

LC Paper No. CB(4)288/18-19(07)

Ref : CB4/PL/TP

# Panel on Transport Meeting on 14 December 2018

## Background brief on review of assessment mechanism for hillside escalator links and elevator systems proposals

### Purpose

This paper provides background information on review of assessment mechanism for hillside escalator links and elevator systems ("HEL") proposals. It also summarizes the major views and concerns expressed by Legislative Council ("LegCo") Members on the above and related subjects.

### Background

2. According to the Administration, fostering a pedestrian-friendly environment has been one of its ongoing policy initiatives. The Chief Executive indicated in the 2018 Policy Agenda issued in October 2018 that the Administration would, inter alia, continue to progressively take forward the construction works for HEL projects in different districts.

3. The Administration established in 2009 a set of scoring criteria for assessing proposals for HEL (in **Appendix I**) to determine the priority for conducting preliminary technical feasibility ("PTF") studies for the 20 project proposals received at that time. The Administration consulted the Panel on Transport ("the Panel") on this in May 2009, and upon completion of the assessment, reported the results to the Panel in February 2010.<sup>1</sup> Two proposals were screened out initially<sup>2</sup>, and 18 others were ranked. The Administration indicated at that time that PTF studies for the proposals ranked top 10 in the assessment would be conducted by batches, and that the remaining proposals would be followed up after the smooth implementation of the top 10 proposals.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> The detailed assessment results are set out in the Administration's paper (LC Paper No. CB(1)1190/09-10(03)) issued in February 2010.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> The two proposals were screened out due to the following reasons: similar facility had already been provided in close proximity for one proposal; and the level difference of the other proposal did not exceed six metres.

The latest progress of the 18 proposals is set out in Appendix II.

4. Among the 18 ranked proposals, the Pedestrian Link at Tsz Wan Shan, the Yuet Wah Street Pedestrian Linkage and the Lift and Pedestrian Walkway System between Lai King Hill Road and Princess Margaret Hospital (ranked 1st, 13th and 14th respectively) have been opened for public use. There are 4 items under construction: the Lift and Pedestrian Walkway System at Cheung Hang Estate, Tsing Yi, the Lift and Pedestrian Walkway System between Kwai Shing Circuit and Hing Shing Road, the Lift and Pedestrian Walkway System at Waterloo Hill and the Lift and Pedestrian Walkway System between Tai Wo Hau Road and Wo Tong Tsui Street (ranked 3rd, 5th, 9th and 11th respectively). These items are targeted for completion progressively from mid-2019 to 2021. In addition, approval of funding was obtained from the LegCo in June 2018 for the Lift and Pedestrian Walkway System between Castle Peak Road and Kung Yip Street, Kwai Chung (ranked 6th) and plan to commence its construction in the first quarter of 2019 for target completion in the second quarter of 2023.

5. At the same time, HyD has completed the PTF studies for the Escalator Link between Hong Sing Garden and Po Hong Road, the Lift and Pedestrian Walkway System between Saddle Ridge Garden and Sai Sha Road and the Lift and Pedestrian Walkway System between Hing Shing Road and Tai Wo Hau Road (ranked 14th, 16th and 17th respectively). The studies showed that the above proposals are technically feasible upon preliminary assessment, and the Highways Department ("HyD") will progressively conduct ground investigation, carry out preliminary design and consult DCs as well as relevant stakeholders.

6. Overall, three out of the 18 proposals have been completed and opened for public use; four are under construction; one proposal has already obtained funding approval for construction; five are in various phases of planning, investigation and design; three with their PTF studies just completed; one is at the PTF study stage; and another is having its scope determined to facilitate the subsequent PTF study.

7. On the other hand, the Transport Department ("TD") commenced a consultancy study in December 2017 to review the assessment mechanism for HEL proposals. TD will, on the basis of the revised mechanism, carry out screening, shortlisting and prioritisation of the over 110 proposals received in the past years. The consultancy study will take about 30 months to complete. Upon completion of the study, the Administration will seek resources for implementing the selected proposals progressively.

