### (Revised)

### 立法會 Legislative Council

LC Paper No. CB(3) 1075/98-99

# Paper for the House Committee meeting on 15 January 1999

### Questions scheduled for the Legislative Council meeting on 27 January 1999

(Subject to change by Members before expiry of formal notice period)

### Questions by:

(1)	Hon LAW Chi-kwong	(Oral reply)	
(2)	Hon Fred LI	(Oral reply)	
(3)	Hon CHENG Kai-nam	(Oral reply)	
(4)	Hon CHOY So-yuk	(Oral reply)	
(5)	Hon LAU Kong-wah	(Oral reply)	
(6)	Hon Howard YOUNG	(Oral reply)	
(7)	Dr Hon LUI Ming-wah	(Written reply)	
(8)	Dr Hon LEONG Che-hung	(Written reply)	
(9)	Hon Michael HO	(Written reply)	
(10)	Dr Hon TANG Siu-tong	(Written reply)	
(11)	Hon SIN Chung-kai	(Written reply)	
(12)	Hon Eric LI (Replacing his previous question)	(Written reply)	(New question)
(13)	Hon CHEUNG Man-kwong	(Written reply)	
(14)	Hon Christine LOH	(Written reply)	
(15)	Hon YEUNG Yiu-chung	(Written reply)	
(16)	Dr Hon TANG Siu-tong	(Written reply)	
(17)	Hon SIN Chung-kai	(Written reply)	
(18)	Hon LAU Kong-wah	(Written reply)	
(19)	Hon NG Leung-sing	(Written reply)	
(20)	Hon Emily LAU	(Written reply)	

### Maternity and child health centre service

#### #(1) 羅致光議員 (口頭答覆)

據悉,政府曾表示衛生署對婦女健康中心及母嬰健康院的服務及發展 作出檢討,計劃會在去年完成,政府可否告知本會:

- (a) 該檢討的進展如何;為何到現時還未公布結果;
- (b) 當局可否列出該檢討包括的範疇;
- (c) 當局有否考慮降低對婦女健康中心服務對象的年齡限制及擴大中心的服務範疇;若否,原因爲何;及
- (d) 當局有否考慮將婦女健康中心及母嬰健康院合併改革爲婦女及 嬰兒健康中心;若否,原因爲何?

### Monitoring operations of oil companies

### #(2) 李華明議員 (口頭答覆)

就監察油公司的營利事宜,政府可否告知本會:

- (a) 現時當局有何途經取得各間油公司的營運及財務資料;當局在 取閱有關資料時有否遇到困難;
- (b) 現時當局與各間油公司的正式溝通渠道爲何;及
- (c) 當局會否考慮要求油公司增加營運的透明度;若否,原因爲何?

### Psychological health of police officers

### #(3) 程介南議員 (口頭答覆)

根據過往紀錄,均有警員因工作壓力、欠下債務或涉及刑事案等而自 殺身亡或槍殺疑犯。而前任保安局局長亦在回答議員質詢時表示警務 處處長已下達指令,全面檢討對遇上心理問題的警務人員的管理方法 及向警員提倡健康的生活方式。爲此,政府可否告知本會:

- (a) 現時的檢討工作進展如何,及何時可以完成檢討報告;及
- (b) 如何評估這些工作有效地幫助警員解決日益加重的心理壓力?

Additional public holiday for tackling the millenium bug problem

### #(4) 蔡素玉議員 (口頭答覆)

鑑於電腦的公元二千年數位問題(俗稱"千年蟲問題")對本港經濟的影響可能十分深遠,政府可否告知本會,會否考慮將本年10月至12月期間的某一個工作天訂爲公眾假期,讓本港各金融機構、公私營機構及政府部門對其電腦系統進行協同測試,以確保所有電腦系統在公元二千年一月一日均可免受千年蟲問題影響?

#### Influenza surveillance

#### #(5) 劉江華議員 (口頭答覆)

據報道,甲型 H3N2 流行性感冒病毒正在內地東北地區肆虐,內地當局估計感染該病毒的流感病症會於元旦後逐漸向南蔓延,並會在春節期間到達本港。就此,政府可否告知本會:

- (a) 是否知悉,該種流感病毒對人體的影響爲何及該種流感病症在 內地蔓延的情況;過去3個月本港感染該種流感病毒而患病的 個案數字;當局會採取甚麼具體措施防止該病症在香港蔓延;
- (b) 鑑於春節期間會有大批市民前往內地,當局會否加強宣傳,提 醒市民如何避免受到感染;若會,詳情爲何;及
- (c) 現時當局監察該種流感病症的系統爲何;多少家公營及私營醫療機構參與該監察系統;該監察系統與禽流感感染個案高峰時期的監察系統如何比較;當局有否計劃成立特別小組監察該種流感病症蔓延的情況?

