

**立法會**  
**Legislative Council**

LC Paper No. PWSC158/18-19  
(These minutes have been seen  
by the Administration)

Ref : CB1/F/2/1(13)B

**Public Works Subcommittee of the Finance Committee  
of the Legislative Council**

**Minutes of the 12<sup>th</sup> meeting  
held in Conference Room 1 of the Legislative Council Complex  
on Wednesday, 20 February 2019, at 8:30 am**

**Members present:**

Ir Dr Hon LO Wai-kwok, SBS, MH, JP (Chairman)

Hon Charles Peter MOK, JP (Deputy Chairman)

Hon Tommy CHEUNG Yu-yan, GBS, JP

Hon Starry LEE Wai-king, SBS, JP

Hon CHAN Hak-kan, BBS, JP

Dr Hon Priscilla LEUNG Mei-fun, SBS, JP

Hon Claudia MO

Hon Michael TIEN Puk-sun, BBS, JP

Hon Frankie YICK Chi-ming, SBS, JP

Hon WU Chi-wai, MH

Hon MA Fung-kwok, SBS, JP

Hon CHAN Chi-chuen

Hon CHAN Han-pan, BBS, JP

Hon LEUNG Che-cheung, SBS, MH, JP

Hon Alice MAK Mei-kuen, BBS, JP

Dr Hon KWOK Ka-ki

Dr Hon Fernando CHEUNG Chiu-hung

Dr Hon Helena WONG Pik-wan

Hon Alvin YEUNG

Hon Andrew WAN Siu-kin

Hon CHU Hoi-dick  
Dr Hon Junius HO Kwan-yiu, JP  
Hon HO Kai-ming  
Hon Holden CHOW Ho-ding  
Hon Wilson OR Chong-shing, MH  
Hon Tanya CHAN  
Hon CHEUNG Kwok-kwan, JP  
Hon HUI Chi-fung  
Hon LUK Chung-hung, JP  
Hon LAU Kwok-fan, MH  
Dr Hon CHENG Chung-tai  
Hon Jeremy TAM Man-ho  
Hon Gary FAN Kwok-wai  
Hon AU Nok-hin  
Hon Vincent CHENG Wing-shun, MH  
Hon Tony TSE Wai-chuen, BBS  
Hon CHAN Hoi-yan

**Members absent:**

Hon Abraham SHEK Lai-him, GBS, JP  
Hon KWONG Chun-yu

**Public officers attending:**

Mr Raistlin LAU Chun, JP	Deputy Secretary for Financial Services and the Treasury (Treasury) <sup>3</sup>
Mr LAM Sai-hung, JP	Permanent Secretary for Development (Works)
Ms Bernadette LINN, JP	Permanent Secretary for Development (Planning and Lands)
Mr Elvis AU Wai-kwong, JP	Deputy Director of Environmental Protection (1)
Ms Margaret HSIA Mai-chi	Principal Assistant Secretary for Financial Services and the Treasury (Treasury) (Works)

Mr Sonny AU Chi-kwong, PDSM, PMSM, JP	Under Secretary for Security
Miss Winnie CHUI Hiu-lo	Principal Assistant Secretary for Security (C)
Mrs Sylvia LAM YU Ka-wai, JP	Director of Architectural Services
Mr Frank WONG Tak-choi, JP	Project Director (1) Architectural Services Department
Ms CHAN Kwan-fong	Senior Project Manager 127 Architectural Services Department
Mr Erick TSANG Kwok-wai, IDSM	Director of Immigration
Mr Gavin HO Ka-wing	Assistant Director (Management and Support) Immigration Department
Mr Ken TSE Shing-ngai	Assistant Principal Immigration Officer (Planning) Immigration Department

**Clerk in attendance:**

Ms Doris LO	Chief Council Secretary (1)2
-------------	------------------------------

**Staff in attendance:**

Ms Ada LAU	Senior Council Secretary (1)7
Ms Alice CHEUNG	Senior Legislative Assistant (1)1
Ms Christina SHIU	Legislative Assistant (1)2
Ms Clara LO	Legislative Assistant (1)9

---

Action

The Chairman advised that there were four funding proposals on the agenda for the meeting. The first, third and fourth proposals were carried over from the previous meeting. The second proposal was a new

submission from the Administration. These four funding proposals involved a total funding allocation of \$45,248.6 million. He reminded members that in accordance with Rule 83A of the Rules of Procedure ("RoP") of the Legislative Council ("LegCo"), they should disclose the nature of any direct or indirect pecuniary interests relating to the funding proposals under discussion at the meeting before they spoke on the proposals. He also drew members' attention to Rule 84 of RoP on voting in case of direct pecuniary interest.

