#### 香港特別行政區政府 保安局



## LC Paper No. CB(2)474/18-19(02)

#### The Government of the Hong Kong Special Administrative Region Security Bureau

2 Tim Mei Avenue, Tamar, Hong Kong

香港添馬添美道2號

本函檔號 Our Ref.:

來函檔號 Your Ref.:

電 話 Telephone: 2810 2329

圖文傳真 Facsimile:

2524 3762

5 December 2018

Mr Bonny LOO Assistant Legal Adviser Legal Service Division Legislative Council Secretariat Legislative Council Complex 1 Legislative Council Road Central, Hong Kong

Dear Mr Loo,

#### Fugitive Offenders (France) Order (L.N. 240)

I refer to your letter dated 30 November 2018 on the captioned Order. Our reply is set out below.

#### General

2. The article-by-article comparison between the agreement for the surrender of accused or convicted persons entered into between Hong Kong and France and signed in Hong Kong on 4 May 2017 ("the Agreement") and the model agreement previously endorsed by Members is prepared at Annex A.

#### Article 2

- 3. Paragraphs 1 and 3 of Article 2 of the Agreement are extracted below (our highlight in bold and underlined)
  - "1. Surrender shall be granted for an offence:
    - a) which is punishable under the laws of both Parties by imprisonment for more than one year or a more severe penalty; and
    - b) for which surrender is permitted by the law of the requested Party."
  - 3. Each Party shall inform the other in writing of the offences for which surrender <u>may</u> be granted under its law.
- 4. In paragraph 1 of Article 2, the highlighted word "<u>shall</u>" is used to set out the obligations of the Parties to surrender under the Agreement. Such obligations arise if two conditions are met, namely (a) the offence for which surrender is sought is punishable under the laws of both Parties by imprisonment for more than one year or a more severe penalty; and (b) surrender for the offence is permitted by the law of the requested Party.
- 5. That said, there are situations which allow a surrender request be refused, as set out in Article 5 (mandatory grounds of refusal) and Article 6 (discretionary grounds of refusal) of the Agreement. The highlighted word "<u>may</u>" is therefore used in paragraph 3 of Article 2.
- 6. The list of offences which Hong Kong has provided to the Czech Republic under the Fugitive Offenders (Czech Republic) Order (Cap. 503AI) is enclosed at <u>Annex B</u>. For reference, it is also enclosed to the Legislative Council Brief for the Fugitive Offenders (Czech Republic) Order (Commencement) Notice and the Mutual Legal Assistance in Criminal Matters (Czech Republic) Order (Commencement) Notice published in January 2015<sup>1</sup>.

www.legco.gov.hk/yr14-15/english/subleg/brief/18\_19\_brf.pdf

7. The list of offences set out in Schedule 1 of the Fugitive Offenders Ordinance (Cap. 503) will be provided to France under paragraph 3 of Article 2 of the Agreement.

#### Article 5

- 8. Paragraph 1 of Article 5 of the Agreement is extracted below (our highlight in bold and underlined)
  - "1. A person shall not be surrendered if the requested Party has substantial grounds for believing:
    - b) that the request for surrender, though purporting to be made on account of an offence for which surrender may be granted, is in fact made for the purpose of prosecution or punishment on account of race, religion, <u>sex</u>, nationality or political opinions; or
    - c) that the person might, if returned, be prejudiced at that person's trial or punished, detained or restricted in his or her personal liberty by reason of race, religion, sex, nationality or political opinions.
- 9. Sections 5(1)(c) and (d) of Cap. 503 are extracted below (our highlight in bold)
  - "(1) A person shall not be surrendered to a prescribed place, or committed to or kept in custody for the purposes of such surrender, if it appears to an appropriate authority—
    - (c) that the request for surrender concerned (though purporting to be made on account of a relevant offence) is in fact made for the purpose of prosecuting or punishing him on account of his race, religion, nationality or political opinions;
    - (d) that he might, if surrendered, be prejudiced at his trial or punished, detained or restricted in his personal liberty by reason of his race, religion, nationality or political opinions;

3

10. The refusal ground of "<u>sex</u>" was added to the Agreement at the request of France to reflect the French legal requirements. It is in line with the protection against sex discrimination under the Sex Discrimination Ordinance (Cap. 480) and the Hong Kong Bill of Rights Ordinance (Cap. 383), and it also appeared in SFO agreements signed between Hong Kong and many other jurisdictions such as New Zealand<sup>2</sup>, Finland<sup>3</sup>, Germany<sup>4</sup>, Ireland<sup>5</sup> and Czech Republic<sup>6</sup>.