### Major views and concerns of Members

8. Members in general supported the implementation of the HEL projects to enhance the accessibility of the hillside. Major views and concerns of Members on issues related to HEL and elevator systems expressed at the meetings of the Panel, the Public Works Subcommittee ("PWSC") and Finance Committee ("FC") are summarized below.

### **Implementation progress**

# Concern over the Lift and Pedestrian Walkway System between Castle Peak Road and Kung Yip Street, Kwai Chung and two other HEL proposals

9. At the Panel meeting on 27 April 2018, the Administration briefed members on the funding application for the Lift and Pedestrian Walkway System between Castle Peak Road and Kung Yip Street, Kwai Chung ("LPWS project") which was ranked 6<sup>th</sup> among the 18 HEL proposals. A number of members indicated support for the LPWS project. However, some members pointed out that there was an existing footbridge across Castle Peak Road–Kwai Chung connecting Greenknoll Court and Kung Yip Street. For the economical use of resources, they asked whether the Administration had considered extending the existing footbridge to Shek Lei (I) Estate instead of undertaking the LPWS project. Some other members expressed concern about the lengthy time required to implement the LPWS project and urged for its early implementation.

10. In response, the Administration advised that a feasibility study revealed that the existing footbridge was not wide enough to accommodate the estimated pedestrian flow. To extend the footbridge would also entail substantial structural changes as well as changes in the alignment of the Castle Peak Road. The Administration therefore decided to construct a new elevated pedestrian walkway as it would be more cost-effective and would minimize the nuisance caused to the public. HyD explained that, due to the complexity of the project which involved slope works, the Administration considered that the proposed schedule was reasonable.

11. At the FC meeting held on 30 June 2018, a member raised particular concern over the progress and issues related to two HEL proposals. Regarding the member's concern over the land issues involved in the escalator link system between Sha Tin Sui Wo Court and MTR Fo Tan Station, the Administration advised that the currently proposed alignment would mostly fall on private land (including land jointly owned by Hong Kong Housing Authority ("HKHA") and private real estate companies), and the Administration was studying the optimal scope for the project. Regarding the escalator link system between Hong Sing Garden and Po Hong Road, and the lift and pedestrian walkway system between

Lai King Hill Road and Princess Margaret Hospital which were both ranked 14<sup>th</sup>, the members queried why the latter project had been completed and the facility was open for use while the former was still in the stage of PTF study. The Administration advised that the scope of the former project had been determined in April 2018 and HyD would carry out the PTF study accordingly, while the latter project was undertaken by the Hospital Authority.

# General views

12. When the Panel was consulted on the funding proposals for the construction of lift and pedestrian walkway systems in 2016 and 2017, some members expressed grave concern over the slow progress of implementing the HEL projects by the Administration. They urged the Administration to speed up implementation of the projects to meet the needs of the local residents. In March 2017, the Panel passed a motion requesting the Administration to set up a dedicated Hillside Elevator and Escalator Fund of \$5 billion ("the Fund"), and take measures to expedite the works concerned.

13. Regarding the suggestion of setting up the Fund to administratively expedite the implementation of HEL projects through simplified funding process, the Administration advised that given the substantial scale and cost of the projects and considerations of the overall resource allocation and prioritization of public works projects, the Administration found it more appropriate to seek funding for individual projects according to the established mechanism. In response to a member's enquiry at PWSC meeting held on 23 May 2018, the Administration further advised that separate funding approval of PWSC and FC for each of the projects would be required before commencing the construction works.

14. At the PWSC meeting on 23 May 2018, a member criticised the slow progress of individual HEL projects and questioned whether it was due to the manpower shortage of HyD arising from the concurrent implementation of the "Universal Accessibility" ("UA") Programme. Similar concern was also raised at the FC meeting on 30 June 2018.

15. The Administration explained that PTF studies had been conducted for each of the 18 HEL projects after the Panel was briefed on their ranking in February 2010. Upon confirmation of the technical feasibility of a project, HyD should carry out preparation work including ground investigation, preliminary design, consultation with the local community, gazettal under the Roads (Works, Use and Compensation) Ordinance and handling objections (if any), and land acquisition arrangements. As each project had its own unique situations and challenges, the Administration needed time to deal with them. In particular, the Administration was required to address the concerns of the local community when opposing views were received on individual projects at the local level, which involved amending the alignment or design and re-initiating public consultation. That explained why the progress of individual projects varied.