### **Head 703 – Buildings**

#### **PWSC(2018-19)39 130KA Immigration Headquarters in Tseung Kwan O**

2. The Chairman advised that the proposal, i.e. [PWSC\(2018-19\)39](#), sought to upgrade 130KA to Category A at an estimated cost of \$6,806 million in money-of-the-day prices. The Subcommittee had commenced deliberation on the proposal at the meeting on 13 February 2019.

#### Capital cost and cost effectiveness

3. Mr Alvin YEUNG considered the Administration's decision to demolish the Wan Chai Government Offices Compound ("WCGOC") a waste of resources. Mr YEUNG commented that the proposed Immigration Headquarters ("the new HQ") of the Immigration Department ("ImmD") in Tseung Kwan O had facilities similar to those of the Customs Headquarters Building ("CHB") completed in 2011, but was much costly to build. He requested the Administration to give an explanation, and provide supplementary information setting out in tabular form the construction costs, number of storeys, site area, net operational floor area ("NOFA") and facilities, etc., of the new HQ and the headquarters buildings of other disciplined services constructed in recent years for comparison.

*(Post-meeting note: The supplementary information provided by the Administration was circulated to members vide LC Paper No. [PWSC160/18-19\(01\)](#) on 28 March 2019.)*

4. Director of Architectural Services ("DArchS") said when CHB was completed and commissioned in 2011, the Building Works Tender Price Index (i.e. end-2011) stood at 1 408 and the index in September 2018 was 1 705. She pointed out that the construction of CHB commenced some ten years ago. Apart from changes in price level, the requirements under relevant legislation and codes of practice governing the delivery of public works projects ("PWPs") had also changed with time during the intervening

period and affected the project costs to a certain extent. Therefore, these factors should also be considered when comparing the costs of PWPs commencing at different points of time. The Administration undertook to provide the supplementary information requested by Mr YEUNG after the meeting.

5. Mr Tony TSE noted that the new HQ project was delivered through a design-and-build contract. He requested the Administration to provide supplementary information comparing the construction unit cost of the construction floor area ("CFA") of the new HQ (represented by the building and building services costs) with that of other government office building projects which were also delivered through design-and-build contracts (including on-going and recently completed ones, such as the West Kowloon Government Offices and the West Kowloon Law Courts Building). Mr TSE said that recently, some PWPs were also delivered through design-and-build contracts in order to compress the construction time. He enquired about the benefits of this contract form and whether the Administration would continue to adopt this contract form in taking forward future PWPs.

*(Post-meeting note: The supplementary information provided by the Administration was circulated to members vide LC Paper No. [PWSC160/18-19\(01\)](#) on 28 March 2019.)*

6. DArchS said that the Administration should determine the contract form to be adopted in individual PWPs on a case-by-case basis. She pointed out that compared with other contract forms, the design-and-build contract had the benefit of compressing construction time for speedier project completion and hence lower cost. The Administration undertook to provide the supplementary information requested by Mr TSE after the meeting.

7. Mr Tony TSE noted that the NOFA of the new HQ was about 57 400 square metres and took up a relatively small proportion in its CFA (i.e. about 139 000 square metres), which appeared to be under-utilization of land resources. He sought an explanation from the Administration. Mr WU Chi-wai also raised the same concern. Mr WU and the Chairman also requested the Administration to provide supplementary information papers setting out the facilities of the new HQ, their respective floor areas, and whether these floor areas were counted as part of the NOFA; and explain the principles adopted by the Administration in allocating floor areas to various facilities.