#### Articles 8 and 19

- 11. Paragraph 1 of Article 8 of the Agreement is extracted below (our highlight in bold and underlined)
  - "1. Requests for surrender shall be made in writing by the competent authorities of each Party and transmitted through the Consulate General of France in the Hong Kong Special Administrative Region unless the Parties otherwise agree. In the case of the Hong Kong Special Administrative Region, the competent authority shall be the Department of Justice. In the case of the French Republic, the competent authorities shall be the judicial authorities."
- 12. Paragraph 1 of Article 19 of the Agreement is extracted below
  - "1. ...The request for transit may be forwarded to the requested Party through the same channels as a request for surrender or through direct contact between the Department of Justice of the Hong Kong Special Administrative Region and the Ministry of Justice of the French Republic."

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Fugitive Offenders (New Zealand) Order (Cap. 503S)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Fugitive Offenders (Finland) Order (Cap. 503W)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Fugitive Offenders (Germany) Order (Cap. 503X)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> Fugitive Offenders (Ireland) Order (Cap. 503AF)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> Fugitive Offenders (Czech Republic) Order (Cap. 503AI)

- 13. The French authorities named in Articles 8 and 19 above are provided by France which would like to specify the different authorities responsible for the matters stated in the Articles. In particular
  - (a) Surrender requests are made by "<u>judicial authorities</u>" of France, which is a specific term under the French Constitution and includes courts, judges, magistrates and prosecutors but does not include police and administrative authorities; and
  - (b) For requests for transit of fugitive offenders, France provided a channel of transmission (i.e. *the Ministry of Justice of the French Republic*) which is in addition to the channels provided for under Article 8. This additional channel will facilitate processing of transit requests which are very often urgent in nature.

Yours sincerely,

(Ms Iris LEE) for Security

c.c. Department of Justice
(Attention:

International Law Division

Ms Anthea LI, Deputy Principal Government Counsel
Ms Cathy SZETO, Senior Government Counsel

<u>Law Drafting Division</u>
Ms Phyllis POON, Senior Government Counsel)

#### **Annex A**

# Article-by-article comparison of the HKSAR / France Agreement Concerning Surrender of Accused or Convicted Persons with the model agreement

#### <u>Title</u>

The title of the Agreement was changed to "accused or convicted persons" at the suggestion of the French side. According to the French side, the terms "accused persons" and "convicted persons" are used in all its extradition treaties, and the term "and" in French having a conjunctive sense is not appropriate in the context. Similar title is used in the HKSAR's Agreement with Australia, Philippines and New Zealand. The change does not affect the substance of the Agreement. There was no objection from the HKSAR. Corresponding amendments are made throughout the Agreement.

#### **Preamble**

2. The preamble is the same as the model text of the Agreement except with the replacement of the term "fugitive offenders" by "accused or convicted persons".

## <u>Article 1 – Obligation to Surrender</u>

3. This Article is substantially the same as Article 1 of the model Agreement.

## Article 2 – Offences

4. Article 2.1 and 2.3 represent a departure from the model text where all extraditable offences are listed in the agreement ("the list approach"). The French side had difficulties under their law in

adopting the list approach. The French side also explained that France has not listed extraditable offences in its treaties for years. The alternative approach was adopted by both Parties exchanging the information on the offences for which surrender may be granted under their respective laws. The alternative approach was approved by CPG in relation to Hong Kong's agreement with Czech on surrender of fugitive offenders ("SFO").

#### Paragraph 1

5. The paragraph corresponds to Article 2(1) of the model text and is substantially the same as the model insofar as the requirement for the offence to be punishable by imprisonment for more than one year under the laws of both Parties. Given the French side's difficulties with adopting the list approach, both sides agreed to omit the list of offences in items (i) to (xxvii) of paragraph (1) of Article 2 of the model text and to reflect the list by a general provision in sub-paragraph b). Both sides agreed to exchange information on the offences for which surrender may be granted under their respective laws and this requirement is provided for in paragraph 3 of this Article (see paragraph 7 below).