#### Handling of new suggestions and review of the assessment system

16. At the PWSC meeting on 1 November 2017 when the funding proposal for an HEL project was considered, some Members asked whether the Administration would implement other new projects after completing the 18 ranked proposals. Some members suggested that the Administration should review the scoring criteria for assessing the HEL proposals, for example, consideration should be given to adding a new criterion of the average level difference travelled by the elderly residents of 65 years old or above each day within the catchment of the proposed works. The Administration responded that it would consider the above suggestion in assessing new suggestions on provision of HEL.

#### Others views

### Ventilation of new lifts

17. When discussing the funding proposal of LPWS project at the Panel meeting on 27 April 2018 and FC meeting on 30 June 2018, Members raised concern over poor ventilation of lifts, lift design and energy saving measures. Members noted that HyD had adopted mechanical ventilation in lieu of air-conditioning for new lift installations about three to four years ago. The Administration had also advised that the mechanical ventilation system in lifts would operate around-the-clock through automatic sensors so that when the temperature in the lift car was higher than outside temperature, ventilation would be increased to lower the temperature inside. With the experience gained therefrom, HyD could hopefully control the temperature in the lift car at more or less the same level as outside temperature.

### Resumption of private land

18. Members also raised concern over issues relating to resumption of private land. A member queried why slopes within a public rental housing ("PRH") estate would be acquired by a private real estate investment trust fund, such that the Administration must pay compensation thereto for resuming the land for the proposed project. The member was concerned that the trust fund concerned might have acquired the slopes because it was aware of the Administration's intention to implement the works project in the area. The Administration advised that as a result of the divestment of commercial facilities of some PRH estates by HKHA to the LINK REIT years ago, some PRH estates were now jointly owned by HKHA and private real estate investment trust funds. In connection with the discussion on the part of the slopes in Shek Lei (I) Estate which would need to be resumed for LPWS project, the Administration advised that as the land lease concerned did not have any terms requiring the land owner to surrender his interests relating to the slopes, the Administration would need to pay compensation thereto for resuming the land concerned.

# Formation of a sub-committee under the Panel

19. The Panel has been monitoring closely the implementation of the HEL projects. At its meeting on 19 May 2017, the Panel agreed to form a subcommittee under the Panel to study and follow up issues relating to the provision of HEL ("the HEL Subcommittee"). It was agreed that the HEL Subcommittee would focus its work on issues including:

- (a) monitoring the implementation progress of the 18-ranked proposals for HEL;
- (b) following up the handling by the Administration of new suggestions on the provision of HEL;
- (c) examining the setting up of a dedicated HEL fund to expedite the works concerned;
- (d) offering views and comments on the review and improvement of the existing assessment system for HEL; and
- (e) giving recommendations on the provision of HEL in Hong Kong.

The HEL Subcommittee is one of those on policy issues being put on the waiting list. It may be activated within 2019 when a vacant slot becomes available.

# **Relevant questions raised at Council meetings and other relevant papers**

20. The hyperlinks to the questions relating to HEL raised at Council meetings in the fourth, fifth and sixth LegCo and other relevant papers are in **Appendix III**.

# Latest Development

21. The Administration plans to inform members on the progress of the review of assessment mechanism for HEL proposals at the Panel meeting scheduled for 14 December 2018.

Council Business Division 4 Legislative Council Secretariat 11 December 2018

# **Appendix I**

# Details of the Assessment System for Provision of Hillside Escalator Links and Elevator Systems

The assessment system on provision of hillside escalator links and elevator systems ("HEL") comprises initial screening and scoring stages.