*(Post-meeting note: The supplementary information provided by the Administration was circulated to members vide LC Paper No. [PWSC160/18-19\(01\)](#) on 28 March 2019.)*

8. DArchS explained that NOFA was the floor area allocated to the users of a building for carrying out the intended activities or serving intended purposes, which excluded the area taken up by some basic facilities, such as toilets, lift lobbies, stair halls, escalators and lift shafts, public or shared corridors, parking spaces and security zones. She pointed out that the NOFA-to-CFA ratio of a building depended on the main use of the building, and an indiscriminate comparison was inappropriate. As for the proposed new HQ, the proportion of the NOFA of the offices in the CFA was similar to that of government office buildings in general, such as the joint-user government office building in Cheung Sha Wan. In addition, a substantial part of the floor area on the first to eighth floors in Tower 2 of the new HQ would be used for public-oriented offices and the associated waiting areas, as well as public facilities such as escalators. The lower NOFA-to-CFA ratio was attributed to the relatively large waiting area.

9. Dr CHENG Chung-tai noted that the new HQ consisted of two towers with a link bridge in between. Dr CHENG and Mr CHAN Chi-chuen enquired about the reasons for such an arrangement. Mr CHAN enquired about the construction cost of the link bridge. In addition, Dr CHENG enquired about the repair and maintenance ("R&M") cost in future.

10. DArchS said that in planning a construction project, the Administration considered not only the plot ratio, but also the relevant requirements of the Town Planning Board, including the need for the building to blend in with the surrounding environment and good ventilation. As such, the Administration had conducted a ventilation assessment on the design of the new HQ. The two-tower design was considered more suitable to facilitate good ventilation. The two towers would be connected by a link bridge to meet the operational needs of ImmD. She said that the construction cost of the link bridge was not calculated separately as it was part of the whole structure. Under Secretary for Security ("US for S") and DArchS advised that the annual recurrent expenditure of the new HQ, after completion, was estimated to be around \$177.6 million, about half of which was used for day-to-day R&M, and the remainder to cover expenses on property management, cleaning, electricity, etc.. The R&M expenditure mainly involved the electrical and mechanical equipment and the day-to-day repair of the building in general. The annual recurrent expenditure of the new HQ accounted for about 2.6% of its total capital cost, a proportion comparable to that of other government office buildings, such as the Inland Revenue Tower under construction.

11. Mr WU Chi-wai enquired whether spare load-bearing capacity was reserved in the foundation of the new HQ to facilitate addition of more

storeys in future to meet the operational needs that might arise in ImmD; if not, whether the Administration would take this into consideration.

12. DArchS replied that the current design of the new HQ had not taken into account the addition of more storeys in future.

13. Mr Tony TSE enquired about the details of and the parties to receive the consultants' fees and remuneration of resident site staff ("RSS") provided under the capital cost.

14. DArchS said that as the project would be taken forward under a design-and-build contract, the principal contractor should engage its own technical RSS to supervise works delivery, while the Architectural Services Department ("ArchSD") should also engage consultant(s) to assist the verification work in aspects such as quantity surveying. The consultants' fees and remuneration of RSS concerned were the remuneration payable to external consultant(s) and the staff engaged by those consultant(s), not to ArchSD staff.

#### Facilities and uses

##### *Indoor fire range*

15. Dr Fernando CHEUNG and Mr AU Nok-hin considered it unnecessary for ImmD to have an in-house firing range as only a few staff were required to receive firearms training. Dr CHEUNG and Ms Tanya CHAN requested the Administration to explain specifically the current requirements and arrangements pertaining to the firearms training providing for ImmD officers. Ms CHAN also enquired whether ImmD could share the use of fire ranges with other disciplined services. Mr AU Nok-hin enquired whether ImmD would rent out its firing range to other disciplined services. Mr CHU Hoi-dick enquired whether all disciplined services were required to have in-house firing ranges under the existing policy.

16. Director of Immigration ("D of I") replied that the Castle Peak Bay Immigration Centre ("CIC") (a detention facility) was staffed by about 170 ImmD officers. Staff deployed to CIC must receive and complete beforehand a three-week dedicated training programme, including training on firearms and response during riotous situations. They must also attend a one-day refresher training session four times a year. Currently, the relevant firearms training had to be conducted at the firing ranges of the Correctional Services Department ("CSD"). However, as CSD also had its internal training needs to meet, the firing ranges were loaned to ImmD on a limited

basis only. This had undermined the flexibility and convenience of ImmD in staff deployment for discharging duties at CIC. Therefore, there was a practical need to provide a firing range at the new HQ so that ImmD could train up sufficient manpower to take up firearms-related and anti-riot duties on a rotational basis, thus allowing more flexibility in overall staff deployment. ImmD currently had no plans to rent out its firing range to other disciplined services.

