立法會 Legislative Council

LC Paper No. PWSC48/20-21

(These minutes have been seen by the Administration)

Ref: CB1/F/2/1(3)B

Public Works Subcommittee of the Finance Committee of the Legislative Council

Minutes of the 3rd meeting held in Conference Room 1 of the Legislative Council Complex on Wednesday, 18 November 2020, at 8:30 am

Members present:

Ir Dr Hon LO Wai-kwok, SBS, MH, JP (Deputy Chairman) Hon Abraham SHEK Lai-him, GBS, JP Hon Tommy CHEUNG Yu-yan, GBS, JP Hon Jeffrey LAM Kin-fung, GBS, JP Dr Hon Priscilla LEUNG Mei-fun, SBS, JP Hon Mrs Regina IP LAU Suk-yee, GBS, JP Hon YIU Si-wing, BBS Hon MA Fung-kwok, GBS, JP Hon LEUNG Che-cheung, SBS, MH, JP Hon Alice MAK Mei-kuen, BBS, JP Hon KWOK Wai-keung, JP Hon Martin LIAO Cheung-kong, GBS, JP Dr Hon Junius HO Kwan-yiu, JP Hon Holden CHOW Ho-ding Hon Wilson OR Chong-shing, MH Hon YUNG Hoi-yan, JP Hon LUK Chung-hung, JP Hon LAU Kwok-fan, MH Hon Kenneth LAU Ip-keung, BBS, MH, JP

Dr Hon CHENG Chung-tai Hon Vincent CHENG Wing-shun, MH, JP

Members absent:

Hon Tony TSE Wai-chuen, BBS, JP (Chairman) Hon Michael TIEN Puk-sun, BBS, JP Hon Frankie YICK Chi-ming, SBS, JP Hon CHAN Han-pan, BBS, JP Dr Hon Fernando CHEUNG Chiu-hung Hon CHEUNG Kwok-kwan, JP

Public officers attending:

Mr Howard LEE Man-sing	Deputy Secretary for Financial Services and the Treasury (Treasury)3
Mr Vincent MAK Shing-cheung, JP	Deputy Secretary for Development (Works)2
Ms Doris HO Pui-ling, JP	Deputy Secretary for Development (Planning and Lands)1
Mr Elvis AU Wai-kwong, JP	Deputy Director of Environmental Protection (1)
Ms Margaret HSIA Mai-chi	Principal Assistant Secretary for Financial Services and the Treasury (Treasury) (Works)
Mr Thomas CHAN Tak-yeung	Principal Assistant Secretary for Development (Works)3
Mr Jacky WU Kwok-yuen, JP	Principal Assistant Secretary for Development (Works)5
Ms Winnie HO Wing-yin, JP	Deputy Director of Architectural Services
Mr Willie SAN Wai-yin	Senior Project Manager 137 Architectural Services Department

Miss Julie CHENG Wai-pan	Senior Project Manager 134 Architectural Services Department
Mr WONG Kwok-hing	Deputy Commissioner for Correctional Services
Mr NG Chiu-kok	Assistant Commissioner for Correctional Services (Operations)
Ms Alice PANG, JP	Director of Drainage Services
Mr David LEUNG Hon-wan	Chief Engineer (Hong Kong and Islands) Drainage Services Department
Ms Michelle LAM Wai-yip	Assistant Director of Social Welfare (Social Security)
Mr Kelvin LO Kwok-wah, JP	Director of Water Supplies
Mr Simon LEUNG Chi-hung	Chief Engineer (Development)1 Water Supplies Department
Dr CHOI Yuk-lin, JP	Under Secretary for Education
Mr Philip HAR Mung-fei	Principal Assistant Secretary for Education (Infrastructure and Research Support)
Mrs Gloria LI HO Suk-wa	Principal Education Officer (Special Education) Education Bureau
Mr Frank WONG Tak-choi, JP	Project Director (1) Architectural Services Department
Ms Maria TSANG Pui-shan	Chief Project Manager 102 Architectural Services Department
Ms Judy LAU Oi-wing	Senior Project Manager 125 Architectural Services Department
Ms Louisa YAN Mei-ling	Principal Assistant Secretary for Development (Planning and Lands)2

