

Hon CHAN Chi-chuen's 2nd proposed resolution

Interpretation and General Clauses Ordinance

Resolution

(Under section 34(2) of the Interpretation and
General Clauses Ordinance (Cap. 1))

Prohibition on Face Covering Regulation

Resolved that the Prohibition on Face Covering Regulation, published in the Gazette as Legal Notice No. 119 of 2019 and laid on the table of the Legislative Council on 16 October 2019, be amended as set out in the Schedule.

Schedule

Amendments to Prohibition on Face Covering Regulation

1. Section 2 amended (interpretation)

Section 2—

Add in alphabetical order

“*relative* (親屬) means the spouse, parent, child, brother or sister of the relevant person and, in determining the relationship, an adopted person is regarded as the child of both the natural parents and the adoptive parents and a step child is the child of both the natural parents and any step parent;”.

2. Section 4 amended (defence for offence under section 3(2))

(1) Section 4(3)(b), after the semicolon—

Repeal

“or”.

(2) Section 4(3)(c)—

Repeal the full stop

Substitute a semicolon.

(3) After section 4(3)(c)—

Add

“(d) the person was using the facial covering for preventing any person other than a police officer from identifying him because he is likely to be dismissed by his employer by reason of his presence at the assembly, meeting or procession concerned;

(e) the person was using the facial covering for preventing any person other than a police officer from identifying him because he is likely to be annoyed or threatened in everyday life by reason of his presence at the assembly, meeting or procession concerned;

(f) the person was using the facial covering for preventing any person other than a police officer from identifying him because another person may know his sexual

orientation or gender identity by reason of his presence at the assembly, meeting or procession concerned; and

- (g) the person was using the facial covering for preventing any person other than a police officer from identifying him because his relationship with a relative is likely to be damaged by reason of his presence at the assembly, meeting or procession concerned.”.