17. US for S replied that individual disciplined services had to decide whether an in-house firing range was necessary having regard to operational needs, and the Security Bureau ("SB") would assess the needs of disciplined services and consider the benefit of the relevant facilities.

18. At the request of Ms Tanya CHAN, Mr CHU Hoi-dick and Dr Fernando CHEUNG, the Administration undertook to provide supplementary information on the in-house firing ranges of the disciplined services under SB and their current usage.

*(Post-meeting note: The supplementary information provided by the Administration was circulated to members vide LC Paper No. [PWSC160/18-19\(01\)](#) on 28 March 2019.)*

19. Dr Fernando CHEUNG suggested that the space reserved for the indoor firing range should instead be used for other staff-friendly or public facilities, such as child care services facilities and a drop-off childcare centre. Dr KWOK Ka-ki pointed out that Tseung Kwan O lacked social service facilities. He enquired whether the Administration had consulted the relevant bureaux or departments when planning the new HQ and considered whether it should accommodate more social service facilities.

20. D of I said that there were practical and operational needs for ImmD to have its in-house firing range, and the new HQ was only planned for the provision of services and facilities related to immigration matters. Deputy Secretary for Financial Services and the Treasury (Treasury)3 ("DS(Tsy)3/FSTB") explained that since the new HQ was a specialist/departmental building, the internal planning should be premised on meeting the operational needs of ImmD. In fact, as all usable space in the new HQ had been occupied by ImmD, there was no extra space in the new HQ for other purposes. He said that in its supplementary information paper for the Panel on Security, the Government had explained that some social service facilities, including a general out-patient clinic and a child care centre, would be provided at the joint-user government office building ("the joint-user building") adjacent to the new HQ in future. The Administration undertook to provide supplementary information on the number of child care



places to be provided in the proposed child care centre in the proposed joint-user building after the meeting.

*(Post-meeting note: The supplementary information provided by the Administration was circulated to members vide LC Paper No. [PWSC160/18-19\(01\)](#) on 28 March 2019.)*

### *Detention facilities*

21. Mr Gary FAN noted that the offices which handled non-refoulement claims were among the facilities to be reprovisioned into the new HQ. As ImmD was considering introducing amendments to the provisions related to non-refoulement claim procedures under the Immigration Ordinance (Cap. 115) ("IO"), Mr FAN and Mr CHU Hoi-dick enquired about the implications such amendments to IO would have on the planning of facilities at the new HQ, including the detention facilities.

22. US for S said that SB intended to introduce a bill to LegCo to amend IO in the first half of this year. D of I replied that offices and facilities for handling of non-refoulement claims and recognizance reporting would be reprovisioned in the new HQ, alongside the detention centre currently located on Ma Tau Kok Road. At the request of Mr AU Nok-hin, the Administration would provide supplementary information on the floor area and the construction cost of the detention facilities at the new HQ.

*(Post-meeting note: The supplementary information provided by the Administration was circulated to members vide LC Paper No. [PWSC160/18-19\(01\)](#) on 28 March 2019.)*

### *Number of parking spaces*

23. Mr Jeremy TAM was concerned that the Administration had no intention to provide motorcycle parking spaces at the new HQ. He opined that adding parking spaces during the planning stage would be simpler than retrofitting after project completion.

24. DArchS said that motorcycle parking spaces were not part of the design requirements of the new HQ. However, such a demand, if any, could be well accommodated in the design. At the request of Mr Jeremy TAM, US for S undertook to review the relevant requirements after the meeting, and provide supplementary information papers explaining whether requests for the provision of motorcycle parking spaces at the new HQ had been received in consultation with the staff.

(*Post-meeting note:* The supplementary information provided by the Administration was circulated to members vide LC Paper No. [PWSC160/18-19\(01\)](#) on 28 March 2019.)