# **Initial Screening**

2. The initial screening helps screen out proposals which are obviously infeasible or unjustifiable for implementation. A proposal will not be taken forward if it has any of the following characteristics –

- (a) land unavailability inadequate land and/or infeasible land resumption to possibly accommodate the proposed facility;
- (b) redundancy similar facility/facilities is/are already provided or committed in close proximity to the proposed facility; <sup>1</sup>
- (c) insurmountable construction or operational difficulties; or
- (d) small level difference level difference to be overcome is less than six metres ("m").

### **Scoring System**

3. Proposals which pass the initial screening will be evaluated by the scoring system based on the following set of evaluation criteria (figures in brackets denote their respective maximum score) -

- (a) Circumstantial factors (total score : 40)
  - (i) existing population/employment within catchment<sup>2</sup> (6);
  - (ii) existing population of 65 year-old or above within catchment (5);

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> A facility located within 300 m of the proposed facility is generally regarded as one within close proximity.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Catchment is defined as the area within the radius of 300 m from every entrance/access point of the proposed facility.

- (iii) topographical conditions, i.e. steep gradient/level difference (11);
- (iv) connectivity with other existing/committed pedestrian facilities (4);
- (v) connectivity with existing/committed mass public transport facilities within catchment (4);
- (vi) connectivity with existing/committed centres of activity within catchment (4);
- (vii) steadiness of existing pedestrian flow (6);
- (b) Beneficial factors (total score : 35)
  - (viii) revitalization of / benefits to local community (6);
  - (ix) journey time/cost saving (8);
  - (x) improvement to existing traffic conditions (6);
  - (xi) improvement to existing pedestrian conditions (6);
  - (xii) road safety (6);
  - (xiii) tourism promotion (3);
- (c) Implementation factors (total score : 25)
  - (xiv) land requirement (6);
  - (xv) technical/environmental constraints (6); and
  - (xvi) cost-effectiveness (13).

4. The respective weightings for the circumstantial, beneficial and implementation factors, as well as the individual items thereunder, reflect their relative importance, forming a total score of 100. Based on the scores obtained, the relative rankings among various proposals on HEL will be determined in an objective manner. Those proposals with higher rankings will obviously have priority to proceed to the next stage of

planning and investigation.

5. However, the proposed assessment system will not be applicable to the following proposals –

- (a) proposals which cross a single road they will be evaluated under the criteria for footbridge construction;
- (b) proposals which entirely fall within the boundary of public housing estates – the Housing Department will consider the feasibility of the escalator and elevator systems within the boundary of public housing estates separately; or
- (c) proposals which form an integral part of major projects –justifications for them will be considered as part of the respective major projects.

(Source: Annex A to LC Paper No.: CB(1)1190/09-10(03))

# Current progress of the proposals of the Hillside Escalator Links and Elevator Systems

Rank	Proposal	Progress of Project
1	Pedestrian Link at Tsz Wan Shan	The pedestrian link is implemented under the Shatin to Central Link project and involves 15 facilities. The construction works commenced in July 2012. The pedestrian link has been opened for public use since October 2017.
2	Braemar Hill Pedestrian Link	HyD consulted and obtained the support from the Planning, Works and Housing Committee (PWHC) of the Eastern District Council (EDC) on the design scheme in September 2016. Prior to gazettal of the works, HyD further consulted the residents on the design scheme and attended residents' meetings in November and December 2017. In view of the comments raised by various parties, HyD reviewed the scheme with a view to obtaining a majority consensus for the project scheme.
		HyD attended the PWHC meeting in February 2018, arranged site visits with EDC members, Legislative Council members and residents in March 2018, held a public meeting in April 2018 and conducted focus group meetings with schools and residents in May and June 2018 to introduce the revised design scheme and discuss with various stakeholders.
		In view of the comments from various stakeholders, HyD consulted PWHC again on 19 June 2018. HyD presented various design revisions and the recommended

