立法會 Legislative Council

LC Paper No. FC4/20-21 (These minutes have been seen by the Administration)

Ref : FC/1/1(23)

Finance Committee of the Legislative Council

Minutes of the 24th meeting held at Conference Room 1 of the Legislative Council Complex on Saturday, 18 April 2020, from 1:01 pm to 2:32 pm

Members present:

Hon CHAN Kin-por, GBS, JP (Chairman)

Hon CHAN Chun-ying, JP (Deputy Chairman)

Hon James TO Kun-sun

Hon LEUNG Yiu-chung

Hon Abraham SHEK Lai-him, GBS, JP

Hon Tommy CHEUNG Yu-yan, GBS, JP

Prof Hon Joseph LEE Kok-long, SBS, JP

Hon Jeffrey LAM Kin-fung, GBS, JP

Hon WONG Ting-kwong, GBS, JP

Hon Starry LEE Wai-king, SBS, JP

Hon CHAN Hak-kan, BBS, JP

Dr Hon Priscilla LEUNG Mei-fun, SBS, JP

Hon WONG Kwok-kin, SBS, JP

Hon Mrs Regina IP LAU Suk-yee, GBS, JP

Hon Paul TSE Wai-chun, JP

Hon Claudia MO

Hon Michael TIEN Puk-sun, BBS, JP

Hon Steven HO Chun-yin, BBS

Hon Frankie YICK Chi-ming, SBS, JP

Hon WU Chi-wai, MH

Hon YIU Si-wing, BBS

Hon MA Fung-kwok, SBS, JP

Hon Charles Peter MOK, JP

Hon CHAN Chi-chuen

Hon CHAN Han-pan, BBS, JP

Hon LEUNG Che-cheung, SBS, MH, JP

Hon Kenneth LEUNG

Hon Alice MAK Mei-kuen, BBS, JP

Dr Hon KWOK Ka-ki

Hon KWOK Wai-keung, JP

Hon Dennis KWOK Wing-hang

Hon Christopher CHEUNG Wah-fung, SBS, JP

Dr Hon Fernando CHEUNG Chiu-hung

Dr Hon Helena WONG Pik-wan

Hon IP Kin-yuen

Hon Elizabeth QUAT, BBS, JP

Hon Martin LIAO Cheung-kong, GBS, JP

Hon POON Siu-ping, BBS, MH

Dr Hon CHIANG Lai-wan, SBS, JP

Ir Dr Hon LO Wai-kwok, SBS, MH, JP

Hon CHUNG Kwok-pan

Hon Alvin YEUNG

Hon Andrew WAN Siu-kin

Hon CHU Hoi-dick

Hon Jimmy NG Wing-ka, BBS, JP

Dr Hon Junius HO Kwan-yiu, JP

Hon HO Kai-ming

Hon LAM Cheuk-ting

Hon Holden CHOW Ho-ding

Hon SHIU Ka-fai, JP

Hon SHIU Ka-chun

Hon Wilson OR Chong-shing, MH

Hon YUNG Hoi-yan, JP

Dr Hon Pierre CHAN

Hon Tanya CHAN

Hon CHEUNG Kwok-kwan, JP

Hon HUI Chi-fung

Hon LUK Chung-hung, JP

Hon LAU Kwok-fan, MH

Hon Kenneth LAU Ip-keung, BBS, MH, JP

Dr Hon CHENG Chung-tai

Hon KWONG Chun-yu

Hon Jeremy TAM Man-ho

Hon Vincent CHENG Wing-shun, MH, JP

Hon Tony TSE Wai-chuen, BBS

Hon CHAN Hoi-yan

Public officers attending:

Mr James H. LAU Jr., JP Secretary for Financial Services and the Treasury Ms Alice LAU Yim, JP Permanent Secretary for Financial Services and the Treasury (Treasury) Mr Raistlin LAU Chun, JP Secretary for Financial Deputy Services and the **Treasury** (Treasury)1 Mr Mike CHENG Wai-man Principal Executive Officer (General), Financial Services and the Treasury Bureau (The Treasury Branch) Mr Matthew Chief Secretary for Administration CHEUNG Kin-chung, GBM, GBS, JP Ms Angelina KWAN Yuen-yee, Head, Human Resources Planning and Poverty Co-ordination Unit, JP Chief Secretary for Administration's **Private Office** Miss Carrie CHANG Kar-wai Administrative Assistant to Chief Secretary for Administration Secretary for Justice Ms Teresa CHENG, GBS, SC, JP Mr Edward YAU Tang-wah, Secretary for Commerce and **Economic Development** GBS, JP Permanent Secretary for Commerce Miss Eliza LEE Man-ching, JP Economic Development and (Commerce, Industry and Tourism) Mr Joe WONG Chi-cho, JP Commissioner for Tourism. Commerce and **Economic** Development Bureau Executive Director Chief Mr Raymond LI Ling-cheung, and Hong JP Officer, Kong Executive Mortgage Corporation Limited Secretary for the Civil Service Mr Joshua LAW Chi-kong, GBS, JP Mr Hermes CHAN Shun-hee Director of General Grades, Civil Service Bureau Mr Michael WONG Wai-lun, JP Secretary for Development Mr Francis CHAU Siu-hei, JP Deputy Secretary for Development (Works) (3) Secretary for Education Mr Kevin YEUNG Yun-hung, JP Mr WONG Kam-sing, GBS, JP Secretary for the Environment

Mrs Vicki KWOK WONG Deputy Director of Environmental Protection (2) Wing-ki, JP Secretary for Food and Health Prof Sophia CHAN Siu-chee, JP Miss Vivian LAU Lee-kwan, JP Director of Food and Environmental Hygiene Deputy Mr Andrew LAI Chi-wah, JP Secretary for Financial Services and the Treasury (Treasury)2 Deputy Mr Chris SUN Yuk-han, JP Secretary for Financial Services and the Treasury (Financial Services)1 Secretary for Home Affairs Mr LAU Kong-wah, JP Mr YEUNG Tak-keung, JP Commissioner for Sports, Home Affairs Bureau Deputy Director of Home Affairs (2) Miss Vega WONG Sau-wai, JP **Nicholas** YANG Secretary Innovation Mr for and Wei-hsiung, GBS, JP Technology Under Secretary for Innovation and Dr David CHUNG Wai-keung **Technology** Permanent Secretary for Innovation Ms Annie CHOI Suk-han, JP and Technology Dr LAW Chi-kwong, GBS, JP Secretary for Labour and Welfare Assistant Director of Social Welfare Mrs Helen KWOK LI Mung-yee (Youth and Corrections) Secretary for Transport and Housing

Clerk in attendance:

Mr Kevin CHOI, JP

Mr Frank CHAN Fan, JP

Ms Anita SIT Assistant Secretary General 1

Deputy Secretary for Transport and

Housing (Transport)2

Staff in attendance:

Miss Bowie LAM Council Secretary (1)1 Senior Legislative Assistant (1)2 Miss Queenie LAM Mr Frankie WOO Senior Legislative Assistant (1)3 Miss Yannes HO Legislative Assistant (1)7

Item 1 — FCR(2020-21)2

HEAD 142 — GOVERNMENT SECRETARIAT : OFFICES OF THE CHIEF SECRETARY FOR ADMINISTRATION AND FINANCIAL SECRETARY

Subhead 700 — General non-recurrent

Item 803 — Anti-epidemic Fund

HEAD 170 — SOCIAL WELFARE DEPARTMENT

Subhead 700 — General non-recurrent

New item — "Special Scheme of Assistance to the Unemployed"

HEAD 152 — GOVERNMENT SECRETARIAT : COMMERCE
AND ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT BUREAU
(COMMERCE, INDUSTRY AND TOURISM BRANCH)

Subhead 700 — General non-recurrent

Item 833 — SME Financing Guarantee Scheme—Special Concessionary Measures

LOAN FUND

HEAD 252 — LOANS TO SCHOOLS/TEACHERS

Subhead 104 — Loans to non-profit-making international schools

Subhead 106 — Start-up loan for post-secondary education providers

HEAD 254 — LOANS TO STUDENTS

Subhead 101 — Means-tested loan for tertiary students pursuing publicly-funded programmes

Subhead 102 — Non-means-tested loan scheme

Subhead 103 — Means-tested loan for post-secondary students

HEAD 262 — PRIMARY PRODUCTS

Subhead 101 — Fisheries Loans

Continuation of the discussion on agenda item FCR(2020-21)2

The Finance Committee ("FC") continued with the discussion on item FCR(2020-21)2.