## Paragraph 2

6. This paragraph is substantially the same as Article 2(2) of the model text.

## Paragraph 3

7. This paragraph is added to reflect the understanding of both sides under the alternative approach to the list approach as adopted in Article 2(1) of the model text. The French side indicated that they would provide written information by way of a general description of the offences in respect of which a person may be surrendered. The HKSAR side made clear that the information to be provided by the HKSAR would be in the form of a list of offences which is Schedule 1 to the Fugitive Offenders Ordinance, Cap. 503.

#### Paragraph 4

8. This paragraph is added by the agreement of both Party. It sets out the conduct test for the "double criminality" requirement under Article 2.1a). A similar provision is found in all other signed SFO agreements.

#### Paragraph 5

9. This paragraph was added at the request of the French side in order to meet the French legal requirement and was adapted from Article 2(2) of the European Convention on Extradition. The provision was included on both sides' understanding that there would not be mutuality on the application of this provision as the HKSAR law does not provide for accessory extradition.

Article 2(3) of the model text was moved to Article 5.5 at the suggestion of the French side.

#### Article 3 – Surrender of nationals

10. Article 3 is an elaborated version of Article 3 of the model text.

## Paragraph 1

11. Paragraph 1 is substantially the same as Article 3 of the model text.

## Paragraph 2

12. The paragraph was added at the request of the French side. Provisions on when nationality is determined can be found in some of the HKSAR's agreements e.g. with Philippines, Singapore and South Korea. There was no objection.

## Paragraph 3

13. This paragraph was also added at the suggestion of the French side. Similar provisions can be found in the HKSAR's earlier agreements e.g. Australia, Canada, Finland, Germany, India, Indonesia, South Korea, Malaysia, New Zealand, Philippines, UK,

and the USA. The formulation in Article 3.3 is modelled on Article 3.2 of the HK / South Korea SFO agreement.

#### Article 4 – Death penalty

14. Article 4 is the same as Article 4 of the model text except with the replacement of the term "fugitive offender" by "person".

#### <u>Article 5 – Mandatory grounds of refusal</u>

This Article corresponds to Article 6 of the model text.

#### Paragraph 1

Sub-paragraph a)

15. Sub-paragraph a) corresponds to Article 6(a) of the model text and was expanded at the request of the French side to provide for exceptions to political offences. Provisions similar to sub-paragraph a)(i) appear in the agreements with Indonesia, India and USA. Provisions similar to sub-paragraph a)(ii) appear in the agreements with Australia, Finland, India, South Korea, Sri Lanka and USA.

## Sub-paragraphs b) and c)

16. The paragraphs are substantially the same as Article 6 (b) and (c) of the model text, except with the addition of 'sex' to the provisions. Similar addition was made in the HKSAR's agreements with Czech, Finland, Germany, Ireland and New Zealand.

## Paragraph 2

17. This paragraph corresponds to Article 5(3) of the model text and was modified at the request of the French side to refer to the previous acquittal, conviction and pardon in the requested Party only, and to include the concept of pardon. The formulation is adapted from Article 5(1) of the HKSAR/USA agreement. The modifications are not inconsistent with section 5(1)(e) of Cap. 503.

Similar provisions confining references of previous acquittals and convictions to those in the requested Party only are also found in the HKSAR's agreements with USA, South Korea and Ireland. The concept of pardon is also included in the HKSAR's agreements with Canada, Finland, Germany, New Zealand, Philippines, Portugal, Singapore, South Africa and Sri Lanka.

#### Paragraph 3

18. This paragraph was added at the request of the French side to reflect France's constitutional safeguard under French law. Similar provision appears in the agreements with Finland, South Korea and New Zealand.

#### Paragraph 4

19. This paragraph was added at the request of the French side. Similar provisions can be found in the agreements with Finland, Ireland, South Africa, New Zealand, United Kingdom and USA. There was no objection.