25. Mr WU Chi-wai enquired whether the parking spaces at the new HQ would be open for public use during night-time. US for S said that 100 parking spaces were proposed to be provided for departmental use. Among them, 36 would be used by government vehicles, and the rest was provided for use by ImmD staff (including staff who were required to work shifts) to meet departmental operational needs. In addition, there would be a data centre and personalisation centres of identity cards and travel documents at the new HQ, which required the storage of large volumes of sensitive information. Out of security concerns, the Administration considered it inappropriate to open the parking spaces at the new HQ for public use.

#### *Catering facilities*

26. Ms Tanya CHAN enquired about the operation model of the catering facilities at the new HQ and the area they occupied, including whether they would be open to the public or restricted for use by ImmD officers of certain ranks. Ms CHAN was also concerned that the operation model of these catering facilities might affect the security arrangements of the new HQ.

27. D of I replied that the catering facilities (excluding the kitchens and the associated storage rooms) would take up about 1 100 square metres of the NOFA in the new HQ and were expected to provide up to some 750 seats. ImmD staff of all ranks could use the catering facilities but these facilities would not be open to the public.

28. Dr Fernando CHEUNG enquired whether ImmD would introduce catering and other services operated by social enterprises in the new HQ. Dr CHEUNG was concerned that social enterprises would unlikely be awarded the contract for operating the catering facilities at the new QH under the established tender procedures of ImmD whereby the highest bidding price was one of the selection criteria.

29. D of I replied that ImmD would select the service operators of the new HQ in accordance with the Administration's established tender procedures. Social enterprises might submit bids.

Glass curtain wall

30. Mr Gary FAN declared that he was a member of Sai Kung District Council. Mr FAN noted that given the project delivery through a design-and-build contract, the detailed design of the new HQ would be finalized only after the funding had been approved by the Finance Committee ("FC"). In other words, there was still time to refine the design. Mr FAN noted that the artist's impression of the new HQ at the annex to the Administration's supplementary information paper (i.e. LC Paper No. PWSC126/18-19(01)) was different from that provided at Enclosure 7 to the discussion paper (PWSC(2018-19)39). Mr FAN and Mr CHU Hoi-dick enquired about the reason for the Administration to alter the design. Mr FAN requested the Administration to explain the specific differences between the two designs and provide examples of completed government office buildings of which the facades were glass curtain walls. Mr FAN said that given the close proximity of the new HQ to residential dwellings, local residents were deeply concerned about the effects of light pollution caused by the glass curtain wall design. Ms Claudia MO enquired about the reduced area of glass-covered facade shown in the artist's impression at the annex to LC Paper No. PWSC126/18-19(01) as compared with the original design; whether part of the building facades must be covered with glass; and how the effects of light pollution could be mitigated. Mr WU Chi-wai opined that in addition to light pollution, glass curtain walls also had implications on energy efficiency performance. He was also worried about the public hazard posed by the glass curtain walls in the event of typhoons.

31. DArchS said that given members' views received at the last meeting of the Public Works Subcommittee, the Administration would further enhance the facade design of the new HQ, including increasing the number of shading fins and cladding panels, using low-reflectivity and high visual light transmittance glass and more energy-efficient non-reflective cladding panels, and reducing the proportion of glass-covered surface in the building facade, so as to further minimize the potential effects of sunlight reflection on the buildings nearby.

32. At the request of Dr KWOK Ka-ki, the Administration undertook to provide supplementary information and data comparing the major materials to be used for the building facade of the new HQ and other alternative materials in terms of energy efficiency after the meeting.

*(Post-meeting note: The supplementary information provided by the Administration was circulated to members vide LC Paper No. [PWSC160/18-19\(01\)](#) on 28 March 2019.)*

Complementation with surrounding facilities

33. Mr Alvin YEUNG said that there would be a significant increase in the number of people travelling to and from the area after completion of the new HQ. Mr YEUNG was concerned that the new HQ, after completion, would increase the traffic load of the transport facilities which provided external connection for the area. He enquired about the completion time of the road facilities that were currently under construction, including the Tseung Kwan O-Lam Tin Tunnel and the Cross Bay Link.

