求，監管這些替代煙草產品，例如加熱煙產品。對這些產品的立法規管（而非禁絕）將為吸煙者提供更好的選擇。

我可以看到這些產品的潛在正面影響，可以改善吸煙者以及附近非吸煙者的生活。這些替代煙草產品顯然具有較少氣味，不會讓周圍的人感到不適。我無法相信政府，試圖立法迫使那些已經不再吸煙的人回去吸煙！

祝

安康



姓名：

林志強

日期：

10/5/2019

Hon. Kwok Wai Keung, Chairman of Bills Committee
2019 Smoking (Public Health) (Amendment) Ordinance
Legislative Council

Chairman Kwok and Bills Committee Members:


I am deeply disappointed by the news that the government is going to ban alternative tobacco products, such as e-cigarettes, and heat not burn products.

I urge the Hong Kong Government to regulate these products instead!

I saw some news about US FDA authorizing the iQOS device in the States. I wonder what's the response of the HKSAR government to that.

Formulate a proper set of rules and regulations to manage the device is the reasonable choice.

Thanks,



Name: *Leung Fsz Ming*
Date: *11/5/2019*

Attention to:

Hon. Kwok Wai-keung, JP and Bills Committee,
Bills Committee on 2019 Smoking (Public Health) (Amendment) Ordinance
Legislative Council Complex, Legislative Council
Central, Hong Kong

Dear Chairman Kwok and Bills Committee Members:

I strongly disagree with the government's proposal to ban heated tobacco products!


Regulation is the proper approach.

In Hong Kong, the smoking incidence is low, but I still know a few smokers. Recently, they've switched to those heated tobacco products, and they're really positive about them. I am happy for their switch and it made interacting with them much more pleasant and less smelly!

I know that people might have concerns of youth uptake if other tobacco products are sold in HK. I think the opposite, regulating can reduce the chance of youth having access to these products since channels where these tobacco products are sold legally will not sell to them.

Regulate, not ban!!

Thanks a lot,



Carmay Lee

Name

12 May 2019

Date:

Hon. Kwok Wai-keung, JP and Bills Committee,
Bills Committee on 2019 Smoking (Public Health) (Amendment) Ordinance
Legislative Council Complex
Legislative Council

Dear Chairman Kwok and respective Bills Committee Members:

I support regulating alternative tobacco products such as heated tobacco products (not ban) , IQOS . These products are already being sold in many countries around the world.

I am no experts in the science or technologies, but from my personal experience with friends that uses the new tobacco devices, I feel much more comfortable interacting with them without that cigarette smell and no second-hand smoke. I don't know if you have experience it, but the difference is huge.

Please study all the evidence and listen to voices of smokers, their non-smoker friends, and their families. Regulate heated tobacco products in Hong Kong!

Thanks a lot,



Name

Leung Ching Man, Mendy

Date:

10 May 2019.

Attn. Mr. Kwok Wai-keung, JP and Bills Committee,
Bills Committee on 2019 Smoking (Public Health) (Amendment) Ordinance
Legislative Council Complex, Legislative Council
Central, Hong Kong

Dear Mr. Kwok and Bills Committee Members:

I'm writing to express my concern on the proposed ban on other tobacco products.

Regulation is the most proper approach.

This ban is a step backward for Hong Kong's tobacco control. The ultimate goal for tobacco control is to protect public health, and Hong Kong has a very low smoking rate already. There are services that help smokers quit, but to be realistic, they're not successful for all smokers.

There are people that just chooses to smoke no matter what, know the health hazard and despite that cigarettes are expensive. The new tobacco products are better options for theses smokers, while giving them a choice from the more harmful cigarettes.