#### Paragraph 5

20. This paragraph is substantially the same as Article 2(3) of the model text, except that provision is made in this paragraph to allow surrender in such circumstances if the person will have the opportunity of being re-tried in his presence which reflects section 5(1)(b) of the Fugitive Offenders Ordinance. A similar provision appears in the agreements with Czech, Indonesia, India, Ireland, the Netherlands, Singapore, Sri Lanka, Portugal and South Korea.

## Article 6 - Discretionary grounds of refusal

21. This Article corresponds to Article 15 of the model text.

## Paragraph 1

Sub-paragraph a)

22. Sub-paragraph a) corresponds to Article 5(1) of the model text. The paragraph was modified at the request of the French side in order to ensure that the ground is not widened to offences

committed outside the territory of France but over which France has extra-territorial jurisdiction under French law. There was no objection to the modification.

#### Sub-paragraph b)

23. The sub-paragraph was added by the agreement of both sides. There are a number of precedents e.g. Australia, Czech, Finland, Indonesia, the Philippines, New Zealand, Portugal, Singapore, Sri Lanka.

#### Sub-paragraph c)

24. The sub-paragraph corresponds to Article 15(d) of the model text. It was modified at the suggestion of the French side to confine to the age and health of the person sought. Precedents are found in the agreements with Singapore, United Kingdom and USA.

#### Paragraph 2

25. This paragraph was added by the agreement of both sides. For HKSAR, the provision reflects section 24(3) of Cap. 503.

## Paragraph 3

26. The paragraph was added at the request of the French side and the formulation adopts that of Article 6(3) of the HK/Canada agreement. Similar provisions are also found in the agreements with New Zealand, Ireland and South Korea.

## Paragraph 4

27. The paragraph was also added at the request of the French side and the HKSAR did not have any objection. A similar provision appears in the agreement with South Korea (Article 5(b)).

## Article 15(a), (b) and (c) of the model text

28. Article 15(a) and (b) of the model text were omitted at the suggestion of the French side as these grounds were never used in France's extradition treaties. The paragraphs were also omitted in the agreements with USA, Indonesia and Germany.

29. Article 15(c) of the model text has not been included by the agreement of both sides. The same ground was also omitted in Hong Kong's agreements with Australia, Czech, Finland, Germany, Indonesia, the Netherlands, New Zealand, the Philippines, US, Sri Lanka, Portugal and South Korea.

#### <u>Article 7 - Postponed or temporary surrender</u>

#### Paragraph 1

30. This paragraph is substantially the same as Article 5(2) of the model text and relates to postponed surrender.

#### Paragraph 2

31. This paragraph relates to temporary surrender and was added by agreement of both sides. There are many precedents for such a provision in Hong Kong's agreements e.g. the agreements with Germany, Malaysia, South Africa, South Korea and USA.

#### <u>Article 8 – The request and supporting documents</u>

## Paragraph 1

- 32. This paragraph corresponds to Article 7(1) of the model text. It was modified at the request of the French side to specify the competent authorities which are authorized to make surrender requests. Please see similar approach adopted in the agreements with Czech, South Korea, Portugal and Germany.
- 33. It should be noted that the competent authorities of France to make surrender requests are the judicial authorities. The French side explained that there is no central authority as such for France for making surrender requests, and that the term "judicial authorities" is a specific term under the French Constitution and includes courts, judges, magistrates and prosecutors but does not include police and administrative authorities.

#### Paragraph 2

34. This paragraph is substantially the same as Article 7(2) of the model text.

#### Paragraph 3

35. This paragraph is substantially the same as Article 7(3) of the model text.

#### Paragraph 4

36. This paragraph is substantially the same as Article 7(4) of the model text. Sub-paragraph a) has been added to provide greater clarity. Similar provisions appear in the agreements with Czech, Finland, Portugal and South Korea. A reference to "judgment" was added to the chapeau at the request of the French side because France does not have certificates of conviction. This is not objectionable.

#### **Article 9 - Authentication**

## Paragraph 1

37. This paragraph is equivalent to Article 10 of the model text but its wording has been refined to realign with the wording of section 23 of the Fugitive Offenders Ordinance.