Rank	Proposal	Progress of Project
		revised design scheme, and obtained the support of the majority of PWHC members. HyD is now carrying out the detailed design and preparing for the gazettal of the project scheme.
3	Lift and Pedestrian Walkway System at Cheung Hang Estate, Tsing Yi	The Government obtained funding approval from the Legislative Council in May 2016. The construction works commenced in February 2017 for anticipated completion in mid-2019.
4	Escalator Link and Pedestrian Walkway System at Pound Lane	HyD consulted the Central and Western District Council (C&WDC) and held a public forum on the refined proposal in 2015. In view of the diverse views received and the many issues involved, HyD plans to consult the C&WDC further on the project.
5	Lift and Pedestrian Walkway System between Kwai Shing Circuit and Hing Shing Road	The Government obtained funding approval from the Legislative Council in May 2016. The construction works commenced in June 2017 for anticipated completion in 2020.
6	Lift and Pedestrian Walkway System between Castle Peak Road and Kung Yip Street	The Government obtained funding approval from the Finance Committee of the Legislative Council on 30 June 2018. The construction works are scheduled to commence in the first quarter of 2019 for anticipated completion in the second quarter of 2023.
7	Lift and Pedestrian Walkway System between Lai Cho Road and Wah Yiu Road	The preliminary technical feasibility study has been completed. HyD has engaged consultants to carry out the investigation and preliminary design.
8	Pedestrian Link near Chuk	HyD consulted and obtained the general support from the Traffic and Transport

Rank	Proposal	<b>Progress of Project</b>
	Yuen North Estate	Committee (T&TC) of the Wong Tai Sin District Council (WTSDC) on the preliminary design in July 2016 and March 2017. However, some schools and some of the residents in the district subsequently raised objections. After consideration of their objections, HyD revised the design and consulted the T&TC again in January 2018; the support from the T&TC was obtained. TD and HyD are now collating and analysing the relevant views and actively reviewing the details of the proposal. Relevant stakeholders and the T&TC will then be consulted on the latest developments. The preliminary technical feasibility study has been completed.
9	Lift and Pedestrian Walkway System at Waterloo Hill	The Government obtained funding approval from the Legislative Council in May 2016. The construction works commenced in December 2016 for anticipated completion in mid-2019.
10	Lift and Pedestrian Walkway System between Lai King Hill Road and Lai Cho Road	It was revealed in the preliminary technical feasibility study that the project involved two dangerous private slopes. The owners of the slopes completed the repair works for the slopes in February 2018. HyD has resumed the preliminary technical feasibility study for the project.
11	Lift and Pedestrian Walkway System between Tai Wo Hau Road and Wo Tong Tsui Street	The Government obtained funding approval from the Legislative Council in December 2017. The advance works for diversion of utilities commenced in January 2018. The main works are anticipated to commence in the fourth quarter of 2018 for completion in the fourth quarter of 2021.

Rank	Proposal	<b>Progress of Project</b>
12	Lift and Pedestrian Walkway System at Luen On Street	HyD consulted and obtained support from the Traffic and Transport Committee of the Kwun Tong District Council (KTDC) on the preliminary design scheme in February 2018. As the proposal needs to span across private lots and is in close proximity to existing buildings, HyD will consult the relevant residents and stakeholders as suggested by the KTDC.
13	Yuet Wah Street Pedestrian Linkage	To complement the Kwun Tong Town Centre Redevelopment, the Civil Engineering and Development Department commenced construction for this project in April 2013. The Linkage has been opened for public use since October 2015.
14 (same ranking)	Escalator Link System between Hong Sing Garden and Po Hong Road	The preliminary technical feasibility study has been completed and the project is considered technically feasible. HyD is now preparing to commence the next phase of the pre-construction stage.
14 (same ranking)	Lift and Pedestrian Walkway System between Lai King Hill Road and Princess Margaret Hospital	The Hospital Authority commenced the project in November 2015. The works have been completed and the facility has been opened for public use since January 2017.
16	Lift and Pedestrian Walkway System between Saddle Ridge Garden and Sai Sha Road	The preliminary technical feasibility study has been completed and the project is considered technically feasible. HyD is now preparing to commence the next phase of the pre-construction stage.
17	Lift and Pedestrian Walkway System between Hing Shing Road and Tai	The preliminary technical feasibility study has been completed and the project is considered technically feasible. HyD is now preparing to commence the next phase

Rank	Proposal	Progress of Project	
	Wo Hau Road	of the pre-construction stage.	
18	Escalator Link System between Sha Tin Sui Wo Court and MTR Fo Tan Station	The proposed item involves works of relatively large scale and is rather complex as a substantial part of the proposed alignment runs through lots held by the Hong Kong Housing Authority and private owners. TD is actively following up on the proposal with a view to determining its scope as soon as possible, so as to allow the relevant department to commence the preliminary technical feasibility study.	