Provision of one-off relief to specific sectors

Subsidy schemes for premises affected by COVID-19

- 2. Mr Jeremy TAM asked whether consideration could be given to converting temporary place of public entertainment licences ("TPPELs") held by operators to full licences if their businesses were being operated on a long-term basis. If the said arrangement could be made, the estimated total amount of subsidies to be disbursed might be affected as different amounts were provided for holders of place of public entertainment In this connection, he enquired whether the licences and TPPELs. Administration would adjust the estimated total amount accordingly; and if adjustment was required, whether it was necessary to re-submit the item to FC for consideration. Secretary for Home Affairs replied that there were different types of TPPELs, and holders of TPPELs were all entitled to a grant of \$20,000. Chief Secretary for Administration ("CS") supplemented that he was the Chairman of the Anti-epidemic Fund Steering Committee ("the Committee"), and relevant directors of bureaux were also members of the Committee. If any adjustment was required, the Committee could make decisions in a timely manner.
- 3. In case shops were directed to close part of their premises under the Prevention and Control of Disease (Requirements and Directions) (Business and Premises) Regulation (Cap. 599F) ("the Regulation"), Mr CHAN Chi-chuen asked how the affected businesses could apply for the subsidies and which departments were responsible for handling the applications. For example, if part of the premises of a physiotherapy centre was used as consultation rooms while fitness equipment was placed in other part of the premises, he asked whether the physiotherapy centre could apply for the subsidy for fitness centres. Separately, he asked whether a hair salon which also provided beauty services could apply for the subsidy for beauty salons.

4. In response, <u>CS</u> said that:

- (a) if businesses affected and unaffected by the Regulation were operating in the same premises, businesses which were affected by the Regulation would be dealt with separately; and
- (b) upon FC's approval of the present item, executive departments /organizations of various subsidy schemes would make public announcements and arrange publicity for the relevant application procedures.

Catering Business (Social Distancing) Subsidy Scheme

- 5. <u>Dr Helena WONG</u> noted that cooked food stalls at public markets managed by the Food and Environmental Hygiene Department ("FEHD") would be eligible for a subsidy of \$50,000. She asked how the case would be handled if the licensee and operator of a cooked food stall were not the same person.
- 6. In response, <u>Director of Food and Environmental Hygienesaid</u> that:
 - (a) cooked food stalls at cooked food centres or cooked food markets managed by FEHD were tenants of FEHD rather than licensees;
 - (b) it was the Government's hope that the tenants, after receiving the subsidies, would use the resources suitably to maintain their business operation, including payment of wages to their employees;
 - (c) under the terms and conditions of the tenancy agreements, FEHD's tenants were not allowed to sublet their stalls to other people. If breaches were found, the Administration would re-enter the stalls forthwith; and
 - (d) the Government would not interfere in the business deals between the tenants and other people.

Relief grants for providers of catering services for schools and postsecondary education institutions and providers of interest group and school bus services for schools

7. <u>Dr Helena WONG</u> asked whether freelancers who provided training and counselling to students through games, dance and movement therapy, etc. could also apply for the relief grants if they could prove that they had entered into contracts with the schools concerned. <u>Secretary for Education</u> replied that if those freelancers had indeed organized learning programmes or interest classes to provide training for students which the schools deemed to be helpful in nurturing the students, the Administration could consider such applications in the light of their situations.

Support for the cross-boundary freight transport sector

8. <u>Ms Alice MAK</u> expressed support for the item. She pointed out that while the second round of the Anti-epidemic Fund ("the Fund") had

provided some support to tourism, transport and catering sectors, there was no measure to benefit cross-boundary goods vehicle drivers. Secretary for Transport and Housing ("STH") replied that the transport sector was relatively less hard-hit by the pandemic. That said, the Government was concerned about the livelihood of cross-boundary goods vehicle drivers and would closely monitor their operating condition. Appropriate measures would be taken to assist the sector if and when necessary. He said that the Government's current policy was to ensure the smooth operation of sea, land and air transportation.