## Paragraph 2

38. This paragraph was added as it was considered a useful provision by both sides. A similar provision appears in the agreements with Canada, Czech, Finland, Indonesia, Ireland and Singapore.

## <u>Article 10 – Language of documentation</u>

39. This Article is a new article specifying the languages in which requests and documents may be submitted to the respective Parties. It was added by agreement. Similar formulation can be

found in the agreements with Czech and Finland.

#### <u>Article 11 – Additional information</u>

#### Paragraph 1

40. This paragraph is the same as Article 9(1) of the model text.

#### Paragraph 2

41. This paragraph was added by agreement of both sides. This is a useful provision to ensure release of the person if additional information is not received. Similar provisions can be found in the agreements with Australia, Czech, Finland, Indonesia, Ireland, Malaysia, New Zealand, the Philippines, Portugal, Singapore, South Africa, South Korea, Sri Lanka and UK.

#### <u>Article 12 – Provisional arrest</u>

#### Paragraphs 1 and 2

42. These paragraphs are substantially the same as Article 8(1) of the model text.

## Paragraph 3

43. This paragraph is substantially the same as Article 8(2) of the model text.

## Paragraph 4

44. This paragraph is the same as Article 8(3) of the model text, except that the period for the provisional arrest (45 days period with a 15 days extension) was replaced by 60 days by agreement of both sides. There are precedents for the 60 days period in our other SFO agreements, e.g. with Canada, Czech, Germany, India, Indonesia, the Netherlands, Portugal, Singapore, Sri Lanka, UK and USA.

#### **Article 13 – Concurrent requests**

- 45. Paragraphs 1 and 2 are substantially the same as Article 9(2) of the model text.
- 46. Paragraph 3 was added to expressly provide in the Agreement both sides' understanding that requests to the HKSAR from the People's Republic of China will take precedence over French requests for surrender.

#### <u>Article 14 – Representation and costs</u>

#### Paragraph 1

47. This paragraph is substantially the same as Article 11(1) of the model text save that the obligation becomes a standing one. It was further modified at the request of the French side to cater for the different legal systems in respect of the representation of the requesting Party in the requested Party. There was no objection to the modification.

## Paragraph 2

48. This paragraph was added to enable the Parties to consult on how extraordinary expenses should be met. This is a useful provision and accords with Hong Kong's existing practice of processing of surrender requests. There are precedents in agreements with Australia, Canada, Czech, Finland Indonesia, Malaysia, New Zealand, Philippines, Portugal, Singapore, South Korea, Sri Lanka and UK.

## Paragraph 3

49. This paragraph is an elaborated formulation of Article 11(2) of the model text, and accords with Hong Kong's current practice of processing of surrender requests. Similar provisions can be found in the agreements with Australia, Czech, Finland, India, Indonesia, Ireland, Philippines, Portugal, New Zealand, South Africa, Sri

Lanka and UK.

#### <u>Article 15 – Arrangements for surrender</u>

50. This Article corresponds to Article 12 of the model text.

#### Paragraph 1

51. Paragraph 1 was added to require communication of the decision on a request to the requesting Party. Similar provisions are found in the agreements with Australia, Czech, Indonesia, the Philippines, Portugal, Singapore and Sri Lanka.

#### Paragraph 2

52. This paragraph is substantially the same as Article 12(2) of the model text, save by providing that the place of departure shall be agreed by both Parties. Similar provisions are found in the agreements with the Netherlands, UK and USA.

#### Paragraph 3

53. This paragraph is substantially the same as Article 12(3) of the model text, save that the requested Party may refuse surrender upon the requesting Party's failure to take custody of the person within the specified period. There are a number of precedents, e.g. the agreements with Finland, Indonesia, New Zealand, the Philippines, Singapore and Sri Lanka.

## Paragraph 4

54. This paragraph is substantially the same as Article 12(4) of the model text.

## Article 12(1) of the model text

55. Article 12(1) of the model text in relation to the evidential requirements justifying surrender was omitted by the agreement of both sides as the requirements are covered by Article 8.