# **Review of assessment mechanism** for hillside escalator links and elevator systems proposals

# List of relevant papers

Date of meeting	Committee	Minutes/Paper	LC Paper No.
15.3.2002	Panel on Transport	Administration's paper	CB(1)1266/01-02(03) http://www.legco.gov.h k/yr01-02/english/panel s/tp/papers/tp0315cb1- 1266-3e.pdf
		Minutes of meeting	CB(1)1517/01-02 http://www.legco.gov.h k/yr01-02/english/panel s/tp/minutes/tp020315. pdf
6.2002	Panel on Transport	Administration's paper	CB(1)2130/01-02(04) http://www.legco.gov.h k/yr01-02/english/panel s/tp/papers/tp0315cb1- 2130-4e.pdf
22.5.2009	Panel on Transport	Administration's paper	CB(1)1613/08-09(06) http://www.legco.gov.h k/yr08-09/english/panel s/tp/papers/tp0522cb1- 1613-6-e.pdf
		Minutes of meeting	CB(1)2756/08-09 http://www.legco.gov.h k/yr08-09/english/panel s/tp/minutes/tp2009052 2.pdf

Date of meeting	Committee	Minutes/Paper	LC Paper No.
26.2.2010	Panel on Transport	Administration's paper	CB(1)1190/09-10(03) http://www.legco.gov.h k/yr09-10/english/panel s/tp/papers/tp0226cb1- 1190-3-e.pdf
		Background brief prepared by the Legislative Council Secretariat	CB(1)1191/09-10 http://www.legco.gov.h k/yr09-10/english/panel s/tp/papers/tp0226cb1- 1191-e.pdf
		Administration's follow-up paper	CB(1)2983/09-10(02) http://www.legco.gov.h k/yr09-10/english/panel s/tp/papers/tp0226cb1- 2983-2-e.pdf
		Minutes of meeting	CB(1)1596/09-10 http://www.legco.gov.h k/yr09-10/english/panel s/tp/minutes/tp2010022 6.pdf
15.4.2011	Panel on Transport	Administration's paper	CB(1)1836/10-11(03) http://www.legco.gov.h k/yr10-11/english/panel s/tp/papers/tp0415cb1- 1836-3-e.pdf
		Minutes of meeting	CB(1)2544/10-11 http://www.legco.gov.h k/yr10-11/english/panel s/tp/minutes/tp2011041 5.pdf
9.1.2013	Legislative Council	Question raised by Hon LEUNG Yiu-chung	http://www.info.gov.hk /gia/general/201301/09/ P201301080376.htm

Date of meeting	Committee	Minutes/Paper	LC Paper No.
17.1.2014	Panel on Transport	Administration's paper	CB(1)702/13-14(05) http://www.legco.gov.h k/yr13-14/english/panel s/tp/papers/tp0117cb1- 702-5-e.pdf
		Minutes of meeting	CB(1)1105/13-14 http://www.legco.gov.h k/yr13-14/english/panel s/tp/minutes/tp2014011 7.pdf
16.4.2014	Legislative Council	Question raised by Hon Paul TSE	http://www.info.gov.hk /gia/general/201404/16/ P201404160474.htm
22.5.2014	-	Press release	http://www.info.gov.hk /gia/general/201405/22/ P201405220598.htm
26.11.2014	Legislative Council	Question raised by Hon Michael TIEN	http://www.info.gov.hk /gia/general/201411/26/ P201411260360.htm
16.1.2015	Panel on Transport	Minutes of meeting	CB(4)1390/14-15 http://www.legco.gov.h k/yr14-15/english/panel s/tp/minutes/tp2015011 6.pdf
16.12.2015	Legislative Council	Question raised by Hon Frankie YICK	http://www.info.gov.hk /gia/general/201512/16/ P201512160340.htm
28.12.2015	Panel on Transport	Administration's paper responding to the letter from Hon CHAN Han-pan	CB(4)414/15-16(01) http://www.legco.gov.h k/yr15-16/english/panel s/tp/papers/tpcb4-414-1 -e.pdf

