Ref: CP/G01/12

Comparison of the two proposed systems discussed at the meeting of the Subcommittee on Review of the Operation of the LegCo Redress System on 22.12.98

Proposed system	Pros	Cons
I. <u>Informal</u>	 More flexibility Less formality (No requirement	• Not covered by the Legislative Council (Powers & Privileges)
DRMs only listen to complaints without making a decision on how to follow-up, except to refer them to appropriate bodies such as The Ombudsman, a Panel, or to a Select Committee.	<u> </u>	 Having one group of Members to take the complaint and another group of Members to deal with it in a different forum will hamper the effectiveness of the Redress System
II. Formal Meetings will be formalised with the establishment of a committee of the Council (Committee on Redress) to receive and handle complaints. The committee will follow through cases as appropriate. (This system is similar to Option 2 proposed by the Subcommittee contained in para 11-14 of its report to the House Committee (LC Paper No. CP 608/98-99).	 Meetings of this committee and its subcommittees will be automatically covered by the Legislative Council (Powers & Privileges) Ordinance in the same way as for other committees of the Council. 	Chairman, quorum, report to Council etc.) might cause excessive workload to both