#### **Article 16 – Surrender of property**

#### Paragraph 1

- 56. Sub-paragraph a) is the same as Article 13(1) of the model text, except that sub-paragraph b) was modified at the suggestion of the French side to reflect the French legal position that articles acquired by the person as a result of the offence are liable to be surrendered whether or not they are found in the person's possession. The modification is consistent with section 9 of Cap. 503. There was no objection to the modification.
- 57. Sub-paragraph b) is substantially the same as Article 13(2) of the model text.

#### Paragraph 2

58. This paragraph is substantially the same as Article 13 (3) of the model text.

#### Paragraph 3

59. This paragraph was added to cater for a situation where a fugitive has escaped or died. This is a useful provision. Similar provisions are found in the agreements with Australia, Canada, Czech, Finland, Indonesia, New Zealand, the Philippines, Portugal, South Korea and Sri Lanka.

## **Article 17 - Specialty**

## Paragraph 1

60. Paragraph 1 is substantially the same as Article 14(1) of the model text. Sub-paragraph b) was modified to make reference to the severity of the penalty for the offence. Similar formulation is found in the agreements with Indonesia, Portugal, Singapore and South Africa.

#### Paragraph 2

61. Paragraph 2 was added to clarify that the requested Party may request additional information in deciding whether to give consent. This is no objection. There are precedents in agreements with Australia, Czech, Finland, Germany, New Zealand, Portugal and South Korea.

#### Paragraph 3

- 62. Paragraph 3 was added at the request of the French side and is adopted from Article 14(2) of the European Convention on Extradition. The French side explained that the provision is essential for the French side in compliance with the French law. The provision allows the requesting Party to take such measures as may be necessary to interrupt the lapse of time in urgent cases where there is insufficient time to seek the consent of the requested Party under paragraph 1 to the taking of such measures. Under the French law, all offences are subject to statute bar.
- 63. On the basis that the operation of this paragraph does not prejudice the provision of paragraph 1, the HKSAR side agreed to include the provision.

## **Article 18 - Resurrender**

64. This Article was added to reflect the legal requirements under Hong Kong law, namely, sections 5(5) and 17(2) of the Fugitive Offenders Ordinance. Section 5(5) provides that a person shall not be surrendered to a place outside Hong Kong unless that person will have "no resurrender" protection. Section 17(2) gives "no resurrender" protection to a person who is surrendered to Hong Kong. Resurrender provisions have been included in all signed agreements with Hong Kong.

## **Article 19 - Transit**

65. This Article was added to cater for cases of transit. It is a

useful provision. Section 20 of the Fugitive Offenders Ordinance makes provision for processing of requests for transit to Hong Kong. Precedents can be found in SFO agreements with Australia, Canada, Czech, Germany, Finland, Indonesia, Malaysia, New Zealand, the Philippines, South Korea, Sri Lanka and USA.

- 66. The formulation of this Article follows that of Article 18 of the HKSAR / South Korea agreement except that:-
  - (a) The reference to 'jurisdiction' was deleted;
  - (b) The channels for communicating the requests for transit are specified;
  - (c) The requirement for making of requests for unscheduled landing within 96 hours was deleted; and
  - (d) Paragraph 5 was added at the request of the French side to allow for refusal of requests for transit based on the grounds set out in Article 5. There was no objection to this provision.

#### <u>Article 20 – Entry into force and termination</u>

67. This Article corresponds to Article 16 of the model text.

## Paragraph 1

68. This paragraph is substantially the same as Article 16(1) of the model text.

## Paragraph 2

69. This paragraph was added to make clear the application of the Agreement to requests made after the operation of the Agreement irrespective of the date of commission of the offence. Similar provisions can be found in the agreements with Australia, Canada, Czech, Finland, Germany, Indonesia, New Zealand, Portugal, the Philippines, Singapore, South Korea and USA.