<u>Providing unemployment support under the Comprehensive Social Security</u> Assistance framework

9. Mr WU Chi-wai noted that the Administration planned to implement a six-month unemployment support scheme under the Comprehensive Social Security Assistance ("CSSA") Scheme. He asked whether the Administration would instead set up a "Specific Unemployment Assistance Scheme" to provide the unemployed with a monthly grant of \$9,000 for six months.

10. In response, <u>CS</u> said that:

- (a) it was the Government's hope to assist the unemployed expeditiously through the existing hardware platform and complementary measures of the current CSSA Scheme; and
- (b) the amount of CSSA grants was calculated according to a specific formula, taking into account the needs of CSSA households. It was inappropriate to set a fixed amount of unemployment support at \$9,000, and a new gap in the CSSA Scheme might be created by doing so.
- 11. Expressing support for the item, Mr Vincent CHENG hoped that FC could approve the item as soon as possible. He said that the Administration should explain the application method and eligibility threshold of the unemployment support scheme to members of the public. He asked whether the Social Welfare Department ("SWD") would increase manpower to process the relevant applications, and whether a performance pledge, such as processing the applications within two to three weeks, would be adopted. CS replied that the Government would strive to launch the unemployment support scheme as soon as possible. Secretary for Labour and Welfare ("SLW") supplemented that SWD would recruit retired staff to assist in the processing of such applications, with a view to speeding up the vetting and approval process, so that the subsidies could be

disbursed to members of the public as soon as possible.

Public transport fare subsidy

12. Mr Michael TIEN said that according to his calculation, the provision of a 20% fare concession by the MTR Corporation Limited with government subsidies would mean an increase of 20% in the transport fare of passengers of non-rail public transport modes. Moreover, public transport modes not provided with financial assistance such as franchised buses would reduce service frequency, causing further inconvenience to the commuting public. He thus suggested that the Administration should extend the scope of financial assistance to cover all public transport modes for a period of six months. STH replied that he noted the views of Mr TIEN.

Measures on job retention, job creation and job advancement

Employment Support Scheme

- Dr Fernando CHEUNG was worried that the Employment Support 13. Scheme ("ESS") might be abused by the employers. Citing an example, Dr CHEUNG said that under ESS, an employer could use the amount of wages paid in January 2020 as the basis of calculating the subsidies to be disbursed. However, the number of his employees could be reduced from 10 in January 2020 to 5 in March 2020. If the number of employees was maintained at 5 in June 2020, ESS was effectively providing additional subsidies to the employer. He also expressed concern that an employer could arrange his relatives to work in the company to replace the original employees, thereby maintaining the same headcount of employees for the purpose of obtaining the government subsidies. He asked how the Administration would deal with the aforesaid situations. SLW replied that deception was a criminal offence, and the Administration would follow up and investigate any case which might involve deception.
- 14. <u>Dr CHENG Chung-tai</u> expressed concern that employees without Mandatory Provident Fund ("MPF") contributions would not benefit from ESS. <u>The Chairman</u> requested the Administration to provide supplementary information in response to the concerns raised by members at the meetings about ESS, so as to illustrate the Administration's method of calculating the subsidy, as well as the amount due under different scenarios, including but not limited to:
 - (a) the case where the number and composition of employees were different from those in any one month from January to

March 2020 chosen by the employer; and/or

(b) the case where the wages of employees were different from those in any one month from January to March 2020 chosen by the employer,

in relation to the subsidy applications made by employers. The Administration should also elaborate on the measures to be put in place to prevent abuse of the subsidies under ESS by employers, including adding relevant provisions to the undertaking so as to prevent employers from effecting de facto pay cuts and/or obtaining subsidies by deception through hiring their relatives and friends after laying off former employees.

[*Post-meeting note:* Supplementary information provided by the Administration was circulated to members via LC Paper No. FC166/19-20(01) on 4 May 2020.]