Best Regards,

Josephine Ward

Name Josephine Ward

Date: 11 May 2019

致郭偉強主席及立法會《2019 年吸煙（公眾衛生）（修訂）條例草案》委員會全體委員：


親愛的郭主席和法案委員會委員：

請不要禁止替代煙草產品。政府應該對其進行監管（就像現實對傳統捲菸和酒精的做法一樣），並通過法律有效避免青少年接觸有關產品。

我不明白為什麼政府建議禁止，新產品對吸煙者來說是一個更好的選擇，政府應該積極教育吸煙人士，讓他們轉向更好的選擇，戒煙或轉用這些替代煙草製品。

監管將是青少年消費新一類煙草產品的更好方法，因為通過法規，政府和學校可以實施適當的課程和宣傳，以便在學校開始教育青少年在這個問題上的正確概念和態度。

我強烈反對禁止替代煙草製品，並認為監管才是出路。



姓名：

方嘉欣

日期：

2-5-2019

立法會CB(2)1515/18-19(1384)號文件
LC Paper No. CB(2)1515/18-19(1384)

Hon. Kwok Wai-keung, JP and Bills Committee,
Bills Committee on 2019 Smoking (Public Health) (Amendment) Ordinance
Legislative Council Complex
Legislative Council



Dear Chairman Kwok and respective Bills Committee Members:

I support regulating alternative tobacco products such as heated tobacco products (not ban). These products are already being sold in many countries around the world.

I am no experts in the science or technologies, but from my personal experience with friends that uses the new tobacco devices, I feel much more comfortable interacting with them without that cigarette smell and no second-hand smoke. I don't know if you have experience it, but the difference is huge.

Please study all the evidence and listen to voices of smokers, their non-smoker friends, and their families. Regulate heated tobacco products in Hong Kong!

Thanks a lot,


_____

Name

Date:

10/5/19

致郭主席及立法會《2019年吸煙（公眾衛生）（修訂）條例草案》委員會全體委員

尊敬的郭主席和法案委員會成員：


監管才是處理新煙草產品的正確方向。


擬議的禁令將是不合理的，不利於公共衛生。吸煙人士應該可以選擇比傳統吸煙更好的產品。
這項禁令將限制吸煙人士繼續吸食更有害的捲煙。

如果落實監管，政府當局將有資源和權力檢查或調查銷售渠道是否符合法律規定。我相信店主不願意非法向青少年銷售，因為他們不想承擔法律風險。因此，監管將使青少年更難獲得另類煙草產品。

請落實全面監管。

獻上最好的祝福，





姓名：

日期： 11-5-2019

致立法會《2019 年吸煙（公眾衛生）（修訂）條例草案》委員會委員


立法會《2019 年吸煙（公眾衛生）（修訂）條例草案》委員會委員：


我希望香港政府能夠規範其他煙草產品，例如加熱煙，而非禁令！

政府需要向公眾傳達正確的信息，說明這些產品不是針對非吸煙者，而是給吸煙人士的更好選擇，而不是繼續吸食傳統捲菸。

全面的法規和教育框架（如傳統捲菸所做的那樣）將幫助我們最大限度地發揮新產品的效益，並最大限度地降低與之相關的風險(如吸引年輕人)。

請在香港立法監管這些產品。



姓名: 

日期: 2019.5.17

致郭偉強主席

立法會《2019 年吸煙（公眾衛生）（修訂）條例草案》委員會

郭主席:

我反對禁令，並支持對替代煙草製品的全面監管。

煙草控制的目標是保護公眾健康，香港在傳統香煙的確做得很好。

新的煙草產品讓吸煙者有更好選擇。世界其他國家，如日本，韓國和亞洲的馬來西亞，已經在監管這些替代煙草產品，如加熱非燃燒煙產品。為何香港政府不能這樣做，並在香港落實規管呢？

敬上,



姓名: Cho Nga Yee

日期: 11.3.19

致：立法會《2019 年吸煙（公眾衛生）（修訂）條例草案》委員會


委員會成員

我執筆是為了表達我對最近提出的禁止替代煙草產品的法案的擔憂。我認為政府應該考慮採用不同的方法，並且應該對這些產品進行管理，而不是完全禁止它們。

這項禁令對香港的控煙工作不利。如果你真心要保護公眾健康，請保障吸煙者的選擇。我們應該給他們一個更好的選擇，禁止它根本於事無補。

香港政府應該為所有人的最佳利益監管新產品。請監管這些新的煙草製品，以保護公眾健康。

謝謝



姓名：麥聯偉

日期：11 MAY 2019.