Mr Michael LEUNG Chung-lap, JP	Project Manager (East) Civil Engineering and Development Department
Mr Stephen KWOK Chun-wai	Senior Engineer (East)21 East Development Office Civil Engineering and Development Department
Mr HO Kai-ho	Chief Engineer (Design) Water Supplies Department
Ms Mable LAM Lai-hang	Chief Engineer (Consultants Management) Water Supplies Department
Clerk in attendance:	
Ms Doris LO	Chief Council Secretary (1)2
Staff in attendance:	
Mr Raymond CHOW Ms Christina SHIU Ms Christy YAU Ms Clara LO	Senior Council Secretary (1)10 Legislative Assistant (1)2 Legislative Assistant (1)8 Legislative Assistant (1)9

<u>Action</u>

The Deputy Chairman said that he would preside over the meeting as the Chairman was unable to attend the meeting today. He further said that there were four papers for discussion on the agenda for the meeting. The first to third funding proposals were items carried over from the last meeting held on 4 November 2020, while the fourth proposal was a new submission These four funding proposals involved a total from the Administration. funding allocation of \$6,879.4 million. He reminded members that in accordance with Rule 83A of the Rules of Procedure ("RoP") of the Legislative Council, they should disclose the nature of any direct or indirect pecuniary interests relating to the funding proposals under discussion at the the proposals. they spoke on meeting before He also drew members' attention to RoP 84 on voting in case of direct pecuniary interest.

Head 703 — Buildings PWSC(2020-21)14 129KA Water Supplies Department Headquarters with Hong Kong and Islands Regional Office and Correctional Services Department Headquarters Building in Chai Wan 133KA Drainage Services Department Office Building at Cheung Sha Wan Sewage

133KA Drainage Services Department Office Building at Cheung Sha Wan Sewage Pumping Station

2. The Deputy Chairman advised that the proposal (i.e. PWSC(2020-21)14) sought to upgrade 129KA and 133KA to Category A at costs of \$4.137 million \$2.157.5 million estimated and in the money-of-the-day ("MOD") prices respectively. The Subcommittee started discussing this proposal at the last meeting and would now continue with the discussion.

Voting on PWSC(2020-21)14

3. There being no further questions from members on the item, <u>the Deputy Chairman put PWSC(2020-21)14</u> to vote. At the request of members, <u>the Deputy Chairman</u> ordered a division. Eleven members voted for the proposal, one member voted against it and no member abstained. The votes of individual members were as follows:

For: Mr Tommy CHEUNG Mrs Regina IP Mr MA Fung-kwok Mr KWOK Wai-keung Mr Holden CHOW Ms YUNG Hoi-yan (11 members)

Mr Jeffrey LAM Mr YIU Si-wing Ms Alice MAK Mr Martin LIAO Mr Wilson OR

Against: Dr CHENG Chung-tai (1 member)

Abstained: (0 member)

4. <u>The Deputy Chairman</u> declared that the item was endorsed by the Subcommittee. <u>The Deputy Chairman</u> consulted members on whether the item would require separate voting at the relevant meeting of the

Finance Committee ("FC"). <u>Dr CHENG Chung-tai</u> requested that the item (i.e. PWSC(2020-21)14) be voted on separately at the relevant FC meeting.

(*Post-meeting note:* Dr CHENG Chung-tai subsequently updated the Secretariat on 23 November 2020 on his request that (a) 129KA be discussed and voted on separately by FC; and (b) 133KA not be discussed and voted on separately by FC. Members were informed of this update vide LC Paper No. PWSC27/20-21 on the same day.)

Head 703 — Buildings

PWSC(2020-21)15 113ET Extension of Hong Chi Morninghill School, Tuen Mun

5. <u>The Deputy Chairman</u> advised that the proposal (i.e. <u>PWSC(2020-21)15</u>) sought to upgrade 113ET to Category A at an estimated cost of \$61.2 million in MOD prices for carrying out the extension works at Hong Chi Morninghill School, Tuen Mun ("Morninghill School"). The Government had consulted the Panel on Education on the proposed works on 8 May 2020. Panel members supported the submission of the funding proposal to the Subcommittee for consideration. A gist of the Panel's discussion was tabled at the meeting.

Special school improvement works projects

6. <u>Dr CHENG Chung-tai</u> pointed out that the New Senior Secondary academic structure and the measures on extension of years of study had been implemented at special schools for about 10 years. However, as at August 2020, 21 improvement works projects for special schools (including the proposed extension of Morninghill School) were yet to complete. <u>Dr CHENG</u> enquired how the Administration would expedite those projects for early completion and how the Education Bureau ("EDB") would assist Morninghill School to address the problem of insufficient space in its existing school premises before the proposed new annex block was built.