特區護照持有人申請前往在港未設有領事館 或大使館的國家的旅遊簽證事宜

### #(6) <u>Hon Howard YOUNG</u> (Oral reply)

Will the Government inform this Council whether the Immigration Department has received requests from holders of SAR passport for advice on how to apply for travel visa to those countries which have not set up consulates or embassies in Hong Kong; if so, the advice the Immigration has offered them?

### Delay of road works by utility companies

### #(7) 呂明華議員 (書面答覆)

現時提供各項公共服務的政府部門及機構在路面進行的工程進度緩慢,影響交通及市容。就此,政府可否告知本會,可否邀請香港生產力促進局修路工程的延誤原因作抽樣調查,作爲以後改進工程安排的參考?

# 初 稿

政府對選擇不捐贈器官計劃的立場

#### #(8) <u>Dr Hon LEONG Che-hung</u> (Written reply)

In the reply to a question in this Council on 30 January 1991, the then Secretary for Health and Welfare pledged that "the Administration has accepted the idea of an opting-out system as a long term goal", and that "the immediate task... is to draw up an action plan" including "legislation, allocation of resources, training of staff, administrative arrangements and, not least, a lengthy period of public education". However, in response to this council's motion debates on organs donation on 17 November 1993 and 6 January 1999 respectively, the Secretary for Health and Welfare adopted a different stance. Will the Government inform this Council whether in January 1991, the then Government has actually accepted opting-out system as a long term goal; if not, of the basis for making the statement; if yes, the action plan that has been drawn up as promised; when and why there has been a change in stance?

# Seminars for the consultancy study on financing of the Hong Kong health care services

### #(9) 何敏嘉議員 (書面答覆)

政府聘請進行醫護服務融資檢討的顧問在提交正式報告前,曾於醫管局就研究結果舉行研討會。就此,當局可否告知本會:

- (a) 研討會只開放予少部份業界人士參予,原因爲何;及
- (b) 當局是否計劃在公佈研究報告時,邀請顧問作公開研討,若否, 原因爲何?

#### Audiological assessments for school children

#### #(10) 鄧兆棠議員 (書面答覆)

就學童聽覺保健事宜,政府可否告知本會:

- (a) 現時衛生署除了爲小學一年級學生安排聽力檢查外,中、小學 生每年的健康檢查中是否也包括聽力檢查;若然,過去3年的 檢查的統計結果爲何;若否,其原因(包括所涉及的行政、技 術及財政困難)爲何;及
- (b) 若學童懷疑自己或家長懷疑其子女患有耳疾,可向那些部門要求協助;及該等部門又會在甚麼情況下向求助人提供服務;過去3年,該等部門共接獲多少求助個案,其中多少名學童獲得所需服務及當中多少學童證實患有耳疾?

### Selection of consultants and contractors for IT related work

#### #(11) 單仲偕議員 (書面答覆)

就促進資訊科技發展方面,政府可否告知本會:

- (a) 政府在甄選資訊科技計劃的外國顧問公司或承辦商時,會採取 甚麼措施促進資訊科技轉移到本地人才;
- (b) 當局有何措施或政策鼓勵資訊科技轉移到本港有關行業;及
- (c) 鑑於當局在「數碼廿一新紀元」計劃中表示在 1998 至 2001 年 新推出的資訊科技計劃會有超過三分二由外間機構承辦,當局 會根據甚麼準則選擇有關承辦商?

### Operation of the Language Fund

### #(12) 李家祥議員 (書面答覆)

政府可否告知本會:

- (a) 語文基金(Language Fund)成立之後,有多少申請人/團體獲得 撥款;已撥的款項總額是多少;
- (b) 對近年每期公開徵求,並設立優先考慮的項目,其釐定的準則 爲何;
- (c) 在成功獲得撥款的申請人當中,大專院校、中小學、專業團體 及工商團體各佔多少;及
- (d) 在(c)項的分類下,每類申請個案又有多少項涉及學術性研究、 教學活動及在應用於專業工作,或應用於工商工作上具有實質 效用的?