立法會《2019 年吸煙（公眾衛生）（修訂）條例草案》委員會

香港 中環

立法會綜合大樓

致郭偉強主席

郭主席和委員會成員：

我不同意政府決定禁止其他替代煙草產品。


我支持監管這些新煙草產品而不是實施禁令，我對目前方案的擔憂是：政府沒有披露對比香煙和這些新煙草產品的數據。如果必須對某些事情進行監管或禁止，公眾有權知道政府考慮的因素，做出方面全面考慮，以選擇自己的立場。

現在，我所看到的只是有證據表明新產品對吸煙者來說是更好的選擇，並且沒有證據表明引進會鼓勵年輕人開始吸煙。

我強烈反對禁令，並深信監管才是正確選擇。

祝

工作愉快



姓名: CHEUNG CHING LOK.

日期: 4/5/2019

立法會《2019年吸煙（公眾衛生）（修訂）條例草案》委員會

反對禁令，支持監管


郭主席和委員會成員：

我要求政府重新考慮香港吸煙者的需求，監管這些替代煙草產品，例如加熱煙產品。對這些產品的立法規管（而非禁絕）將為吸煙者提供更好的選擇。

我可以看到這些產品的潛在正面影響，可以改善吸煙者以及附近非吸煙者的生活。這些替代煙草產品顯然具有較少氣味，不會讓周圍的人感到不適。我無法相信政府，試圖立法迫使那些已經不再吸煙的人回去吸煙！

祝

安康



姓名:

日期: 02/05/2019

立法會《2019 年吸煙（公眾衛生）（修訂）條例草案》委員會

反對禁令，支持監管


郭主席和委員會成員：

我要求政府重新考慮香港吸煙者的需求，監管這些替代煙草產品，例如加熱煙產品。對這些產品的立法規管（而非禁絕）將為吸煙者提供更好的選擇。

我可以看到這些產品的潛在正面影響，可以改善吸煙者以及附近非吸煙者的生活。這些替代煙草產品顯然具有較少氣味，不會讓周圍的人感到不適。我無法相信政府，試圖立法迫使那些已經不再吸煙的人回去吸煙！

祝

安康

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to be 'CHAN CHUI SHAN', written over a horizontal line.

姓名: CHAN CHUI SHAN

日期: 11/5/2019

立法會《2019 年吸煙（公眾衛生）（修訂）條例草案》委員會

反對禁令，支持監管


郭主席和委員會成員：

我要求政府重新考慮香港吸煙者的需求，監管這些替代煙草產品，例如加熱煙產品。對這些產品的立法規管（而非禁絕）將為吸煙者提供更好的選擇。

我可以看到這些產品的潛在正面影響，可以改善吸煙者以及附近非吸煙者的生活。這些替代煙草產品顯然具有較少氣味，不會讓周圍的人感到不適。我無法相信政府，試圖立法迫使那些已經不再吸煙的人回去吸煙！

祝

安康



姓名: 李澤韜

日期: 11 MAY 2019.