34. US for S said that in future, about 3 000 staff members would be working in the new HQ with some of them required to work shifts. The Administration advised that currently, about 6 500 members of the public used the public services provided at the public-oriented offices and associated offices at ImmD's headquarters each day. With the continuous expansion of the application of innovation and technology, it was expected that fewer and fewer people would need to go to ImmD in person. According to the traffic impact assessment ("TIA") conducted by the consultant engaged by the Administration, the travelling pattern of most residents in the area was leaving the area in the morning for work/school in other areas and then returning in the evening, which was exactly the opposite of the travelling direction of the staff working at/service users visiting the new HQ. The consultant therefore considered that the new HQ, after completion, would have no adverse impacts on local traffic. He added that the Tseung Kwan O-Lam Tin Tunnel under construction was expected to be completed in 2021, and the Cross Bay Link would be completed in 2022. The new HQ was expected to be completed in 2023 and relocation work would commence in 2024. By then, the road facilities in the area would be able to cope with the traffic needs of the people travelling to the new HQ and those of local residents.

35. Mr Holden CHOW noted that three footbridges would be built near the new HQ. He enquired whether the completion time of these footbridges could tie in with that of the current project. Mr CHAN Hak-kan was concerned whether members of the public might access the new HQ and the other adjacent government office buildings and facilities via these footbridges without the need to take an at-grade route. He also enquired about the arrangement of security measures for accessing the new HQ via the footbridges.

36. DArchS said that a footbridge across Po Shun Road which connected Tiu Keng Leng Sports Centre and Park Central would be completed within this year. Another proposed Y-shaped footbridge straddling Tsui Ling Road

and another proposed road would connect Tiu Keng Leng Sports Centre, a site zoned for "Government, Institution or Community" ("G/IC") uses, the new HQ, and the proposed joint-user building. The Y-shaped footbridge was expected to be completed in 2021. For the footbridge planned to be built across Tong Yin Street to connect a G/IC site (to be used for cultural facilities) to the east of the new HQ and the residential development of The Wings IIIA, the timetable would be finalized upon confirmation of the planned use of the G/IC site concerned. She added that the locations of the various government office buildings and community facilities to be completed in future were currently accessible by the public via the at-grade crossing facilities. As far as she understood, one end of the Y-shaped footbridge was connected to the podium of the new HQ to facilitate public access to the proposed new HQ. As the footbridge across Tong Yin Street was still under planning, she did not have any information at this stage on how that footbridge would be connected to the future cultural facilities. The Y-shaped footbridge would lead to both the new HQ and the joint-user building.

37. Mr WU Chi-wai was concerned whether the at-grade crossing facilities and road network in the area would be able to cope with the people flow brought about by the many government facilities to be commissioned in the vicinity. Mr WU also enquired about the community facilities to be provided at the joint-user building adjacent to the new HQ, and the planning details of the several remaining G/IC sites. Mr WU pointed out that in view of the high people flow attracted to WCGOC each day, he considered it undesirable that the Administration had not conducted any specific assessment on people flow so far.

38. US for S said that as there were many private commercial buildings and the Convention and Exhibition Centre in the Wan Chai harbourfront area in addition to WCGOC, a direct comparison between Wan Chai District and the area in which the new HQ was located might not be considered appropriate. He and DS(Tsy)3/FSTB said that the community facilities to be provided at the proposed joint-user building included a general out-patient clinic; a families clinic, a dental clinic and a child care centre for civil servants and eligible persons; a job centre of the Labour Department; a post office and a delivery office; and a Social Security Field Unit. At the request of Mr WU Chi-wai, the Administration undertook to provide supplementary information on the services to be provided at the joint-user building and the estimated number of service users.

*(Post-meeting note: The supplementary information provided by the Administration was circulated to members vide LC Paper No. [PWSC160/18-19\(01\)](#) on 28 March 2019.)*

39. Mr CHAN Hak-kan was concerned about the traffic conditions at the vehicular ingress/egress of the new HQ facing Chi Shin Street in future, especially during the periods before and after school in respect of the French International School on the opposite side of the street.

40. DArchS replied that a consultant was engaged by the Administration to conduct a TIA. The consultant's assessment in 2015 indicated that the vehicular flow at the interchange between Chi Shin Street and Tong Yin Street had not reached its capacity, and there was reserve capacity to cope with the additional vehicular flow after completion of the new HQ.

