Bills Committee on Human Reproductive Technology Bill Checklist of outstanding issues (as at 3 March 1999)

<u>Issu</u>	es	<u>Clause</u>	<u>Position</u>
		(LegCo Brief)	20100
1.	To include "This Ordinance binds the Government" in the Bill (This provision was included in the former bill under the same name).	-	20.1.99 Adm agreed to move CSA to that effect.
2.	Licensee and person responsible		
(a)	Prohibiting the licensee and person responsible for carrying out reproductive technology (RT) to be the same person.	21(2)	23.9.98 Adm to reconsider the need for the licensee and person responsible to be two separate persons.
			20.1.99 Adm needs more time to consider the policy.
			20.1.99 Dr LEONG indicated his stance. Adm to draft CSA.
			9.2.99 Chairman and Mr Michael HO indicated their stance.
			3.3.99 Other members to indicate their stance at the next meeting.
(b)	Definition of "suitable practices" carried out by person responsible and to be supervised by licensee.	22(1)(d)	14.10.98 The provision is ambiguous. Adm to consider the drafting aspect.
(c)	Qualification of person responsible		18.11.98 Members agreed that the qualification should be specified in subsidiary legislation or COP.
			20.1.99 Adm considered that the qualification set out in the Bill and the COP was adequate.

Issu	<u>es</u>	Clause	Position
		(LegCo Brief)	
3.	Membership of the Council on Human Reproductive Technology (the Council)	3(2)	
(a)	prohibiting registered medical practitioners to be the chairperson and deputy chairperson.	3(2)(a) & (b)	20.1.99 Subject to the recommendation of the BC, Adm will move CSA to repeal the clause. 9.2.99 Mr Michael HO suggested that the requirement should not be explicitly written in law. Adm to draft CSA.
4.	Code of Practice (COP)	7	9.2.99 Commenced study on COP and completed examination of chapters I to IV.
(a)	Chapter II - staff		23.2.99 Mr Michael HO expressed concern that the requirement in paragraph 2.8 of COP might deter certain centres from practising RT procedures.
5.	Limiting RT services to married couples	13(5)	Reasons for this provision explained by the Adm. 29.10.98 Adm confirmed the policy did not contravene legislation on discrimination. 18.11.98 HA was requested to provide details of overseas case law. In response, Professor Christopher Haines of the Chinese University of Hong Kong wrote to the Fertility Society of Australia for further details but the Society has not yet responded to his letter of 20.11.98.

Issu	es	<u>Clause</u>	Position
		(LegCo Brief)	
			3.3.99 SALA confirmed the policy did not contravene the Sex Discrimination Ordinance.
6.	Points to follow up - a centralized record for all sperm/egg bank might be desirable to ensure that the limit of three successful inseminations is well-observed	-	23.9.98 Adm explained that to reduce chance of incest, there is a limit of three successful inseminations in respect of each donor.
7.	Artificial Insemination by Husband (AIH)		
(a)	AIH should be allowed without specific statutory control.	(para 4(b))	14.10.98 Reasons for this provision explained by the Adm.
			29.10.98 Mr Michael HO is concerned about the drafting aspect of the relevant provisions.
(b)	selection of sex under AIH		8.12.98 Adm to clarify whether the procedure is prohibited under the Bill.
			20.1.99 Adm advised that the prohibition could be specified under section 2(2)(a).
			9.2.99 Some members suggested that the prohibition should be explicitly written in law. Adm to consider the drafting aspect.

<u>Issues</u>		Clause	<u>Position</u>
		(LegCo Brief)	
8.	Right to access information	30-33	
(a)	Application of clause 32 regarding disclosure in interests of justice	32	29.10.98 Legal opinion set out in LC Paper No. LS57/98-99 discussed. Adm to review the reference to section 30(2)(i) and (ii).
(b)	disclosure of donor's identity		8.12.98 Members held different views. 9.2.99 Dr LEONG held the view that disclosure of donor's identity should not be allowed in any circumstances. Adm to draft CSA. Mr Michael HO will reconsider the issue. 3.3.99 Other members to indicate
			their stance at the next meeting.
9.	Surrogacy	12,15,16	
(a)	definition of "commercial" surrogacy and payment	2 "payment", 15	29.10.98 Briefly discussed. 8.12.98 Various scenarios discussed, including arrangements made with a surrogate mother outside HK.

<u>Issues</u>		Clause	<u>Position</u>
		(LegCo Brief)	
(b) marita	ll status of a surrogate mother	13(5)	5.1.99 LC Paper No. CB(2) 940/98-99(02) regarding definition of payment discussed. Members also discussed whether commercial dealing should be vetted by the Council, and whether the vetting should be made before or after surrogacy. 9.2.99
			Adm pointed out that the requirement for a surrogate mother to be a party to a marriage might be too strict. Members to consider the policy.
10. Impor	ted sperm		18.11.98 Adm to consider a monitoring mechanism and to provide information on overseas practices. 20.1.99 PCRT considered the issue warranted attention.
11 Recor	d on RT activities		
	es of information to be released		18.11.98 LC Paper No. CB(2)660/98-99(01) provided by the Adm discussed. Mr Michael HO opined that details should be decided now instead of by the future Council.
			9.2.99 Mr Michael HO considered that the information to be released should be specified in law.