致郭偉強及立法會《2019 年吸煙（公眾衛生）（修訂）條例草案》委員會

郭主席及委員們：

我想表達我的意見 - 請規管香港的其他煙草產品。


面對現實，香港現時有 60 萬名吸煙者。現在有一個更好的替代產品，我認為沒有理由禁止它。政府不應該從吸煙者那裡剝奪選擇。

我無法理解政府禁止它們的理論基礎。煙民有需求，我們無法阻止這一點，那麼為甚麼不允許吸煙者轉向更好的替代產品呢？

我促請香港政府監管香港的其他煙草產品！

祝，

身體健康



姓名: Mak Hin Chung

日期: 11.5.2019

致郭偉強及立法會《2019 年吸煙（公眾衛生）（修訂）條例草案》委員會

郭偉強主席：

我支持這些替代煙草產品的監管！我不同意禁令！



對我來說，政府提出的禁令建議正在剝奪吸煙者的選擇，一個與傳統捲菸相比更好的選擇。

多項研究已經證明，與傳統香煙比，這些新型煙草產品的危害風險要低得多。但是，政府似乎忽略了這些事實。

我希望你能幫助我們糾正錯誤，幫助實施一個系統的監管框架，並在公共教育中做好規劃。

請聽取我們的意見，並在香港立法監管（不禁止）這些替代煙草產品。

僅致,



姓名：

日期：11 MAY 2019

致郭偉強及立法會《2019 年吸煙（公眾衛生）（修訂）條例草案》委員會

郭主席及委員們：

我想表達我的意見 - 請規管香港的其他煙草產品。

面對現實，香港現時有 60 萬名吸煙者。現在有一個更好的替代產品，我認為沒有理由禁止它。政府不應該從吸煙者那裡剝奪選擇。

我無法理解政府禁止它們的理論基礎。煙民有需求，我們無法阻止這一點，那麼為甚麼不允許吸煙者轉向更好的替代產品呢？

我促請香港政府監管香港的其他煙草產品！

祝，

身體健康



Ho Yee Tung

姓名：

日期： 11-5-2019


Attn. Mr. Kwok Wai-keung, JP and Bills Committee,
Bills Committee on 2019 Smoking (Public Health) (Amendment) Ordinance
Legislative Council Complex, Legislative Council

Dear Mr. Kwok and Bills Committee Members:

I would like to request the government to openly consider the needs of smokers in Hong Kong by regulating these alternative tobacco products such as Heat not Burn products. A regulation (not a ban) towards these products will provide a better alternative for smokers.

I can see the potential positive impact of these products which can improve the lives of smokers and even non-smokers nearby. These alternative tobacco products apparently have less lingering smell that will not upset people around us. I cannot believe the government is trying to force the people who has already switched to go back to smoking!

Kind regards,


Name SHUM LUNG

Date: 11.5.18

致郭偉強主席

立法會《2019 年吸煙（公眾衛生）（修訂）條例草案》委員會

郭主席：


我反對禁令，並支持對替代煙草製品的全面監管。

煙草控制的目標是保護公眾健康，香港在傳統香煙的確做得很好。

新的煙草產品讓吸煙者有更好選擇。世界其他國家，如日本，韓國和亞洲的馬來西亞，已經在監管這些替代煙草產品，如加熱非燃燒煙產品。為何香港政府不能這樣做，並在香港落實規管呢？

敬上，





姓名: 

日期: 11-05-19,

致立法會《2019年吸煙（公眾衛生）（修訂）條例草案》委員會委員

立法會《2019年吸煙（公眾衛生）（修訂）條例草案》委員會委員：

我希望香港政府能夠規範其他煙草產品，例如加熱煙，而非禁令！

政府需要向公眾傳達正確的信息，說明這些產品不是針對非吸煙者，而是給吸煙人士的更好選擇，而不是繼續吸食傳統捲菸。

全面的法規和教育框架（如傳統捲菸所做的那樣）將幫助我們最大限度地發揮新產品的效益，並最大限度地降低與之相關的風險（如吸引年輕人）。

請在香港立法監管這些產品。



姓名: Yau Ki Mau

日期: 11/5.

致郭偉強主席

立法會《2019 年吸煙（公眾衛生）（修訂）條例草案》委員會


郭主席：

我反對禁令，並支持對替代煙草製品的全面監管。

煙草控制的目標是保護公眾健康，香港在傳統香煙的確做得很好。

新的煙草產品讓吸煙者有更好選擇。世界其他國家，如日本，韓國和亞洲的馬來西亞，已經在監管這些替代煙草產品，如加熱非燃燒煙產品。為何香港政府不能這樣做，並在香港落實規管呢？

敬上，



姓名: *Dr. CHENG TAK CHUEN*

日期: *11/5/19.*

致立法會《2019 年吸煙（公眾衛生）（修訂）條例草案》委員會委員


立法會《2019 年吸煙（公眾衛生）（修訂）條例草案》委員會委員：

我希望香港政府能夠規範其他煙草產品，例如加熱煙，而非禁令！

政府需要向公眾傳達正確的信息，說明這些產品不是針對非吸煙者，而是給吸煙人士的更好選擇，而不是繼續吸食傳統捲菸。

全面的法規和教育框架（如傳統捲菸所做的那樣）將幫助我們最大限度地發揮新產品的效益，並最大限度地降低與之相關的風險(如吸引年輕人)。

請在香港立法監管這些產品。



Wong Shu Ann

姓名：

日期： 11 / 5 / 19