## Paragraph 3

70. This paragraph is substantially the same as Article 16(2) of the model text.

## **Testimonium**

71. The same as the model text.

#### **Authentic texts**

72. Substantially the same as the model text.

## **Signature Block**

73. The Government of the HKSAR will take precedence in the copy of the Agreement to be kept by Hong Kong.

Annex B

## OFFENCES FOR WHICH SURRENDER OF FUGTIVES MAY BE GRANTED UNDER THE LAW OF THE HONG KONG SPECIAL ADMINISTRATIVE REGION

Fugitive Offenders Ordinance, Schedule 1

- 1. Murder or manslaughter, including criminal negligence causing death; culpable homicide; assault with intent to commit murder.
- 2. Aiding, abetting, counselling or procuring suicide.
- 3. Maliciously wounding; maiming; inflicting grievous or actual bodily harm; assault occasioning actual bodily harm; threats to kill; intentional or reckless endangering of life whether by means of a weapon, a dangerous substance or otherwise; offences relating to unlawful wounding or injuring.
- 4. Offences of a sexual nature including rape; sexual assault; indecent assault; unlawful sexual acts on children; statutory sexual offences.
- 5. Gross indecency with a child, a mental defective or an unconscious person.
- 6. Kidnapping; abduction; false imprisonment; unlawful confinement; dealing or trafficking in slaves or other persons; taking a hostage.
- 7. Criminal intimidation.
- 8. Offences against the law relating to dangerous drugs including narcotics, psychotropic substances, precursors and essential chemicals used in the illegal manufacture of narcotics and psychotropic substances; offences relating to the proceeds of drug trafficking.
- 9. Obtaining property or pecuniary advantage by deception; theft; robbery; burglary (including breaking and entering); embezzlement; blackmail; extortion; unlawful handling or receiving of property; false accounting; any other offence in respect of property or fiscal matters involving fraud; any offence against the law relating to unlawful deprivation of property.
- 10. Offences against bankruptcy law or insolvency law.

- 11. Offences against the law relating to companies including offences committed by officers, directors and promoters.
- 12. Offences relating to securities and futures trading.
- 13. Offences relating to counterfeiting; offences against the law relating to forgery or uttering what is forged.
- 14. Offences against the law relating to protection of intellectual property, copyrights, patents or trademarks.
- 15. Offences against the law relating to bribery, corruption, secret commissions and breach of trust.
- 16. Perjury and subornation of perjury.
- 17. Offence relating to the perversion or obstruction of the course of justice.
- 18. Arson; criminal damage or mischief including mischief in relation to computer data.
- 19. Offences against the law relating to firearms.
- 20. Offences against the law relating to explosives.
- 21. Offences against the law relating to environmental pollution or protection of public health.
- 22. Mutiny or any mutinous act committed on board a vessel at sea.
- 23. Piracy involving ships or aircraft.
- 24. Unlawful seizure or exercise of control of an aircraft or other means of transportation.
- 25. Genocide or direct and public incitement to commit genocide.
- 26. Facilitating or permitting the escape of a person from custody.
- 27. Offences against the law relating to the control of exportation or importation of goods of any type, or the international transfer of funds.

- 28. Smuggling; offences against the law relating to import and export of prohibited items, including historical and archaeological items.
- 29. Immigration offences including fraudulent acquisition or use of a passport or visa.
- 30. Arranging or facilitating for financial gain, the illegal entry of persons into a jurisdiction.
- 31. Offences relating to gambling or lotteries.
- 32. Offences relating to the unlawful termination of pregnancy.
- 33. Stealing, abandoning, exposing or unlawfully detaining a child; any other offences involving the exploitation of children.
- 34. Offences against the law relating to prostitution and premises kept for the purposes of prostitution.
- 35. Offences involving the unlawful use of computers.
- 36. Offences relating to fiscal matters, taxes or duties.
- 37. Offences relating to unlawful escape from custody; mutiny in prison.
- 38. Bigamy.
- 39. Offences relating to women and girls.
- 40. Offences against the law relating to false or misleading trade descriptions.
- 41. Offences relating to the possession or laundering of proceeds obtained from the commission of any offence described in this Schedule.
- 42. Impeding the arrest or prosecution of a person who has or is believed to have committed an offence described in this Schedule.
- 43. Offences for which persons may be surrendered under multi-lateral international conventions; offences created as a result of decisions of international organizations.

- 44. Conspiracy to commit fraud or to defraud.
- 45. Conspiracy to commit, or any type of association to commit, any offence described in this Schedule.
- 46. Aiding, abetting, counselling or procuring the commission of, inciting, being an accessory before or after the fact to, or attempting to commit an offence described in this Schedule.