Date of meeting	Committee	Minutes/Paper	LC Paper No.
23.3.2016	Panel on Transport	Administration's paper	CB(4)736/15-16(06) http://www.legco.gov.h k/yr15-16/english/panel s/tp/papers/tp20160323 cb4-736-6-e.pdf
		Background brief prepared by the Legislative Council Secretariat	CB(4)736/15-16(07) http://www.legco.gov.h k/yr15-16/english/panel s/tp/papers/tp20160323 cb4-736-7-e.pdf
		Minutes of meeting	CB(4)1302/15-16 http://www.legco.gov.h k/yr15-16/english/panel s/tp/minutes/tp2016032 3.pdf
15.4.2016	Panel on Transport	Minutes of meeting	CB(4)1321/15-16 http://www.legco.gov.h k/yr15-16/english/panel s/tp/minutes/tp2016041 5.pdf
20.4.2016	Public Works Subcommittee	Administration's paper	PWSC(2016-17)5 http://www.legco.gov.h k/yr15-16/english/fc/p wsc/papers/p16-05e.pdf
		Administration's follow-up paper	PWSC203/15-16 http://www.legco.gov.h k/yr15-16/english/fc/p wsc/papers/pwsc20160 420pwsc-203-1-e.pdf
		Minutes of meeting	PWSC208/15-16 http://www.legco.gov.h k/yr15-16/english/fc/p wsc/minutes/pwsc2016 0420.pdf

Date of meeting	Committee	Minutes/Paper	LC Paper No.
13.5.2016	Finance Committee	Administration's paper	FCR(2016-17)20 http://www.legco.gov.h k/yr15-16/english/fc/fc/ papers/f16-20e.pdf
		Minutes of meeting	FC294/15-16 http://www.legco.gov.h k/yr15-16/english/fc/fc/ minutes/fc20160513.pd f
20.1.2017	Panel on Transport	Administration's paper	CB(4)413/16-17(03) http://www.legco.gov.h k/yr16-17/english/panel s/tp/papers/tp20170120 cb4-413-3-e.pdf
21.4.2017	Panel on Transport	Administration's paper	CB(4)839/16-17(03) http://www.legco.gov.h k/yr16-17/english/panel s/tp/papers/tp20170421 cb4-839-3-e.pdf
		Background brief prepared by the Legislative Council Secretariat	
		Administration's follow-up paper	CB(4)1358/16-17(01) http://www.legco.gov.h k/yr16-17/english/panel s/tp/papers/tp20170421 cb4-1358-1-e.pdf
		Minutes of meeting	CB(4)1616/16-17 http://www.legco.gov.h k/yr16-17/english/panel s/tp/minutes/tp2017042 1.pdf

Date of meeting	Committee	Minutes/Paper	LC Paper No.
19.5.2017	Panel on Transport	Paper prepared by the Legislative Council Secretariat	CB(4)1021/16-17(03) http://www.legco.gov.h k/yr16-17/english/panel s/tp/papers/tp20170519 cb4-1021-3-e.pdf
		Minutes of meeting	CB(4)13/17-18 http://www.legco.gov.h k/yr16-17/english/panel s/tp/minutes/tp2017051 9.pdf
20.10.2017	Panel on Transport	Administration's paper	CB(4)54/17-18(03) http://www.legco.gov.h k/yr17-18/english/panel s/tp/papers/tp20171020 cb4-54-3-e.pdf
		Minutes of meeting	CB(4)417/17-18 http://www.legco.gov.h k/yr17-18/english/panel s/tp/minutes/tp2017102 0.pdf
1.11.2017	Public Works Subcommittee	Administration's paper	PWSC(2017-18)19 http://www.legco.gov.h k/yr17-18/english/fc/p wsc/papers/p17-19e.pdf
		Minutes of meeting	PWSC36/17-18 http://www.legco.gov.h k/yr17-18/english/fc/p wsc/minutes/pwsc2017 1101.pdf
8.12.2017	Finance Committee	Administration's paper	FCR(2017-18)41 http://www.legco.gov.h k/yr17-18/english/fc/fc/ papers/f17-41e.pdf