7. <u>Under Secretary for Education</u> ("USED") stressed that the Administration had been committed to improving the teaching and learning environment of special schools over the years. The progress of the improvement works was, however, subject to factors such as the school environment, so they took time to complete. At present, the said 21 improvement works projects for special schools were proceeding at different stages. Among them, nine were at the stage of detailed design, six at the stage of tender invitation, and the remaining six at the stage of construction and handover. In the case of Morninghill School, it was currently operating 12 classes to accommodate a maximum of 180 students and it would have five more classrooms to accommodate 75 additional students upon the completion of the proposed new annex block within two years. Before the completion of the new annex block, EDB would help the school enhance its existing teaching and learning environment through other means.

Traffic and noise implications of the proposed works

8. <u>Ms Alice MAK</u> was concerned that as the existing school premises and the proposed new annex block of Morninghill School were located on the two sides of Yeung Tsing Road, it would be inconvenient for its teachers and students to travel between the two school buildings. She thus urged EDB to face up to this problem and propose solutions accordingly.

9. <u>Ms YUNG Hoi-yan</u> expressed her support for the proposed works. She also enquired whether there would be pedestrian crossing facilities at Yeung Tsing Road and whether students studying in the existing school premises of Morninghill School would have to travel to the proposed new annex block in future to use its facilities such as social worker's room, speech therapy room and multi-purpose area. <u>The Deputy Chairman</u> expressed his hope for the early completion of the proposed works upon funding approval and enquired whether the bureaux concerned had considered the feasibility of building a footbridge with light-weight materials to link up the two school buildings of Morninghill School.

10. USED and Project Director (1), Architectural Services Department ("PD1/ArchSD") said that in future, the existing school premises and the proposed new annex block of Morninghill School would each be used by students of designated forms and equipped with their own facilities, e.g. social worker's rooms and speech therapy rooms. Therefore, students would not have to travel frequently between the two school buildings to have lessons or use the said facilities. Given the limitations posed by the on-site environment and other technical constraints, the Administration considered after study that it would not be feasible to build a footbridge between the two school buildings. The teachers of Morninghill School might then have to adapt their teaching and care-taking approaches correspondingly and take this opportunity to teach their students how to cross the road safely. Principal Assistant Secretary for Education (Infrastructure and Research Support) ("PAS(I&RS)/EDB") supplemented that although the vehicular traffic on Yeung Tsing Road was not heavy present, at the Transport Department would erect traffic signs over there to remind drivers to slow down and watch out for students as well as adding pedestrian crossing facilities.

11. <u>Ms Alice MAK</u> was concerned whether the plot ratio of the site had been used to the maximum for the proposed new annex block to meet the future development needs of Morninghill School. She was also concerned about the impacts of noise disturbance and other environmental nuisances caused by the proposed works on students using the existing school premises of Morninghill School.

12. <u>USED</u> and <u>PD1/ArchSD</u> responded that the site of the proposed works was of an area of about 710 square metres (" m^2 ") (among which some 30% of land was designated as a drainage reserve area where no structures could be erected), with a permitted plot ratio of 5 and a building height restriction of three storeys. Given that the new annex block planned to be built by the Administration would be a three-storey building with a construction floor area of about 950 m² and a plot ratio of around 1.5, the site had been fully-utilized. As the proposed works would not involve piling and the contractors were required to implement environmental mitigation measures under the works contracts, no excessive traffic and noise nuisances were expected from the proposed works.

Facilities of the proposed new annex block

13. <u>Mr YIU Si-wing</u> enquired whether there would be sufficient parking spaces at the proposed new annex block for parking school buses and other vehicles. <u>Mr YIU</u> also sought details of the annual recurrent expenditure of \$55 million to be incurred by the new annex block upon its commissioning and enquired how this expenditure compared with that of the existing school premises.

14. <u>USED</u> replied that there would be one lay-by for school buses, one private car parking space/accessible parking space and one lay-by for taxis/private cars in the area of the proposed new annex block. As for the annual recurrent expenditure of \$55 million, it would cover the operating costs of the entire school, staff costs and other teaching expenses. The respective unit costs per student derived from the annual recurrent expenditures of the existing school premises and the proposed new annex block of Morninghill School were more or less the same. <u>PAS(I&RS)/EDB</u> supplemented that the additional vehicular traffic on Yeung Tsing Road upon the commissioning of the new annex block should be within the controllable range and the parking spaces at the new annex block would be sufficient to meet the demand.