Issu	es	Clause	<u>Position</u>
		(LegCo Brief)	
12.	Selection of sex	13(3)	
(a)	sex selection is only acceptable for medical reasons		8.12.98 LC Paper No. CB(2) 801/98-99(02) discussed. Members agreed to the policy in principle.
(b)	a list of severe sex-linked genetic disease		8.12.98 LC Paper No. CB(2)801/98-99(2) discussed. Some members questioned why the list could not be drawn up. 20.1.99 LC Paper No. CB(2)979/98-99(01) setting out deliberations of the PCRT discussed.
			3.3.99 LC Paper No. CB(2)1390/98-99(01) setting out reasons for including the list in COP and not in subsidiary legislation, and views of local and overseas specialists discussed. Members held different views. Adm to prepare CSA for members' consideration.
13.	Limitation on cryopreservation		5.1.99 LC Paper No. CB(2)801/98-99(01) discussed.
(a)	policy on cryopreservation		5.1.99 Adm to re-consider the policy: whether cryopreservation should be allowed for medical reasons only; the delineation of cryopreservation, semen donation and RT procedure. 20.1.99 PCRT considered it may be necessary to make regulations or guidelines in COP in future to monitor and control the service.

<u>Issues</u>	Clause (LagCo Brief)	Position
14. Principle of the Bill	(LegCo Brief)	23.2.99 Adm was requested to consider including a clause setting out that RT procedure should only be allowed for couples who have proven to be unable to conceive naturally. 3.3.99 Members agreed to the principle of limiting RT services to infertile couples and to put it in law. Adm to seek definition of "infertility" from local and overseas specialists and medical institutions, and to consider the follow-up actions required.

Bills Committee on Human Reproductive Technology Bill List of completed items (as at 3 March 1999)

Issu	es discussed	<u>Clause</u>	Position
1.	Licensee and person responsible	(LegCo Brief)	
(a)	Licensee and person responsible and system of checks and balance practices in other countries.	-	29.10.98 Completed discussion on LC Paper No. CB(2) 503/98-99(01).
(b)	Types of health care professionals qualified to carry out various RT procedures in other countries.	-	29.10.98 Completed discussion on LC Paper No. CB(2) 503/98-99(01).
(c)	In the case where licensee and person responsible for carrying out RT procedures are a married couple, whether the husband or wife can bear witness against his/her spouse in court in the event of non-compliance with RT procedures.	-	29.10.98 Completed discussion on LC Paper No. LS57/98-99.
2.	Ceiling on number of RT procedures commissioned by married couple.	-	23.9.98 Adm clarified that there is no limit.
3.	A statutory body should be set up to license medical institutes to carry out RT procedures.	(para 4(a))	14.10.98 Members raised no query on the issue.
4.	Right to access information	30 - 33	
(a)	Legal distinction between a child born through RT and a genetic child in the Parent and Child Ordinance	-	29.10.98 Completed discussion on LC Paper No. CB(2) 503/98-99(01).

Issues	s discussed	<u>Clause</u>	<u>Position</u>
		(LegCo Brief)	
4(b)	Overseas practices on right to access information by persons born following a RT procedure		29.10.98 Information on overseas practices set out in LC Paper No. CB(2)503/98-99(01) discussed.
			8.12.98 Practice in UK and comparison between HK and overseas laws set out in LC Paper No. CB(2) 801/98-99(02) discussed.
			5.1.99 LC Paper No. CB(2) 940/98-99(01) regarding USA practice discussed.
5.	Membership of the Council on Human Reproductive Technology	3(2)	
(a)	membership to be apportioned equally between males and females by administrative means.	(para 4(g))	23.9.98 Briefly discussed. 18.11.98 Members raised no query.
(b)	lay person who had undergone RT procedures to be appointed as members.		18.11.98 Reasons against the proposal explained by Adm in LC Paper No. CB(2)660/98-99(01).
(c)	licensee and person responsible to be appointed as members		18.11.98 Adm explained its reasons against the proposal in LC Paper No. CB(2)660/98-99(01).
6.	Embryo research should be subject to control.	(para 4(f))	18.11.98 Members agreed to the policy in principle.

<u>Issues discussed</u>		<u>Clause</u>	<u>Position</u>
		(LegCo Brief)	
7.	Submissions and Adm's response		18.11.98 LC Paper No. CB(2)660/98-99(01) discussed.
8.	Surrogacy	(para 4(e))	
(a)	viewpoints considered by the Adm before finalizing the policy	•	18.11.98 Paper provided by Adm discussed.
(b)	proposal to confine a surrogate mother to a woman who is a HK permanent resident.		5.1.99 LC Paper No. CB(2) 940/98-99(02) discussed. Members commented that there were practical difficulties to implement the proposal.
(c)	a surrogate mother should be a woman who has given birth to a child before.		8.12.98 Members agreed that the requirement be written in COP.
(d)	statutory control in overseas countries		18.11.98 Paper provided by Adm discussed. 8.12.98 Practice in UK set out in LC Paper No. CB(2) 801/98-99(02) discussed. 5.1.99
			LC Paper No. CB(2) 940/98-99(01) regarding US practice discussed.

Issu	es discussed	Clause	Position
		(LegCo Brief)	
(e)	protection for a surrogate mother during pregnancy		8.12.98 Adm to look into labour related laws to ensure that working surrogate mothers will enjoy the maternity benefits conferred in law. 20.1.99 Adm confirmed protection for surrogate mother was adequate under existing labour laws. 9.2.99 Members raised no more questions.
9. (a)	Record on RT activities pooled data		18.11.98 LC Paper No. CB(2)660/98-99(01) provided by the Adm discussed. Members supported the proposal in principle.
10. (a)	Limitation on cryopreservation storage limit of gamates set at aged 55.		5.1.99 Adm to clarify whether the policy constitute discrimination against age. 9.2.99 Adm clarified that there was no discrimination.