Date of	Committee	Minutes/Paper	LC Paper No.
meeting 18.4.2018	Finance Committee	Replies to initial written questions raised by Finance Committee Members in examining the Estimates of Expenditure 2018-2019 (THB(T)076 and 097)	http://www.legco.gov.h k/yr17-18/english/fc/fc/ w_q/thb-t-e.pdf
27.4.2018	Panel on Transport	Administration's paper	CB(4)951/17-18(03) <u>https://www.legco.gov.</u> <u>hk/yr17-18/english/pan</u> <u>els/tp/papers/tp2018042</u> <u>7cb4-951-3-e.pdf</u>
		Background brief prepared by the Legislative Council Secretariat	CB(4)951/17-18(04) https://www.legco.gov. hk/yr17-18/english/pan els/tp/papers/tp2018042 7cb4-951-4-e.pdf
		Administration's response to letters from Mr PAU Ming-hong of Kwai Tsing District Council Member on issues relating to the provision of hillside escalator links and elevator systems	https://www.legco.gov. hk/yr17-18/english/pan els/tp/papers/tp2017111
23.5.2018	Public Works Subcommittee	Administration's paper	PWSC(2018-19)21 https://www.legco.gov. hk/yr17-18/english/fc/p wsc/papers/p18-21e.pdf

Date of	Committee	Minutes/Paper	LC Paper No.
meeting		Minutes of meeting	PWSC269/17-18
			https://www.legco.gov. hk/yr17-18/english/fc/p wsc/minutes/pwsc2018 0523.pdf
26.5.2018		PublicWorksSubcommittee(Record ofDecisions)26 May 2018	https://www.legco.gov. hk/yr17-18/english/fc/p wsc/results/pwsc20180 526.htm
30.6.2018	Finance Committee	Recommnedations of the Public Works Subcommittee made on 26 May and 28 May 2018	FCR(2018-19)31 https://www.legco.gov. hk/yr17-18/english/fc/f c/papers/f18-31e.pdf
		Finance Committee (Voting Results) 30 June 2018	https://www.legco.gov. hk/yr17-18/chinese/fc/f c/results/fc20180630-v oting-ec.pdf
		Minutes of meeting	FC49/18-19
			https://www.legco.gov. hk/yr17-18/english/fc/f c/minutes/fc20180630. pdf
4.7.2018	Legislative Council	Question raised by Hon LAM Cheuk-ting	<u>https://www.info.gov.h</u> <u>k/gia/general/201807/0</u> <u>4/P2018070300759.ht</u> <u>m</u>

Date of meeting	Committee	Minutes/Paper	LC Paper No.
6.7.2018	House Committee	Paper on Proposed extension of period of work of subcommittees on policy issues and proposed arrangement for the order of activation of subcommittees on the waiting list	CB (2)1732/17-18 https://www.legco.gov. hk/yr17-18/english/hc/p apers/hc20180706cb2-1 732-e.pdf
		Minutes of meeting	CB(2)1930/17-18 <u>https://www.legco.gov.</u> <u>hk/yr17-18/english/hc/</u> <u>minutes/hc20180706.p</u> <u>df</u>
11.7.2018	Legislative Council	Question raised by Hon Jeremy TAM	<u>https://www.info.gov.h</u> <u>k/gia/general/201807/1</u> <u>1/P2018071100346.ht</u> <u>m</u>
19.10.2018	Panel on Transport	Administration's paper	CB(4)19/18-19(01) <u>https://www.legco.gov.</u> <u>hk/yr18-19/english/pan</u> <u>els/tp/papers/tp2018101</u> <u>9cb4-19-1-e.pdf</u>

Council Business Division 4 <u>Legislative Council Secretariat</u> 11 December 2018