15. Given that a provision of \$0.8 million was included in the capital cost of the proposed new annex block for adopting additional energy conservation,

green and recycled features, <u>Mr YIU Si-wing</u> enquired whether the Architectural Services Department ("ArchSD") would consult the relevant government departments on the further introduction of advanced technologies or measures in this regard. Moreover, noting that the new annex block could meet the standard of 2 m^2 of open space per student, <u>Mr YIU</u> enquired whether students studying in the existing school premises would be provided with open space of the same size.

PD1/ArchSD said that in response to the request of the school 16. management that the space on the rooftop of the proposed new annex block should be reserved for students' activities as far as practicable, ArchSD would put the photovoltaic system on the upper roof, taking up only some 48% of If the school management considered addition photovoltaic panels the area. necessary, more of them could later be installed on the upper roof. As the renewable energy system of the new annex block could generate electricity to meet at least 1.5% of the annual electricity consumption needs for general illumination and electricity systems, it satisfied the minimum requirement of the Development Bureau on renewable energy installations. Moreover, in view of the 4 m^2 of space available for each student in the new annex block, some students of Morninghill School would move over from the existing school premises to the new annex block later on. By then, the existing school premises could also provide each student with about 2 m^2 of space.

17. Given that the proposed new annex block was designed before the outbreak of the Coronavirus Disease 2019 pandemic, <u>Dr CHENG Chung-tai</u> urged the Administration to take the initiative to look into the needs of the school during the proposed works so that there could be sufficient teaching and learning space in the new annex block for students to maintain social distance amid the pandemic.

18. <u>USED</u> and <u>PD1/ArchSD</u> replied that the proposed new annex block was designed according to the relevant standards for school premises. The Administration had also maintained communication with the school management regarding the design of the block. Owing to the comments from the school management, ArchSD added retractable cross-ventilating windows to the new annex block and enlarged the space for activities and the greening areas. If necessary, the school could combine the two classrooms on the ground floor into one to meet its different teaching needs and cater for special situations. Furthermore, the school management would make appropriate teaching arrangements to dovetail with the social distancing measures implemented among students.

Other concerns

19. <u>Mr Holden CHOW</u> expressed his support for the proposed works. As he was concerned about the earlier media reports on the alleged abuse of students in the boarding facilities of another special school under the Hong Chi Association (i.e. Hong Chi Pinehill No.2 School), he requested the Administration to explain how this incident was addressed and how similar incidents could be avoided in future. He also asked the Administration and the school to give the public a full account of this incident after it had been settled.

20. <u>USED</u> replied that EDB was following up on the incident seriously and conducting a comprehensive review of the monitoring mechanism of boarding facilities of special schools. Under the existing mechanism, the principals, wardens and registered social workers of special schools had the obligation to monitor the operation of boarding facilities in accordance with the relevant requirements, including those under the Education Ordinance (Cap. 279) and the Education Regulations (Cap. 279A). Moreover, the incorporated management committee of Hong Chi Pinehill No.2 School had issued a statement stating that the incident was under review, with the initial findings being that some of the case details given in the media reports did not match the school's information. The school had also held a parents' meeting to explain the follow-up of the incident and respond to parents' enquiries.

21. <u>The Deputy Chairman</u> reminded members that their speeches must relate directly to the agenda item. On matters unrelated to the extension of Morninghill School or on wider questions of the special education policy, members could raise them in the full Council or at an appropriate Panel.

Voting on PWSC(2020-21)15

22. There being no further questions from members on the item, the Deputy Chairman put $\underline{PWSC(2020-21)15}$ to vote.

23. The item was voted on and endorsed. <u>The Deputy Chairman</u> consulted members on whether the item would require separate voting at the relevant FC meeting. No member made such a request.

- 11 -

Head 707 — New Towns and Urban Area Development PWSC(2020-21)16 765CL Development of Anderson Road Quarry site — remaining pedestrian connectivity facilities works

24. <u>The Deputy Chairman</u> advised that the proposal (i.e. <u>PWSC(2020-21)16</u>) sought to upgrade the remainder of 765CL to Category A at an estimated cost of \$250.6 million in MOD prices for completing the pedestrian network between the Anderson Road Quarry ("ARQ") site and the nearby area. The Government had consulted the Panel on Development on the proposed works on 28 April 2020. Panel members generally supported the submission of the funding proposal to the Subcommittee for consideration. A gist of the Panel's discussion was tabled at the meeting.