Overall views on the second round of the Anti-epidemic Fund

- 15. Mr Charles Peter MOK criticized that the Administration had failed to properly deal with the unfair allocation of resources under the second round of the Fund. CS replied that the second round of the Fund was launched by the Government after careful consideration. The proposed relief measures were all practicable and feasible. The Administration would further improve the second round of relief measures, taking into account the experience of implementing the first round of the Fund.
- 16. Mr MA Fung-kwok opined that the second round of the Fund could help many members of the public tide over the difficult times, even if not all needy persons were provided with support in an absolutely equitable manner. Ms CHAN Hoi-yan enquired about the urgency of implementing the second round of the Fund. CS replied that the second round of the Fund could provide members of the public with timely assistance and support in response to the pandemic.

Relief or support measures outside the second round of the Anti-epidemic Fund

Cash payout scheme

17. Mr KWONG Chun-yu sought information about the earliest possible date of receiving the cash payment of \$10,000 if Hong Kong permanent residents aged 18 or above were to register for the cash payout scheme ("the scheme") at the end of June 2020. CS replied that according

to the Government's latest implementation timetable, the scheme would be open for application at the end of June 2020, and it was expected that disbursement of the cash payment could start from July 2020.

A third round of the Anti-epidemic Fund

18. <u>Ms Tanya CHAN</u> expressed her hope that if a third round of the Fund was to be launched by the Administration, the relief measures could benefit those persons not covered by the first and second rounds of the Fund, for example, coaches with internationally recognized qualifications but without MPF contributions.

Statement made by the Liaison Office of the Central People's Government in the Hong Kong Special Administrative Region

19. Mr HUI Chi-fung referred to the statement made by the Liaison Office of the Central People's Government in the Hong Kong Special Administrative Region ("LOCPG") on 17 April 2020, saying that the claim made explicitly by members belonging to the pro-democracy camp to vote against item FCR(2020-21)2 was "a malicious act which totally disregarded the interests of Hong Kong", and that those members must "foot the bill" for their own acts. He sought a response from the Secretary for Justice as to whether members would violate the Basic Law or other laws if they voted against the item. Mr Alvin YEUNG asked a similar question. CS replied that members could vote according to their own will. Secretary for Justicesaid that she would not tender any legal advice to She hoped that members could support the item, so as to provide members of the public with timely assistance. members had the right to vote for or against the item or abstain from voting.

Motions proposed by members under paragraph 37A of the Finance Committee Procedure

20. At 1:54 pm, FC started to vote on whether the motions proposed by members under paragraph 37A of the Finance Committee Procedure ("FCP 37A motions") should be proceeded with forthwith. The Chairman put to vote the questions, one by one, that these FCP 37A motions should be proceeded with forthwith. At the request of members, the Chairman ordered a division for each of the questions put. Immediately after the Chairman announced that FC decided against proceeding with the first FCP 37A motion proposed by Mr SHIU Ka-chun, Mr CHAN Hak-kan moved without notice a motion under paragraph 47 of the Finance Committee Procedure that in the event of further divisions being claimed in respect of

any motions or questions under the same agenda item, FC should proceed to each of such divisions immediately after the division bell had been rung for one minute. At the request of members, the Chairman ordered a division, and the motion was carried.

21. The voting results on the questions on proceeding with the proposed FCP 37A motions were as follows:

Member proposing the motion	Serial numbers of motion	Motion be proceeded with forthwith
Mr SHIU Ka-chun	<u>0001</u>	No
Mr Dennis KWOK	0002	<u>No</u>
Prof Joseph LEE	<u>0003</u>	<u>No</u>
Mr CHAN Chi-chuen	<u>0004</u>	<u>No</u>
Mr Alvin YEUNG	<u>0005</u>	<u>No</u>
Ms Tanya CHAN	<u>0006</u>	<u>No</u>
Mr Jeremy TAM	<u>0007</u>	<u>No</u>
Dr KWOK Ka-ki	<u>0008</u>	<u>No</u>
Dr Fernando CHEUNG	<u>0009</u>	<u>No</u>
Mr James TO	<u>0010</u>	<u>No</u>
Mr KWONG Chun-yu	<u>0011</u>	<u>No</u>
Mr LAM Cheuk-ting	<u>0012</u>	<u>No</u>
Dr Helena WONG	<u>0013</u>	<u>No</u>
Mr HUI Chi-fung	<u>0014</u>	<u>No</u>
Mr Andrew WAN	<u>0015</u>	<u>No</u>
Mr WU Chi-wai	<u>0016</u>	<u>No</u>
Mr LEUNG Yiu-chung	<u>0017</u>	<u>No</u>
Mr Charles Peter MOK	<u>0018</u>	<u>No</u>
Mr CHU Hoi-dick	<u>0019</u>	<u>No</u>
Mr IP Kin-yuen	<u>0020</u>	<u>No</u>