#### Handling of construction waste

41. Mr CHU Hoi-dick enquired how the Administration monitored and managed the proper handling of the construction waste to be generated from the project, so that the waste would be dumped illegally.

42. DArchS replied that the procedure of handling the construction waste generated from the project would be stipulated under the contract between the Administration and the contractor. In addition, resident site staff would also monitor the proper compliance with the terms of the contract and make records. The engineering staff of the Administration would also conduct sample checks.

#### Security measures for relocation of Immigration Department's headquarters

43. Dr CHENG Chung-tai said that ImmD had to handle and keep a lot of personal data. However, the Administration had not yet briefed the Panel on Security or the Public Works Subcommittee of LegCo on the arrangements on the relocation to the new HQ and the security measures to be taken. Dr CHENG enquired about the Administration's plans in this aspect.

44. D of I said that ImmD would take corresponding security measures to keep personal data properly during the relocation. There were still a few years before the completion of the new HQ. ImmD would devise a detailed relocation plan and announce the relevant arrangements in due course.

*[At 10:12 am, the Chairman said that many members had spoken on the item, and some contents of their speeches were repetitive. The Chairman then directed that the "question session" would end after members who were waiting for their turns to speak had spoken, and the Subcommittee would proceed to vote on the question as to whether the motions proposed by members under paragraph 32A of*

*the Public Works Subcommittee ("PWSC") Procedure should be proceeded with forthwith. The Chairman also asked members if they agreed to extend the meeting for 15 minutes. Members present agreed. The Chairman directed that the meeting be extended for 15 minutes to 10:45 am.]*

Motions proposed under paragraph 32A of the Public Works Subcommittee Procedure

45. The Chairman said that he considered the motion proposed by Mr CHU Hoi-dick under paragraph 32A of the PWSC Procedure not directly related to the item. Therefore, he would not put the motion to the Subcommittee to decide whether it should be proceeded with forthwith. The Subcommittee proceeded to vote, one by one, on the questions as to whether two motions proposed respectively by Mr Gary FAN (numbered 1) (Chinese version only) and Dr Fernando CHEUNG (numbered 2) (Chinese version only) should be proceeded with forthwith. The Chairman declared that the Subcommittee had decided not to proceed with forthwith the two proposed motions.

*[At 10:34 am, the Chairman asked members if they agreed to further extend the meeting to finish dealing with the motions proposed by members and vote on the funding submission. Members present did not raise objection.]*

Voting on PWSC(2018-19)39

46. The Chairman put PWSC(2018-19)39 to vote. At the request of members, the Chairman ordered a division. 25 members voted for and one member voted against the proposal. Nine members abstained from voting. The votes of individual members were as follows:

*For:*

Mr Charles Peter MOK (Deputy Chairman)	Mr Tommy CHEUNG
Ms Starry LEE	Mr CHAN Hak-kan
Dr Priscilla LEUNG	Mr Michael TIEN
Mr Frankie YICK	Mr WU Chi-wai
Mr MA Fung-kwok	Mr CHAN Han-pan
Mr LEUNG Che-cheung	Ms Alice MAK
Dr Helena WONG	Mr Andrew WAN
Dr Junius HO	Mr HO Kai-ming
Mr Holden CHOW	Mr Wilson OR
Mr CHEUNG Kwok-kwan	Mr HUI Chi-fung
Mr LUK Chung-hung	Mr LAU Kwok-fan

Mr Vincent CHENG  
Ms CHAN Hoi-yan  
(25 members)

Mr Tony TSE

*Against:*

Dr Fernando CHEUNG  
(1 member)

*Abstained:*

Ms Claudia MO  
Dr KWOK Ka-ki  
Mr CHU Hoi-dick  
Dr CHENG Chung-tai  
Mr AU Nok-hin  
(9 members)

Mr CHAN Chi-chuen  
Mr Alvin YEUNG  
Ms Tanya CHAN  
Mr Gary FAN

47. The Chairman declared that the item was endorsed by the Subcommittee. The Chairman consulted members on whether the item would require separate voting at the relevant FC meeting. Mr Alvin YEUNG requested that the item (i.e. [PWSC\(2018-19\)39](#)) be voted on separately at the relevant FC meeting.

48. The meeting ended at 10:51 am.

Council Business Division 1  
Legislative Council Secretariat  
28 March 2019