Details of the pedestrian connectivity facilities, construction cost and works schedule

25. Mr LUK Chung-hung welcomed the Administration's construction of pedestrian connectivity facilities to enhance the connectivity between the ARQ site and the nearby area. Regarding the four construction projects under the proposed works, namely (a) an about 50 metres ("m") long two-way escalator link ("EL1") between Sau Mau Ping Road and the existing footbridge to Po Tat Estate; (b) an about 55 m long two-way escalator link ("EL2") between Sau Mau Ping South Estate and the existing footbridge to Sau Mau Ping Road; (c) an about 30 m long footbridge with lift tower and staircase ("FB1") between Hiu Kwong Street and the podium of Sau Ming House, Sau Mau Ping Estate; and (d) an about 55 m long footbridge with lift tower and staircase ("FB2") between Sau Mau Ping Road and the podium of Po Tat Estate, Mr LUK requested the Administration to provide a breakdown of the construction costs of the four escalator links and footbridges concerned. Mr LUK also sought details of the pedestrian connectivity facilities connecting the ARQ site to Po Tat Estate and On Tai Estate.

26. <u>Principal Assistant Secretary for Development (Planning and Lands)2</u> replied that the construction works of the pedestrian connectivity facilities between the ARQ site and the nearby area were carried out in three phases. While the funding applications for the first two phases were approved by FC in June 2016 and January 2018 respectively, the current funding proposal was made for the construction of the remaining pedestrian connectivity facilities. Upon completion, there would be a continuous pedestrian network between the ARQ site and the nearby area.

27. Referring to Enclosure 2 to <u>PWSC(2020-21)16</u>, <u>Project Manager</u> (East), <u>Civil Engineering and Development Department</u> ("PM(E)/CEDD") pointed out that the pedestrian connectivity facilities whose funding approval had been granted by FC were currently under construction. Among them were the pedestrian connectivity facilities connecting the ARQ site to On Tai Estate and On Tat Estate which would be connected to the existing pedestrian facilities leading to Po Tat Estate. Moreover, according to paragraph 9 of <u>PWSC(2020-21)16</u>, the construction cost of the two escalator links (EL1 and EL2) was \$66 million (in MOD prices) while that of the two footbridges (FB1 and FB2) was \$106 million (in MOD prices), including the costs for constructing the main bridges (\$35 million) and the lift towers with lifts and staircases (\$71 million).

28. said belonging <u>Mr Wilson OR</u> that members to the Democratic Alliance for the Betterment and Progress of Hong Kong supported the proposed works. He enquired how the Administration could ensure that the proposed works would be completed substantially in three years as scheduled to tie in with the construction progress of other relevant pedestrian connectivity facilities and the operation of those already in use. He was also concerned about the frequent breakdowns of the two lifts at the footbridge between Shun Lee Estate and On Tai Estate as the long time taken for repairs had caused inconvenience to the residents nearby.

29. <u>PM(E)/CEDD</u> responded that the proposed works were expected to be completed substantially in three years, with the foundation works, civil engineering works and electrical and mechanical ("E&M") works each taking about a year. In the light of members' views, the Administration would study how it could expedite the proposed works without compromising quality and safety. Other pedestrian connectivity facilities relating to the ARQ development were under construction, and among them, the escalator link between Hiu Ming Street and Hiu Yuk Path was expected to be completed by mid-2021 at the soonest. The Administration also took note of members' views about the repair works of footbridge lifts.

30. Given that the pedestrian connectivity facilities between the ARQ site and the nearby area were crucial to enhancing the connectivity in the area, Mr LEUNG Che-cheung was concerned why they were not constructed until housing estates, including Po Estate. the intake of Tat Dr CHENG Chung-tai also suggested the Administration to develop pedestrian connectivity facilities in one go rather than in phases.

31. <u>PM(E)/CEDD</u> explained that as the construction of the pedestrian connectivity facilities between the ARQ site and the nearby area was subject to the statutory gazettal procedures and the progress of land acquisition,

Action

funding approvals had to be sought from FC separately and $\underline{PWSC(2020-21)16}$ would be the last funding application submitted for this works project.

32. <u>Mr LEUNG Che-cheung</u> enquired about the considerations of the Administration in choosing between lift or escalator installation, e.g. the pedestrian flow, the difference in altitude between places to be connected and the comparatively long waiting time for lift passengers.