22. When the proposed FCP 37A motions were being dealt with, Mr Steven HO, Mr CHAN Han-pan and Dr CHIANG Lai-wan sought an explanation from the Chairman on the procedures for dealing with FCP 37A motions. The Chairman advised that after members put forward their proposed FCP 37A motions, FC would first vote on whether there was agreement to proceed with such motions. If an agreement was reached to proceed with such a motion, each member could speak thereon for three minutes. Thereafter, the FCP 37A motion concerned would be put to vote. At the request of the aforesaid members, the Chairman further explained that there was no relation between whether members agreed to proceed with a specific FCP 37A motion and whether they agreed with the content of the motion.

Voting on FCR(2020-21)2

23. At 2:30 pm, the Chairman put item FCR(2020-21)2 to vote. At the request of members, the Chairman ordered a division. The Chairman declared that 41 members voted in favour of and 22 members voted against the item, and 2 members abstained from voting. The votes of individual members were as follows:

For:

Mr Abraham SHEK Lai-him Mr Tommy CHEUNG Yu-yan Mr Jeffrey LAM Kin-fung Mr WONG Ting-kwong Mr CHAN Hak-kan Ms Starry LEE Wai-king Dr Priscilla LEUNG Mei-fun Mr WONG Kwok-kin Mrs Regina IP LAU Suk-yee Mr Paul TSE Wai-chun Mr Michael TIEN Puk-sun Mr Steven HO Chun-yin Mr Frankie YICK Chi-ming Mr YIU Si-wing Mr MA Fung-kwok Mr CHAN Han-pan Ms Alice MAK Mei-kuen Mr LEUNG Che-cheung Mr Christopher CHEUNG Wah-fung Mr KWOK Wai-keung Ms Elizabeth QUAT Mr Martin LIAO Cheung-kong Mr POON Siu-ping Dr CHIANG Lai-wan Ir Dr LO Wai-kwok Mr CHUNG Kwok-pan Dr Junius HO Kwan-yiu Mr Jimmy NG Wing-ka Mr Holden CHOW Ho-ding Mr HO Kai-ming Mr SHIU Ka-fai Mr Wilson OR Chong-shing Ms YUNG Hoi-yan Mr CHAN Chun-ying Mr CHEUNG Kwok-kwan Mr LUK Chung-hung Mr Kenneth LAU Ip-keung Mr LAU Kwok-fan Mr Vincent CHENG Wing-shun Mr Tony TSE Wai-chuen

Ms CHAN Hoi-yan

(41 members)

Against:

Mr James TO Kun-sun Mr LEUNG Yiu-chung

Prof Joseph LEE Kok-long Ms Claudia MO

Mr WU Chi-wai Mr Charles Peter MOK Mr CHAN Chi-chuen Mr Kenneth LEUNG

Dr KWOK Ka-ki Mr Dennis KWOK Wing-hang Dr Fernando CHEUNG Chiu-hung Dr Helena WONG Pik-wan

Mr IP Kin-yuen Mr Alvin YEUNG
Mr Andrew WAN Siu-kin Mr CHU Hoi-dick
Mr LAM Cheuk-ting Mr SHIU Ka-chun
Ms Tanya CHAN Mr HUI Chi-fung

Mr KWONG Chun-yu Mr Jeremy TAM Man-ho

- 14 -Action

(22 members)

Abstained:

Dr Pierre CHAN Dr CHENG Chung-tai

(2 members)

The Chairman declared that the item was approved. 24.

25. At 2:32 pm, the Chairman declared the meeting closed.

Legislative Council Secretariat

19 October 2020