# Additional English teachers for secondary school adopting Chinese as the medium of instruction

### #(13) 張文光議員 (書面答覆)

就政府爲全港採用母語授課的中學提供一筆過的額外撥款,以開辦中 一校本提升英語課程計劃,政府可否告知本會:

- (a) 已經及未能聘得足夠合資格英文教師的學校各有多少間;
- (b) 是否有學校聘用的老師,其資歷是低於政府的要求,若有,原 因爲何,這些學校的總數多少;及
- (c) 計劃至今在執行上有否任何困難,若有,詳情如何,當局會如何解決?

#### 重新評估一些機構作爲慈善團體的地位

#### #(14) Hon Christine LOH (Written reply)

It is understood that the Administration has been reevaluating and seeking to remove the charitable status of a number of social organizations. In this connection, will the Government inform this Council:

- (a) whether the criteria for qualifying charitable organizations have changed;
- (b) which and how are government bureaux and departments involved in the assessment of charitable organizations;
- (c) have any charities' status been removed in the last two years and if so, which are those charities and on what basis have their status been removed;
- (d) how does the Administration and Hong Kong benefit from this reassessment?

#### Provision of education software

### #(15) <u>楊耀忠議員</u> (書面答覆)

就推廣中、小學的資訊科技教育及其應用事宜,政府可否告知本會:

- (a) 有否評估現時在各中、小學作教學用途的軟件的質素及有哪些 地方需要改善;及
- (b) 教育署有否計劃統籌先導學校在資訊科技教育的經驗及其發展的教育資源,讓其他學校分享;若有,詳情爲何;若否,原因爲何?

#### Assessment of overseas degree courses

#### #(16) 鄧兆棠議員 (書面答覆)

就非本地課程的評審事宜,政府可否告知本會:

- (a) 香港學術評審局("評審局")是否只會在非本地課程註冊處 要求下,才會就非本地課程作出評審;
- (c) 市民可否向評審局要求索閱非本地課程的評審結果;若然,有 關手續及費用爲何;若否,原因爲何;及
- (d) 當局會否考慮要求刊登非本地課程招生廣告的人士,在該等廣告內刊載評審局就有關課程的評審結果,令公眾知悉該課程的學術水平;若會,具體計劃爲何;若否,原因爲何?

#### 在互聯網上進行的證券買賣

#### #(17) Hon SIN Chung-kai (Written reply)

Trading of securities via the Internet is becoming prevalent in developed countries. In order to enhance Hong Kong's position as an international financial centre, will the Government inform this Council whether it knows:

- (a) the initiatives taken by relevant authorities to promote trading of securities via the Internet;
- (b) the measures taken by relevant authorities to ensure information security in respect of such trading activities; and
- (c) whether there are specific rules and regulations governing the trading of securities on the Internet and protecting investors' rights; if no, whether it will consider formulating them?

### Safety of two-lane dual-way flyovers

#### #(18) 劉江華議員 (書面答覆)

據悉,本月7日,荃灣德士古道天橋發生一宗客貨車失控並導致5死 1傷的嚴重交通意外。就此,政府可否告知本會:

- (a) 有否評估肇事現場的行車天橋的設計是否合乎安全標準;
- (b) 該段路面是否有清楚及足夠的指示牌協助駕駛人士辨別行車方 向;
- (c) 現時全港共有多少條雙線雙程行車的天橋;該類天橋與其他種類的行車天橋的交通意外發生率比較如何;及
- (d) 有否評估客貨車後座乘客佩帶安全帶能否有效地減少他們在車 禍中傷亡的機會;若然,當局會否考慮修訂法例規定後座乘客 必須佩帶安全帶?

#### Seizure of counterfeit goods by the Hong Kong Customs

#### #(19) 吳亮星議員 (書面答覆)

據悉,海關最近在各邊境管制站加強檢查旅客攜帶入境的物品,以打擊假冒商標(俗稱"冒牌")物品入口。就此,政府可否告知本會:

- (a) 海關如何界定旅客攜帶入境的物品是作爲自用或作商業用途;
- (b) 在過去兩個月,海關搜獲懷疑爲冒牌貨品數目;涉及的物品總值估計爲何;當中遭檢控人士及定罪個案分別爲何;及
- (c) 會否檢討現時法例是否足以打擊冒牌物品入口;若否,原因為何?

計算政府新辦公室所需面積時 所採用的百分之十預計擴充因素

#### #(20) Hon Emily LAU (Written reply)

It is learnt that an overall expansion factor of 10% is allowed for new government offices. In this connection, will the Administration inform this Council:

- (a) of the background and rationale for setting such expansion factor;
- (b) of the reasons and how the expansion factor would not undermine the 5% increase in productivity gain pledged in the Enhanced Productivity Programme; and
- (c) whether it will review the expansion factor and see if it should be abolished?