33. <u>PM(E)/CEDD</u> said that as far as the ARQ development was concerned, the major considerations of the Administration in proposing lift or escalator installation for the pedestrian connectivity facilities included the pedestrian flow, the difference in altitude between places to be connected, the feasibility of providing barrier-free access and the construction costs. Generally speaking, if the pedestrian flow was estimated to reach 3 000 persons per hour, the Administration would consider installation would be a more desirable option if the places to be connected had a substantial difference in altitude and in need of barrier-free access. Yet, the downside was that it was comparatively costly. Taking into account the major considerations mentioned above, the Administration proposed to construct two two-way escalator links (EL1 and EL2) and two footbridges with lift towers and staircases (FB1 and FB2) at the four locations concerned.

34. <u>Mr Wilson OR</u> enquired how the Administration would encourage the public to use the four aforesaid escalator links and footbridges in order to boost their utilization rate. <u>PM(E)/CEDD</u> responded that the two existing lifts connecting Po Tat Shopping Centre and Sau Mau Ping South Estate were frequently used and the Administration expected the same for EL1 and EL2 to be built in the vicinity. As for FB2, upon completion, it would become a convenient access for pedestrians to travel between the podium of Po Tat Estate and the Tseung Kwan O Tunnel Bus-Bus Interchange.

35. <u>Dr CHENG Chung-tai</u> said that after the Hong Kong Housing Authority's divestment of certain public housing facilities to The Link Real Estate Investment Trust (now known as Link Real Estate Investment Trust ("Link REIT")) years ago, the Administration was required to acquire land from Link REIT and pay to it in order to construct pedestrian connectivity facilities such as the previous 178TB (Lift and Pedestrian Walkway System between Castle Peak Road and Kung Yip Street, Kwai Chung) and the remainder of 765CL currently proposed. Considering that land acquisition of this kind would have implications on public expenditure, as well as the works progress of the pedestrian connectivity facilities concerned, he suggested that the Administration should maintain a clear record of the relevant information. <u>Dr CHENG</u> also sought details of the Administration's resumption of a private site of about 891 m^2 from Link REIT under the proposed works, including the cost and the escalator links or footbridges involved.

36. <u>PM(E)/CEDD</u> explained that the Administration would have to resume a slope owned by Link REIT in Po Tat Estate for the construction of a footbridge with lift tower and staircase (FB2). The estimated land acquisition cost was set out in Enclosure 5 to <u>PWSC(2020-21)16</u> (the cost of around \$1.4 million included the expenditures on the resumption of the private site and the creation of easements/rights, as well as the interest and contingency payment). The Administration would later work out the actual cost for land acquisition based on the information provided by the land owner.

Other concerns

37. <u>Mr Wilson OR</u> was concerned about the design of the junction of Lin Tak Road and Sau Mau Ping Road as it hindered people with disabilities to have access to this place. He urged the Administration to improve the barrier-free design of this junction. <u>Mr OR</u> also remarked that, given the keen demand for parking spaces and the serious illegal parking problem in the area of the ARQ site, the Administration should consider using the short-term idle land in the area as temporary car parks. <u>PM(E)/CEDD</u> said that the Administration would follow up on members' views.

Voting on PWSC(2020-21)16

38. There being no further questions from members on the item, the Deputy Chairman put $\underline{PWSC(2020-21)16}$ to vote.

39. The item was voted on and endorsed. <u>The Deputy Chairman</u> consulted members on whether the item would require separate voting at the relevant FC meeting. No member made such a request.

Head 709 — Waterworks

PWSC(2020-21)17353WFUprating of Sheung Wong Yi Au fresh
water supply system
371WF371WFNgau Tam Mei Water Treatment Works
extension

40. <u>The Deputy Chairman</u> advised that the proposal (i.e. <u>PWSC(2020-21)17</u>) sought to upgrade 353WF and part of 371WF to

Category A at the estimated costs of \$136.5 million and \$136.6 million in MOD prices respectively. The Government had consulted the Panel on Development on the proposed works on 27 October 2020. Panel members generally supported the submission of the funding proposal to the Subcommittee for consideration. A gist of the Panel's discussion was tabled at the meeting.

Voting on PWSC(2020-21)17

41. There being no further questions from members on the item, the Deputy Chairman put $\underline{PWSC(2020-21)17}$ to vote.

42. The item was voted on and endorsed. <u>The Deputy Chairman</u> consulted members on whether the item would require separate voting at the relevant FC meeting. No member made such a request.

43. The meeting ended at 9:38 am.

Council Business Division 1 Legislative Council Secretariat 16 December 2020