Case 1 - Comparison of LDC and URA Projects
(A currently non-viable LDC urban renewal project)

	Current LDC Mode of Operation	Proposed URA Financial Package ⁽¹⁾	Proposed URA Financial Package & Increase in Plot Ratio ⁽²⁾
Gross Development Value ^(c)	\$2.6 billion	\$2.6 billion	\$3.0 billion
Total Development Cost ^(d)	\$3.6 billion	\$2.9 billion	\$3.0 billion
Profit (Loss) ^{(c)-(d)}	(\$1.0 billion)	(\$0.3 billion)	\$0.01 billion
Return on Cost	-27.8%	-10.0%	+0.5%

Project Description:

$$6,400 \text{ m}^2 \text{ project area}$$
 970 flats $\frac{\text{GFA (Dom)}}{\text{GFA(Non-Dom)}}$ $\frac{48,000 \text{ m}^2}{9,655 \text{ m}^2}$ 1,200 m² GIC facilities 700 m² open space

Values may not add up to total due to rounding.

Note: (1) Proposed Financial Package: nil land premium, exemption of Government/Institution/Community facilities from gross floor area calculations and streamlined land resumption procedures.

⁽²⁾ Plot ratio to be increased to current Buildings Ordinance maximum permitted level.

Case 2 - Comparison of LDC and URA Projects (With 10% downward adjustment of Gross Development Value, Acquisition Cost and other Project Costs (A currently non-viable LDC urban renewal project)

	Current LDC Mode	Proposed URA	Proposed URA Financial Package	
	of Operation	Financial Package ⁽¹⁾	& Increase in Plot Ratio ⁽²⁾	
Gross Development Value(c)	\$2.3 billion	\$2.3 billion	\$2.7 billion	
Total Development Cost ^(d)	\$3.3 billion	\$2.7 billion	\$2.8 billion	
Profit (Loss) ^{(c)-(d)}	(\$1.0 billion)	(\$0.4 billion)	(\$0.1 billion)	
Return on Cost	-29.8%	-14.0%	-4.3%	

Project Description:

$$6{,}400 \text{ m}^2 \text{ project area} \qquad 970 \text{ flats} \qquad \frac{\text{GFA (Dom)}}{\text{GFA(Non-Dom)}} \qquad \frac{48{,}000 \text{ m}^2}{9{,}650 \text{ m}^2} \qquad 1{,}200 \text{ m}^2 \text{ GIC facilities} \qquad 700 \text{ m}^2 \text{ open space}$$

Values may not add up to total due to rounding.

Note: (1) Proposed Financial Package: nil land premium, exemption of Government/Institution/Community facilities from gross floor area calculations and streamlined land resumption procedures.

Plot ratio to be increased to current Buildings Ordinance maximum permitted level.

Case 3-Cross-subsidization of URA Projects⁽¹⁾

	Viable URA Project ^(a)	Other Non-Viable URA Projects ^(b)	Total (a)+(b)
Gross Development Value ^(c)	\$5.1 billion	\$8.1 billion	\$13.2 billion
Total Development Cost ^(d)	\$3.8 billion	\$9.1 billion	\$12.9 billion
Profit (Loss) ^{(c)-(d)}	\$1.3 billion	(\$1.0 billion)	\$0.3 billion
Return on Cost	+34.3%	-10.5%	+2.7%

Viable Project (1 no.) Description:

Viable i foject (i	110.)	Description.					
	(a)	8,900 m ² site area	1,115 flats	GFA (Dom)	67,100 m ²	6,400 m GIC facilities	340 m ² open space
				GFA (Non-	12,700 m ²		
				Dom)			
Non-viable Proje	ct (6	nos.) Description:					
	(b)	18,600 m ² site area	2,615 flats	GFA (Dom)	134,900 m²	6,300 m ² GIC facilities	2,500 m ² open space
				GFA (Non-	26,800 m²		
				Dom)			

Values may not add up due to rounding.

Note: Proposed Financial Package: nil land premium, exemption of Government/Institution/Community facilities from gross floor area calculations and streamlined land resumption procedures.

Case 4—Cross-subsidization of URA Projects⁽¹⁾ (With a 10% downward adjustment on Gross Development Value, Acquisition Cost and other Project Costs)

	Viable URA	Other Non-Viable	Total
	Project ^(a)	URA Projects ^(b)	(a)+(b)
Gross Development Value ^(c)	\$4.6 billion	\$7.3 billion	\$11.9 billion
Total Development Cost ^(d)	\$3.5 billion	\$8.6 billion	\$12.1 billion
Profit (Loss) ^{(c)-(d)}	\$1.0 billion	(\$1.2 billion)	\$0.2 billion
Return on Cost	+29.6%	-14.2%	+1.3%

Viable Project (1 no.) Description:

viable i roject	(1 110.)	Description.					
	(a)	8,900 m ² site area	1,115 flats	GFA (Dom)	67,100 m ²	6,400 m ² GIC facilities	340 m ² open space
				GFA (Non-	12,700 m²		
				Dom)			
Non-viable Pro	ject (6	nos.) Description:					
	(b)	18,600 m ² site area	2,615 flats	GFA (Dom)	134,900 m ²	6,300 m ² GIC facilities	2,500 m ² open space
				GFA (Non-	26,800 m ²		
				Dom)			

Values may not add up to total due to rounding.

Note: (1) Proposed Financial Package: nil land premium, exemption of Government/Institution/Community facilities from gross floor area calculations and streamlined land resumption procedures.